arXiv:1002.1508v3 [math.LO] 17 Feb 2010

PL AND DIFFERENTIAL TOPOLOGY IN O-MINIMAL STRUCTURE

Masahiro SHIOTA

Abstract. Arguments on PL (=piecewise linear) topology work over any ordered field in the same way as over $\mathbf{R}$, and those on differential topology do over a real closed field R in an o-minimal structure that expands $(R,<,0,1,+,\cdot)$. One of the most fundamental properties of definable sets is that a compact definable set in R^n is definably homeomorphic to a polyhedron (see [v]). We show uniqueness of the polyhedron up to PL homeomorphisms (o-minimal Hauptvermutung). Hence a compact definable topological manifold admits uniquely a PL manifold structure and is, so to say, tame. We also see that many problems on PL and differential topology over R can be translated to those over $\mathbf{R}$.

The main theorem of the present paper is the theorem 2.1 of o-minimal Hauptvermutung in §2.

§1. PL topology over an ordered field

Let R denote an ordered field. For simplicity of notation we assume $R \supset \mathbf{R}$, but the arguments in the other case will be clear by the context. In this section we show that the known results on PL topology hold over R (remark 1.3). A key to proof of o-minimal Hauptvermutung is the idea of a regular neighborhood and the Alexander trick in PL topology (see [R-S]). Hence we need to clarify PL topology over R. This is the other purpose of this section. A *simplex* in R^n, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, is defined as in $\mathbf{R}^n$ (we set $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, ...\}$). A *polyhedron* in R^n is a subset of R^n which is locally a finite union of simplexes. A *compact* polyhedron is a finite union of simplexes. (Note that a simplex is, in general, not compact in the usual sense when we give a topology to R by open intervals.) All other terminology of PL topology (e.g., in [R-S]) is defined in the same way as in the real case. Here we need to be careful not to use topological but non-PL terminology (see the definition of a PL homotopy below). For a simplicial complex K, K^r denotes the r-skeleton of K (p. 15 in [R-S]), and $|K|$ does the underlying polyhedron to K (p. 14 in [R-S]). A *full* subcomplex L of K is a subcomplex such that each $\sigma \in K$ with vertices all in L is a simplex in L (see p. 30 in [R-S] for properties of a full subcomplex). From now the fundamentals of PL topology in [R-S] are assumed to be known.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03C64, 57Q05, 57Q25.

Key words and phrases. PL topology, differential topology, o-minimal structure.

This research was partially supported by Fields Institute

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

A simplex and, hence, a polyhedron X in $\mathbf{R}^n$ are naturally extended to a simplex and a polyhedron in R^n, denoted by X_R and called the *R-extension* of X. Considering graph we extend an $\mathbf{R}$-PL map $f : X \to Y$ between polyhedra in $\mathbf{R}^n$ to an R-PL map $f_R : X_R \to Y_R$, which we call the *R-extension* of f. For a simplicial complex K in $\mathbf{R}^n$, let K_R denote the extended simplicial complex in R^n $\{\sigma_R : \sigma \in K\}$. We also define the extended simplicial map $\phi_R : K_R \to L_R$ of a simplicial map $\phi : K \to L$ between simplicial complexes in $\mathbf{R}^n$. Conversely, for simplicial maps $\phi_i : K_i \to L_i$ between **finite** or **countable** simplicial complexes in R^n there exist $\mathbf{R}$-simplicial maps $\psi_i : M_i \to N_i$ between simplicial complexes in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and R-simplicial isomorphisms $\pi_i : K_i \to M_{iR}$ and $\tau_i : L_i \to N_{iR}$ such that $\tau_i \circ \phi_i = \psi_{iR} \circ \pi_i$ and if some of K_i's and L_i's coincide then the corresponding ones (M_i, π_i) and (N_i, τ_i) coincide because the category of finite or countable simplicial complexes and simplicial maps is combinatorial and does not depend on the choice of R. We reduce compact polyhedra in R^n and PL maps between them to those in $\mathbf{R}^n$ as follows.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $f_i : X_i \to Y_i$ be a finite number of PL maps between compact polyhedra in R^n. Here there may be distinct i and j with $X_i = Y_j$ or $Y_i = Y_j$. Assume that $X_i \neq X_j$ for $i \neq j$ and if there is a sequence $X_{i_1} \xrightarrow{f_{i_1}} Y_{i_1} = X_{i_2} \xrightarrow{f_{i_2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i_k}} Y_{i_k}$ then $X_{i_1} \neq Y_{i_k}$. Then there exist $\mathbf{R}$-PL maps $g_i : U_i \to V_i$ between polyhedra in $\mathbf{R}^m$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and R-PL homeomorphisms $\pi_i : X_i \to U_{iR}$ and $\tau_i : Y_i \to V_{iR}$ such that $\tau_i \circ f_i = g_{iR} \circ \pi_i$, if $X_i = Y_j$ then $U_i = V_j$ and $\pi_i = \tau_j$, and if $Y_i = Y_j$ then $V_i = V_j$ and $\tau_i = \tau_j$.*

The relative case $f_i : (X_i, X_{i,j})_j \to (Y_i, Y_{i,j})_j$ holds. To be precise, let $X_{i,j}$ and $Y_{i,j}$ be a finite number of unions of a finite number of open simplexes included in X_i and Y_i such that $f_i(X_{i,j}) \subset Y_{i,j}$. Then there exist unions of a finite number of open simplexes $U_{i,j}$ of U_i and $V_{i,j}$ of V_i such that $\pi_i(X_{i,j}) = U_{i,jR}$ and $\tau_i(Y_{i,j}) = V_{i,jR}$.

Proof. Theorem 2.15 in [R-S] states that in the real number case there exist simplicial decompositions K_i of X_i and L_i of Y_i such that $f_i : K_i \to L_i$ are simplicial, $K_i = L_j$ if $X_i = Y_j$, and $L_i = L_j$ if $Y_i = Y_j$. The R-case is proved in the same way. In the relative case we can choose K_i and L_i so that each $X_{i,j}$, or $Y_{i,j}$, is the unions of some open simplexes in K_i, or L_i respectively. Regard $f_i : K_i \to L_i$ combinatorially and abstractly, and realize them in some $\mathbf{R}^m$. Then the lemma follows. □

The assumption in lemma 1.1 cannot be dropped. Let X be a compact polyhedron in R^n of positive dimension, consider all R-PL maps $f : X \to X$, and define an equivalence relation on them by setting $f_1 \sim f_2$ if there exists a PL homeomorphism π of X with $f_1 \circ \pi = \pi \circ f_2$ (i.e., if f_1 and f_2 are PL conjugate). Then the cardinal number of the equivalence classes is $\#R$. Hence for X in $\mathbf{R}^n$, the equivalence classes of $\mathbf{R}$-PL maps between X do not coincide with the equivalence classes of R-PL maps between X_R if $\#R > \#\mathbf{R}$.

This is, however, the case for homotopy classes as follows. Since the simplicial approximation theorem (see [H]) does not hold for general R in the above-mentioned topology, we clarify the definition of a homotopy. Let X and Y be compact polyhedra in R^n. R-PL maps f, $g : X \to Y$ are *R-PL homotopic* (*R-PL isotopic*) if there exists an R-PL map

$F : X \times [0,\,1] \to Y$ such that $F(\cdot,0) = f$ and $F(\cdot,1) = g$ (and $F(\cdot,t)$ is a PL imbedding for each $t \in [0,\,1]$). (We write $F(\cdot,t)$ as $f_t(\cdot)$, $0 \le t \le 1$, and call it a *homotopy* of, or from, f_0 to f_1.) Let $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X,Y)$ ($\mathrm{Iso}_R(X,Y)$) denote the R-PL homotopy (isotopy) classes. Let $X_1 \subset X$ be a compact subpolyhedron and $f : X_1 \to Y$ a PL map. Let $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X,Y)_f$ ($\mathrm{Iso}_R(X,Y)_f$) denote the equivalence classes by R-PL homotopies (isotopies) $F : X \times [0,\,1] \to Y$ such that $F(\cdot,t) = f$ on X_1 for each $t \in [0,\,1]$. An *R-PL isotopy of X* is a PL map $F : X \times [0,\,1] \to X$ such that $F(\cdot,0) = \mathrm{id}$ and $F(\cdot,t)$ is a homeomorphism of X for each $t \in [0,\,1]$. (Note an R-PL isotopy $F : X \times [0,\,1] \to X$ of the identity map does not mean an R-PL isotopy of X. In the former case $F(\cdot,t)$ is not necessarily a homeomorphism of X. In order to distinguish them we call an R-PL map $F : X \times [0,\,1] \to Y$ an *isotopy through homeomorphisms* if each $F(\cdot,t)$ is a homeomorphism from X to Y and R-PL maps $f,g : X \to Y$ *isotopic through homeomorphisms* if there exists an isotopy of f to g through homeomorphisms.) We define the *ambient R-PL isotopy classes* $\mathrm{Aiso}_R(X,Y)$ ($\mathrm{Aiso}_R(X,Y)_f$) by R-PL isotopies of Y (fixing $f(X_1)$, respectively) as at p. 37 in [R-S]. Then

Lemma 1.2. *Let X_1 be a compact subpolyhedron of X and $f : X_1 \to Y$ a PL map. Then the following former four natural maps are bijective, and the latter two ones are injective.*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R,Y_R),\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y)_f \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R,Y_R)_{f_R},$$
$$\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y) \to \mathrm{Iso}_R(X_R,Y_R),\ \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y)_f \to \mathrm{Iso}_R(X_R,Y_R)_{f_R},$$
$$\mathrm{Aiso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y) \to \mathrm{Aiso}_R(X_R,Y_R),\ \mathrm{Aiso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y)_f \to \mathrm{Aiso}_R(X_R,Y_R)_{f_R}.$$

The relative case also holds as in lemma 1.1.

Here the maps $\mathrm{Aiso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y) \to \mathrm{Aiso}_R(X_R,Y_R)$ and $\mathrm{Aiso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y)_f \to \mathrm{Aiso}_R(X_R,Y_R)_{f_R}$ are not necessarily surjective. Let $X = [0,\,1]$ and Y a polyhedron in $\mathbf{R}^2$ of the form of the alphabet Y. Let f be a PL imbedding of X_R into Y_R carrying a number of $(0,\,1)_R - X$ to the singular point of Y. Then the ambient R-PL isotopy class of f is not the R-extension of any ambient $\mathbf{R}$-PL isotopy class.

Proof. Proof of surjectivity of the map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R,Y_R)$. Let K and L be $\mathbf{R}$-simplicial decompositions of X and Y, respectively, and $g : X_R \to Y_R$ an R-PL map. We show that g is R-PL homotopic to the R-extension of some $\mathbf{R}$-PL map from X to Y. By Theorem 2.15 in [R-S] there exist R-simplicial subdivisions M of K_R and N of L_R such that $g : M \to N$ is simplicial. Hence it suffices to prove the following statement.

Statement. There exists an R-PL isotopy $\Phi : X_R \times [0,\,1] \to X_R$ of X_R preserving K_R (i.e., $\Phi(\sigma,t) \subset \sigma$ for each $\sigma \in K_R$ and $t \in [0,\,1]$) and whose finishing homeomorphism $\Phi(\cdot,1)$ is a simplicial isomorphism from M to the R-extension of some $\mathbf{R}$-simplicial subdivision K' of K.

Proof of the statement. Assume there exist non-real vertices in M (i.e., vertices outside of $|K|$). It suffices to decrease the number of non-real vertices. For each vertex v in M, let $\tau(v) \in K_R$ such that $v \in \mathrm{Int}\,\tau(v)$. There is at least one non-real vertex v in M such that $\mathrm{Int}\,|\,\mathrm{st}(v,M)| \cap \tau(v)$ includes a real point, say v', where $\mathrm{st}(v,M)$ and $\mathrm{Int}\,|\,\mathrm{st}(v,M)|$ denote the simplicial complex generated by $\sigma \in M$ with $v \in \sigma$ and $\cup\{\mathrm{Int}\,\sigma : \sigma \in \mathrm{st}(v,M), v \in$

$\sigma\}$ respectively. Consider a simplicial subdivision C of the cell complex $\{\sigma\times\{0\},\sigma\times\{1\},\sigma\times[0,\,1]:\sigma\in\operatorname{st}(v,M)\}$ without introducing new vertices (the R-case of Proposition 2.9 in [R-S], which is proved in the same way). (A cell means that in the terminology of PL topology.) Define a simplicial complex C' in R^n and a simplicial isomorphism $\Psi_v : C\to C'$ so that $\Psi_v(\cdot,0)=\mathrm{id}$, $\Psi_v(v,1)=(v',1)$ and $\Psi_v(\cdot,1)=\mathrm{id}$ on $\operatorname{lk}(v,M)$, where $\operatorname{lk}(v,M)=\{\sigma\in\operatorname{st}(v,M):v\notin\sigma\}$. Then $|C|=|C'|$, C' is a new simplicial decomposition of $|\operatorname{st}(v,M)|\times[0,\,1]$ with vertex $(v',1)$ and $\Psi_v=\mathrm{id}$ on $|\operatorname{lk}(v,M)|\times[0,\,1]$. Extend identically the R-PL homeomorphism $\Psi_v:|C|\to|C|$ to $X_R\times[0,\,1]\to X_R\times[0,\,1]$ and compose the extension and the projection $X_R\times[0,\,1]\to X_R$. Then we have an R-PL isotopy $\Phi_v : X_R\times[0,\,1]\to X_R$ of X_R preserving K_R, fixing $X_R-\operatorname{Int}|\operatorname{st}(v,M)|$ and whose finishing homeomorphism carries v to v' and is a simplicial isomorphism from M to some simplicial subdivision of K_R. Thus v becomes a real vertex and the number of non-real vertices decreases.

We strengthen the statement as follows. Let M_1 be a subcomplex of M which is the R-extension of some $\mathbf{R}$-simplicial complex. Then we can choose Φ so that $\Phi(\cdot,t)=\mathrm{id}$ on $|M_1|$ for each $t\in[0,\,1]$. This is clear by the above proof.

Proof of injectivity of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y)\to\operatorname{Hom}_R(X_R,Y_R)$. Let $g,\,h:X\to Y$ be $\mathbf{R}$-PL maps such that g_R and h_R are R-PL homotopic. Let $F:X_R\times[0,\,1]\to Y_R\times[0,\,1]$ be an R-PL map such that $F(\cdot,0)=(g_R,0)$, $F(\cdot,1)=(h_R,1)$ and $F(X_R\times\{t\})\subset Y_R\times\{t\}$ for each $t\in[0,\,1]$. Let K and L be $\mathbf{R}$-simplicial decompositions of $X\times\{0,1\}$ and $Y\times\{0,1\}$, respectively, such that $F|_{X\times\{0,1\}}:K\to L$ is simplicial. Let M and N be R-simplicial decompositions of $X_R\times[0,\,1]$ and $Y_R\times[0,\,1]$, respectively, such that $F:M\to N$ is simplicial and $M|_{X_R\times\{0,1\}}$ and $N|_{Y_R\times\{0,1\}}$ are subdivisions of K_R and L_R. Then we can assume that $M=K_R$ on $X_R\times\{0,1\}$ and $N=L_R$ on $Y_R\times\{0,1\}$ for the following reason.

Extend F to $\tilde F:X_R\times[-1,\,2]\to Y_R\times[-1,\,2]$ trivially, i.e., $\tilde F(\cdot,t)=(g_R,t)$ for $t\in[-1,\,0]$ and $\tilde F(\cdot,t)=(h_R,t)$ for $t\in[1,\,2]$, and extend trivially $F|_{X\times\{0,1\}}:K\to L$ to a cellular map $\tilde F|_{X\times([-1,0]\cup[1,2])}:\tilde K\to\tilde L$, where $\tilde K$ is the union of

$$K,\quad \{\sigma\times\{-1\},\sigma\times\{0\},\sigma\times[-1,\,0]:\sigma\times\{0\}\in K\}$$
$$\text{and }\{\sigma\times\{1\},\sigma\times\{2\},\sigma\times[1,\,2]:\sigma\times\{1\}\in K\}$$

and $\tilde L$ is defined by L in the same way. Extend N to a family of cells $N\cup\tilde L_R$ and then subdivide the family to an R-simplicial decomposition $\tilde N$ of $Y_R\times[-1,\,2]$ so that $\tilde N^0=N^0\cup\tilde L^0_R$ (Proposition 2.9 in [R-S]). (Though $N\cup\tilde L_R$ is not necessarily a cell complex, the proof of Proposition 2.9 in [R-S] shows we can subdivide $N\cup\tilde L_R$ to an R-simplicial complex without introducing new vertices.) Then $\tilde N=\tilde L_R$ on $Y_R\times\{-1,2\}$. Set

$$\hat M=$$
$$\{\sigma_1\cap\tilde F^{-1}(\sigma_2):\sigma_1\in M\text{ or }\sigma_1\in\tilde K_R\text{ with }\sigma_1\cap X_R\times([-1,\,0)\cup(1,\,2])\neq\emptyset,\ \sigma_2\in\tilde N\}.$$

In the same way as above we subdivide $\hat M$ to a simplicial complex without new vertices $\tilde M$. Then $\tilde M=\tilde K_R$ on $X_R\times\{-1,2\}$ and $\tilde F:\tilde M\to\tilde N$ is simplicial. Hence by the linear homeomorphism from $[-1,\ 2]$ to $[0,\ 1]$ carrying $-1, 2$ to $0, 1$, respectively, we can assume from the beginning that $M = K_R$ on $X_R \times \{0,1\}$ and $N = L_R$ on $Y_R \times \{0,1\}$.

By the strengthened statement we can modify $F : M \to N$ without changing $M|_{X_R\times\{0,1\}}$ and $N|_{Y_R\times\{0,1\}}$ so that M and N are the R-extensions of some $\mathbf{R}$-simplicial complexes. Hence g and h are $\mathbf{R}$-homotopic.

The other claims in the lemma are proved in the same way. □

By the above lemmas we have

Remark 1.3. *Let a property on a finite number of compact polyhedra* $(X_i, X_{i,j})_j$ *in* R^n *and a hypothesis on them be stated by only a finite combination of terms of PL topology in [R-S] or PL microbundle in [M]. Assume that the property and the hypothesis are not concerned with special numbers in* $R - \mathbf{Q}$ *and preserved under R-PL homeomorphisms* $(X_i, X_{i,j})_j \to (X'_i, X'_{i,j})_j$. *Let* $(Y_i, Y_{i,j})_j$ *be polyhedra in* $\mathbf{R}^n$ *such that* $(X_i, X_{i,j})_j$ *are R-PL homeomorphic to* $(Y_{iR}, Y_{i,jR})_j$. *Then the property holds under the hypothesis for* $(X_i, X_{i,j})_j$ *if and only if it does for* $(Y_i, Y_{i,j})_j$.

The term **cardinal number**, for example, is not such a term. We cite some properties which satisfy the conditions. (i) X_1 and X_2 are PL homeomorphic; (ii) X_1 is a PL manifold; (iii) a PL submanifold X_2 of a PL manifold X_2 is locally flat or admits a normal PL microbundle; (iv) $(X_1, X_{1,i})_i$ and $(X_2, X_{2,i})_i$ have the same (simple) homotopy type; (v) a PL manifold X_1 is obtained by a finite sequence of PL surgeries from a PL manifold X_2; (vi) X_1 is PL contractible; (vii) PL manifolds X_1 and X_2 are PL cobordant. By the remark, (co)homology groups, homotopy groups, linking numbers, Whitehead groups, codordims groups, etc., do not depend on the choice of R, the PL Poincaré conjecture is true if and only if it is so for $\mathbf{R}$, and, moreover, I do not know well-known theorems on compact polyhedra which hold for $\mathbf{R}$ but not for general R.

§2. O-MINIMAL HAUPTVERMUTUNG

A manifold means that without boundary. From now on R denotes a real closed field, and we assume $R \supset \mathbf{R}$ for simplicity of notation. We fix an o-minimal structure on R which expands $(R, <, 0, 1, +, \cdot)$. See [v] for the definition and fundamental properties of an o-minimal structure. The conjecture that two homeomorphic polyhedra in $\mathbf{R}^n$ are PL homeomorphic is known as Hauptvermutung. Milnor showed that Hauptvermutung is not true for polyhedra and Siebenmann did for PL manifolds. Existence of such polyhedra is due to **wildness** of some homeomorphisms. On the other hand the category of definable sets and definable maps is topologically **tame** as claimed in [S$_3$] and [v]. Indeed o-minimal Hauptvermutung is true.

Theorem 2.1. *If compact polyhedra* $(X_1, X_{1,i})_{i=1,\dots,k}$ *and* $(X_2, X_{2,i})_{i=1,\dots,k}$ *in* R^n *are definable homeomorphic then they are PL homeomorphic.*

The theorem was proved in [S-Y] for $(\mathbf{R}, <, 0, 1, +, \cdot)$ (i.e., in the real semialgebraic structure), in [C$_1$] for $(R, <, 0, 1, +, \cdot)$ and then in [S$_3$] for $\mathbf{R}$ in any o-minimal structure. [S$_3$] explains an o-minimal structure on $\mathbf{R}$, and some of arguments there work on general

R. The proof of o-minimal Hauptvermutung is, however, false for R. The proof in [S-Y] and [S_3] used essentially an approximation theorem in the proof of uniqueness of C^∞ triangulation by Cairnes-Whitehead and the approximation theorem does not hold if R is larger than $\mathbf{R}$. [C_1] proved by complexities of semialgebraic sets, the Tarski-Seidenberg principle and the result of [S-Y].

A *compact* set in R^n stands for a closed and bounded subset of R^n. (A closed, bounded and polyhedral subset of R^n is not necessarily a compact polyhedron in the sense in §1, i.e., a finite union of simplexes. This does not cause confusions. Indeed we are interested in only definable sets and a closed, bounded, definable polyhedral subset of R^n is a compact polyhedron.) Let $\{E_i\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$ be a finite stratification (i.e., partition) of a compact definable set E in R^n into definable C^1 manifolds. (The strata of a stratification are always, except once, of class C^1.) $\{E_i\}_i$ is *compatible with* a finite family of definable sets $\{X_j\}_j$ in R^n if each $E \cap X_j$ is the union of some E_i. $\{E_i\}_i$ satisfies the *frontier condition* if $E_j \cap (\overline{E}_i - E_i) \neq \emptyset$ implies $E_j \subset \overline{E}_i - E_i$ for each i and j. Assume the closure $\overline{E}_1 = E$. Let e_1 be a point of E_1. We say that a substratification $\{e_1\} \cup \{E'_i, E_i\}_{i=2,\dots,k}$ of $\{E_i\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$ is *obtained by starring at* e_1 *from* $\{E_i\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$ if there is a definable homeomorphism ρ from the cone $a * (E - E_1)$ with vertex a and base $E - E_1$ to E such that $\rho|_{E_i} = \mathrm{id}$ and $\rho(a * E_i) = \{e_1\} \cup E'_i \cup E_i$ for $i = 2, ..., k$. (We regard $a * \emptyset$ as $\{a\}$.) Let $g : X \to Y$ be a definable C^0 map between definable sets. A *definable* C^1 *stratification* of g is a pair of finite stratifications $\{X_i\}_i$ of X and $\{Y_j\}_j$ of Y into definable C^1 manifolds such that for each i, the restriction $g|_{X_i}$ is a surjective C^1 submersion to some Y_j. We write $g : \{X_i\}_i \to \{Y_j\}_j$. Let $A_k \subset X$ and $B_l \subset Y$ be a finite number of definable sets. Then (II.1.17) in [S_3], whose R-case is proved in the same way, states that g admits a definable C^1 stratification $\{X_i\}_i \to \{Y_j\}_j$ such that $\{X_i\}_i$ and $\{Y_j\}_j$ are compatible with $\{A_k\}_k$ and $\{B_l\}_l$, respectively, and satisfy the frontier condition.

We know that any compact definable set is definably homeomorphic to some compact polyhedron. Moreover, given a finite simplicial complex K in R^n and a finte number of compact definable sets $\{X_i\}_i$ in $|K|$, then there exists a definable isotopy τ_t, $0 \le t \le 1$, of $|K|$ preserving K such that $\{\tau^{-1}(X_i)\}_i$ are polyhedra (see Theorem II.2,1, Remark II.2.4 and Lemma II.2.7 in [S_3], whose R-case is proved in the same way). We call this fact the *triangulation theorem of definable sets* and $\tau_1 : \{\tau_1^{-1}(X_i)\}_i \to \{X_i\}_i$ a *definable triangulation* of $\{X_i\}_i$. (From now we omit to mention [S_3].)

We prove theorem 2.1 in process of proving the following theorem of triangulations of definable continuous functions.

Theorem 2.2. *(1) Let* $f : X \to R$ *be a definable continuous function on a compact definable set in* R^n*. Then there exist a compact polyhedron* Y *in* R^n *and a definable homeomorphism* $\pi : Y \to X$ *such that* $f \circ \pi$ *is PL. Given finitely many compact definable subsets* X_i *of* X*, then we can choose* Y *and* π *so that* $\pi^{-1}(X_i)$ *are polyhedra.*

(2) Moreover if X *is the underlying polyhedron to a finite simplicial complex* P *then we can choose* Y *and* π *in (1) so that* $Y = X$ *and there exists a definable isotopy* π_t, $0 \le t \le 1$, *of* X *from* id *to* π *preserving* P.

(3) If f *is PL on polyhedral* X *from the beginning in (3),* $X_i \cap f^{-1}(R - (s_1, s_2))$ *are polyhedra for some* $s_1 < s_2 \in R$ *and* s'_1 *and* s'_2 *are numbers in* R *with* $s'_1 < s_1 < s_2 < s'_2$

then we can choose π *and* π_t *so that* $f\circ\pi_t = f$ *for* $t \in [0,\ 1]$ *and* $\pi_t = \mathrm{id}$ *on* $f^{-1}(R-(s_1',\ s_2'))$.

Complement. *Moreover* $\pi : Y \to X$ *is unique in the following sense. Let* $\pi' : Y' \to X$ *be another definable homeomorphism with the same properties as* $\pi : Y \to X$ *in (1). Then there exists a definable isotopy* $\omega_t : Y' \to Y,\ 0 \le t \le 1,$ *of* $\pi^{-1}\circ\pi' : Y' \to Y$ *through homeomorphisms such that* ω_1 *is PL,* $f\circ\pi\circ\omega_t = f\circ\pi'$ *for* $t \in [0,\ 1]$ *and* $\omega_t(\pi'^{-1}(X_i)) = \pi^{-1}(X_i)$ *for each* i *and* t.

We call $f\circ\pi : Y \to R$, or $\pi : Y \to X$, in theorem 2.2,(1) a *definable triangulation* of f. [S$_2$] showed a semialgebraic triangulation of a semialgebraic C^0 function on a compact semialgebraic set in R^n. The idea of the following proof comes from its proof. The theorem is partially proved in [C$_2$].

Proof of theorem 2.2,(2) in a special case. By the triangulation theorem of definable sets we assume X is the underlying polyhedron to a finite simplicial complex P and $\{X_i\}_i = P$. We will triangulate graph f so that the triangulation is the graph of some triangulation of f. Assume $n > 1$ and proceed by induction on n because the case of $n = 0$ is trivial and that of $n = 1$ is clear by the following fact. A definable C^0 function on an interval in R is monotone on each stratum of some finite stratification of the interval.

Let $p : R^n \times R \to R$ denote the projection. Set $A = \operatorname{graph} f$ and $A_t = \{x \in R^n : (x,t) \in A\}$ for each $t \in R$. Forget the property $\dim A \le n$ for the sake of induction process. Let $\{A_j\}_j$ be a finite stratification of A into definable connected C^1 manifolds which is compatible with $\{X_i \times R\}_i$ and satisfies the frontier condition and such that $p|_A : \{A_j\}_j \to \{p(A_j)\}_j$ is a definable C^1 stratification of $p|_A$ (II.1.17). Let A' denote the union of A_j with $\dim A_{jt} < n$ for each $t \in R$. Set $S^{n-1} = \{\lambda \in R^n : |\lambda| = 1\}$. Let $T_t \subset S^{n-1}$ denote the set of singular directions λ for A_t', i.e., $\lambda \in S^{n-1}$ such that $A_t' \cap (a + R\lambda)$ has interior points in the line $a + R\lambda$ in R^n for some $a \in A_t'$. Set $T = \{(\lambda, t) \in S^{n-1} \times R : \lambda \in T_t\}$. Then Lemma II.2.2$'$, which is proved for general R in the same way, states that $\overline{T}$ is definable and $\overline{T} \cap (S^{n-1} \times \{t\})$ is of dimension $< n-1$ for each t. Hence $\dim \overline{T} < n$ and the restriction to $\overline{T}$ of the projection $S^{n-1} \times R \to S^{n-1}$ is a finite-to-one map except onto some definable subset S of S^{n-1} of dimension $< n-1$. Choose $s \in S^{n-1} - S$. Then $\{s\} \times R \cap \overline{T}$ is finite. Therefore, by changing linearly the coordinate system of R^n we can assume that $(1, 0, ..., 0) \in R^n$ is not a singular direction for A_t' for each $t \in R$ except a finite number of points, say B. It follows that the restriction to $A' - R^n \times B$ of the projection $p_1 : R^n \times R \to R^{n-1} \times R$ forgetting the first factor is a finite-to-one map. Note each A_j outside of A' is open in $R^n \times R$ or included and open in $R^n \times \{t\}$ for some t.

After then keeping the same notation we substratify $\{A_j\}_j$ so that $p_1|_A : \{A_j\}_j \to \{p_1(A_j)\}_j$ is a definable C^1 stratification of $p_1|_A$ (II.1.17). Note first given a substratification $\{D_k\}_k$ of $\{p_1(A_j)\}_j$ into definable C^1 manifolds with the frontier condition, then $p_1|_A : \{A_j \cap p_1^{-1}(D_k)\}_{j,k} \to \{D_k\}_k$ is a definable C^1 stratification of $p_1|_A$ and $\{A_j \cap p_1^{-1}(D_k)\}_{j,k}$ satisfies the frontier condition. Secondary, $p_1|_{A_j - R^n\times B}$ is a diffeomorphism onto $p_1(A_j - R^n \times B)$ for each A_j in A'. Thirdly, for each A_j outside of A' there are two A_{j_1} and A_{j_2} such that $p_1(A_{j_1}) = p_1(A_{j_2}) = p_1(A_j)$ and $A_{j_1} \cup A_{j_2} \subset A' \cap (\overline{A}_j - A_j)$. By the last property we will see that we can ignore such A_j's.

Repeat the same arguments for definable sets $p_1(A'-R^n\times B)$ and $\{p_1(A_j-R^n\times B):A_j\subset A'\}_j$ and so on, and let $R^{n-1}\times R\xrightarrow{p_2}R^{n-2}\times R\longrightarrow\cdots\xrightarrow{p_n}R$ be the projections forgetting the respective first factors. Then by changing linearly the coordinate systems of $R^{n-1},...,R^2$ in sequence we modify A as follows. There exist a finite set C in R and finite stratifications with the frontier condition $\{A_{j,k}:k=1,...\}$ of $p_{j-1}\circ\cdots\circ p_1(A)-R^{n+1-j}\times C$ into definable connected C^1 manifolds for each $j=1,...,n+1$ such that $\{A_{1,k}\}_k$ is a substratification of $\{A_j-R^n\times C\}_j$, $p_j|_{p_{j-1}\circ\cdots\circ p_1(A)}:\{A_{j,k}\}_k\to\{A_{j+1,k}\}_k$ is a definable C^1 stratification for each $j\le n$ and, moreover, for each (j,k_0) with $j\le n$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{A}_{j,k_0}-R^{n+1-j}\times C=\{(x_j,...,x_n,t)\in R\times(\overline{A}_{j+1,k_1}-R^{n-j}\times C):&\\ \phi_{j,k_0}(x_{j+1},...,x_n,t)\le x_j\le\psi_{j,k_0}(x_{j+1},...,x_n,t)\}&\end{aligned}$$

for some definable C^0 functions ϕ_{j,k_0} and ψ_{j,k_0} on some $\overline{A}_{j+1,k_1}-R^{n-j}\times C$ with $\phi_{j,k_0}=\psi_{j,k_0}$ on $\overline{A}_{j+1,k_1}-R^{n-j}\times C$ or $\phi_{j,k_0}<\psi_{j,k_0}$ on A_{j+1,k_1}. It follows from the frontier condition that the following sets are elements of $\{A_{j,k}\}_k$ for each stratum A_{j+1,k_2} in $\overline{A}_{j+1,k_1}$.

$$\begin{gathered}\{(x_j,...,x_n,t)\in R\times A_{j+1,k_2}:\phi_{j,k_0}(x_{j+1},...,x_n,t)<x_j<\psi_{j,k_0}(x_{j+1},...,x_n,t)\},\\ \{(x_j,...,x_n,t)\in R\times A_{j+1,k_2}:x_j=\phi_{j,k_0}(x_{j+1},...,x_n,t)\},\\ \{(x_j,...,x_n,t)\in R\times A_{j+1,k_2}:x_j=\psi_{j,k_0}(x_{j+1},...,x_n,t)\}.\end{gathered}$$

Note 1. For a finite subset C_0 of R we can choose the above linear transformations of $R^n,...,R^2$ so that $C_0\cap C=\emptyset$.

Assume for a while $C=\emptyset$. We wish to substratify $\{A_{j,k}\}_k$ in the same way as the barycentric subdivision of a cell complex. Choose one point $a_{j,k}$ in each $A_{j,k}$ so that $\{p_j(a_{j,k})\}_k=\{a_{j+1,k}\}_k$ for each $j\le n$. Substratify $\{A_{n+1,k}\}_k$ to $\{A'_{n+1,k'}\}_{k'}$ which is defined to be the family of $a_{n+1,k}$ for all k and the connected components of $p_n\circ\cdots\circ p_1(A)-C-\cup\{a_{n+1,k}\}_k$. Assume by downward induction on l that $\{A_{j,k}\}_k$ is substratified to $\{A'_{j,k'}\}_{k'}$ for each $j\ge l+1$. Then define $\{A'_{l,k'}\}_{k'}$ to be the family of $a_{l,k}$ and the connected components of $p_l^{-1}(A'_{l+1,k'})\cap A_{l,k}-\{a_{l,k}\}$ for all k and k'. Note if $p_l^{-1}(A'_{l+1,k'})\cap A_{l,k}$ does not include $a_{l,k}$ then it is connected. In the other case it is of dimension ≤ 1 and its image under p_l is the point $p_l(a_{l,k})$. Note also $\{\overline{A'}_{j,k'}\}_{k'}$ continue to be described by graphs as $\{\overline{A}_{j,k}\}_k$ are so. Let $\phi'_{j,k'}$ and $\psi'_{j,k'}$ denote the functions.

Next keeping the graph property we substratify $\{A'_{j,k'}\}_{k'}$ by triple induction without introducing new strata of dimension 0. Set $\{A''_{n+1,k''}\}_{k''}=\{A'_{n+1,k'}\}_{k'}$. Let l and c be positive and non-negative integers respectively. Assume by induction that $\{A'_{j,k'}\}_{k'}$ and $\{A'_{l,k'}:A'_{l,k'}\subset A_{l,k}\}_{k'}$ are substratified to $\{A''_{j,k''}\}_{k''}$ and $\{A''_{l,k''}:A''_{l,k''}\subset A_{l,k}\}_{k''}$, respectively, for each $j\ge l+1$ and each $A_{l,k}$ of dimension $<c$ so that $\{A''_{j,k''}:A''_{j,k''}\subset\overline{A}_{j,k}\}_{k''}$ is obtained by starring at $a_{j,k}$ from $\{A_{j,k}\}\cup\{A''_{j,k''}:A''_{j,k''}\subset\overline{A}_{j,k}-A_{j,k}\}_{k''}$ for each $A_{j,k}$ with $j\ge l+1$, or with $j=l$ and $\dim A_{j,k}<c$. Consider one $A_{l,k}$ of dimension c and $\{A'_{l,k'}:A'_{l,k'}\subset A_{l,k}\}_{k'}$. If $c=0$ then we set clearly $\{A''_{l,k''}:A''_{l,k''}\subset A_{l,k}\}_{k''}=\{a_{l,k}\}$. Hence assume $c>0$. Then there are two possible cases to consider:

$A_{l,k} \cap p_l^{-1}(p_l(a_{l,k})) = \{a_{l,k}\}$, or $A_{l,k} \cap p_l^{-1}(p_l(a_{l,k}))$ is of dimension 1. In the former case we set $\{A''_{l,k''} : A''_{l,k''} \subset A_{l,k}\}_{k''} = \{A_{l,k} \cap p_l^{-1}(A''_{l+1,k''})\}_{k''}$. Then the starring condition is satisfied, to be precise, $\{A''_{l,k''} : A''_{l,k''} \subset \overline{A}_{l,k}\}_{k''}$ is obtained by starring at $a_{l,k}$ from $\{A_{l,k}\} \cup \{A''_{l,k''} : A''_{l,k''} \subset \overline{A}_{l,k} - A_{l,k}\}_{k''}$. In the latter case we substratify by starring at $a_{l,k}$ as follows. By induction hypothesis $\{A''_{l+1,k''} : A''_{l+1,k''} \subset p_l(\overline{A}_{l,k})\}_{k''}$ is obtained from $\{p_l(A_{l,k})\} \cup \{A''_{l+1,k''} : A''_{l+1,k''} \subset p_l(\overline{A}_{l,k}) - p_l(A_{l,k})\}_{k''}$ by starring at $p_l(a_{l,k})$. Let $A''_{l,k''}$ be such that $A''_{l,k''} \subset \overline{A}_{l,k} - A_{l,k}$ and $p_l(A''_{l,k''}) \subset p_l(\overline{A}_{l,k}) - p_l(A_{l,k})$. Then by hypothesis of starring there exists uniquely A''_{l+1,k''_0} such that $A''_{l+1,k''_0} \subset p_l(A_{l,k})$ and $\overline{A''}_{l+1,k''_0} \cap (p_l(\overline{A}_{l,k}) - p_l(A_{l,k})) = p_l(\overline{A''}_{l,k''})$. Let $\phi''_{l,k''}$ and $\psi''_{l,k''}$ be the functions which describe $\overline{A''}_{l,k''}$ on $p_l(\overline{A''}_{l,k''})$. Extend $\phi''_{l,k''}$ and $\psi''_{l,k''}$ to definable C^0 functions $\phi''_{l,k,k''}$ and $\psi''_{l,k,k''}$ on $\overline{A''}_{l+1,k''_0}$ so that they are of class C^1 on A''_{l+1,k''_0},

$$\begin{gathered}
\big(\phi''_{l,k,k''}(p_l(a_{l,k})), p_l(a_{l,k})\big) = \big(\psi''_{l,k,k''}(p_l(a_{l,k})), p_l(a_{l,k})\big) = a_{l,k}, \\
\phi''_{l,k,k''} = \psi''_{l,k,k''} \text{ if } \phi''_{l,k''} = \psi''_{l,k''}, \quad \phi''_{l,k,k''} < \psi''_{l,k,k''} \text{ on } A''_{l+1,k''_0} \text{ otherwise}, \\
\phi_{l,k} < \phi''_{l,k,k''} \le \psi''_{l,k,k''} < \psi_{l,k} \text{ on } \overline{A''}_{l+1,k''_0} - p_l(\overline{A''}_{l,k''}).
\end{gathered}$$

(Here the extensions are easily constructed if they are not required to be of class C^1 on A''_{l+1,k''_0}. Let $\phi''_{l,k,k''}$ and $\psi''_{l,k,k''}$ be such extensions. Then Theorem II.5.2 says that $\phi''_{l.k.k''}|_{A''_{l+1,k''_0}}$ and $\psi''_{l,k,k''}|_{A''_{l+1,k''_0}}$ are approximated by definable C^1 functions with the same properties so that the approximations are extended to $\overline{A''_{l+1,k''_0}}$ and the extensions coincide, respectively, with $\phi''_{l,k,k''}$ and $\psi''_{l,k,k''}$ at $\overline{A''_{l+1,k''_0}} - A''_{l+1,k''_0}$.) Moreover we choose $\{\phi''_{l,k,k''}, \psi''_{l,k,k''} : A''_{l,k''} \subset \overline{A}_{l,k} - A_{l,k},\ p_l(A''_{l,k''}) \subset p_l(\overline{A}_{l,k}) - p_l(A_{l,k})\}_{k''}$ by induction on dimension of $A''_{l,k''}$ so that if $A''_{l,k''_1} \subset \overline{A''}_{l,k''_2} \subset \overline{A}_{l,k} - A_{l,k}$ with $p_l(A''_{l,k''_2}) \subset p_l(\overline{A}_{l,k}) - p_l(A_{l,k})$ and if $A''_{l+1,k''_{10}}$ and $A''_{l+1,k''_{20}}$ are given from A''_{l,k''_1} and A''_{l,k''_2}, respectively, as A''_{l+1,k''_0} is given from $A''_{l,k''}$ then

$$\phi''_{l,k,k''_1} = \phi''_{l,k,k''_2} \quad \psi''_{l,k,k''_1} = \psi''_{l,k,k''_2} \quad \text{on } \overline{A''}_{l+1,k''_{10}},$$

in a word $\{\phi''_{l,k,k''}, \psi''_{l,k,k''}\}_{k''}$ is compatible. Now let $A_{l,k}$ be divided to the family of the sets $\{a_{l,k}\}$, two connected components of $A_{l,k} \cap p_l^{-1}(p_l(a_{l,k}))$, the graphs of $\phi''_{l,k,k''}|_{A''_{l+1,k''_0}}$ and $\psi''_{l,k,k''}|_{A''_{l+1,k''_0}}$ and

$$\begin{gathered}
\{(x_l, ..., x_n, t) \in R \times A''_{l+1,k''_0} : \phi_{l,k,k''}(x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t) < x_l < \phi''_{l,k,k''}(x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t)\}, \\
\{(x_l, ..., x_n, t) \in R \times A''_{l+1,k''_0} : \phi''_{l,k,k''}(x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t) < x_l < \psi''_{l,k,k''}(x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t)\}, \\
\{(x_l, ..., x_n, t) \in R \times A''_{l+1,k''_0} : \psi''_{l,k,k''}(x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t) < x_l < \psi_{l,k}(x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t)\}
\end{gathered}$$

for all possible k'' and k''_0 given from k and k'' as above. Let $\{A''_{l,k''} : A''_{l,k''} \subset A_{l,k}\}_{k''}$ denote the division. Then clearly the starring condition is satisfied. Thus we obtain the required substratification $\{A''_{j,k''}\}_{k''}$.

We will construct simplicial complexes $K_1, ..., K_{n+1}$ in $R^n \times R, ..., R$, respectively, and definable homeomorphisms $\tau_1 : |K_1| \to A$, $\tau_2 : |K_2| \to p_1(A), ..., \tau_{n+1} : |K_{n+1}| \to p_n \circ \cdots \circ p_1(A)$ such that

$$K_j^0 = \{A''_{j,k''} \colon \dim A''_{j,k''} = 0\}_{k''}, \quad \{\tau_j(\operatorname{Int} \sigma) \colon \sigma \in K_j\} = \{A''_{j,k''}\}_{k''} \quad \text{for each } j,$$
$$\tau_j = \mathrm{id} \ \text{ on } K_j^0, \quad p_j \circ \tau_j = \tau_{j+1} \circ p_j \quad \text{for } j \le n, \quad \tau_{n+1} = \mathrm{id},$$

τ_1 is of the form

$$\tau_1(x_1, ..., x_n, t) = \big(\tau_{1,1}(x_1, ..., x_n, t), \tau_{1,2}(x_2, ..., x_n, t), ..., \tau_{1,n}(x_n, t), t\big),$$
$$\tau_j(x_j, ..., x_n, t) = \big(\tau_{1,j}(x_j, ..., x_,t), ..., \tau_{1,n}(x_n, t), t\big), \quad j = 2, ..., n,$$

and $\tau_{j+1}^{-1} \circ p_j \circ \tau_j = p_j|_{|K_j|} : K_j \to K_{j+1}$ are simplicial maps for $j \le n$. Note for each $\sigma \in K_j$ the vertices spanning σ are just the *vertices* of $\tau_j(\sigma)$, to be precise, $\{A''_{j,k''} : A''_{j,k''} \subset \tau_j(\sigma),\ \dim A''_{j,k''} = 0\}_{k''}$. Hence define K_j for each j to be the family of cells spanned by the vertices of $\overline{A''}_{j,k''}$ for all $A''_{j,k''}$. Let K_j^c be the subfamily of cells for $A''_{j,k''}$ of dimension $\le c$ for each c. Then we need to see K_j and K_j^c are simplicial complexes.

As above let l and c be positive and non-negative integers. Assume by induction we have shown $K_j,\ j \ge l+1$, and K_l^{c-1} are simplicial complexes and constructed $\tau_j,\ j \ge l+1$, and a definable homeomorphism $\tau_l^{c-1} : |K_l^{c-1}| \to \cup\{A''_{l,k''} : \dim A''_{l,k''} < c\}_{k''}$ with the required conditions. Note the vertices of $\overline{A''}_{j,k''}$ then span a simplex of the same dimension for $j \ge l+1$ and for $j = l$ and k'' with $\dim A''_{j,k''} < c$ since $K_j,\ j \ge l+1$, and K_l^{c-1} are simplicial complexes. We need to see K_l^c is a simplicial complex and define τ_l^c. If $c = 0$, K_l^c is a finite set and, hence, a simplicial complex, and τ_l^c should be id and satisfies the conditions. Assume $c > 0$ and choose one $A''_{l,k''}$ of dimension c. There are two possible cases to consider: $p_l|_{A''_{l,k''}}$ is injective or not. If $p_l|_{A''_{l,k''}}$ is injective, then $\overline{A''}_{l,k''}$ is the graph of some definable C^0 function on some $\overline{A''}_{l+1,k''_0}$ $(= p(\overline{A''}_{l,k''}))$, the vertices of $\overline{A''}_{l+1,k''_0}$ span a simplex of dimension c by induction hypothesis and, hence, the vertices of $\overline{A''}_{l,k''}$ span a simplex of dimension c. If $p_l|_{A''_{l,k''}}$ is not injective, then $p_l(a_0) = p_l(a_1)$ for two vertices a_0 and a_1 of $\overline{A''}_{l,k''}$, $p_l(a_1), ..., p_l(a_c)$ are distinct one another for the other vertices $a_2, ..., a_c$ of $\overline{A''}_{l,k''}$, $p_l(a_1), ..., p_l(a_c)$ span a simplex of dimension $c-1$ by induction hypothesis and, hence, $a_0, ..., a_c$ span a simplex of dimension c. In the same way we see that given two A''_{l,k''_1} and A''_{l,k''_2} of dimension $\le c$ then the intersection of the two simplexes spanned by the vertices of $\overline{A''}_{l,k''_1}$ and of $\overline{A''}_{l,k''_2}$ is a common face of them. Therefore K_l^c is a simplicial complex.

It remains to define τ_l^c on each simplex σ spanned by the vertices of $\overline{A''}_{l,k''}$ of dimension c. If $p_l|_{A''_{l,k''}}$ is injective, let $\overline{A''}_{l,k''}$ be the graph of a definable C^0 function ϕ on $\overline{A''}_{l+1,k''_0}$. Then set

$$\tau_l^c(x_l, ..., x_n, t) = \big(\phi \circ \tau_{l+1}(x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t), \tau_{l+1}(x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t)\big) \text{ for } (x_l, ..., x_n, t) \in \sigma.$$

Clearly $\tau_l^c|_\sigma$ satisfies the conditions. Assume $p_l|_{A''_{l,k''}}$ is not injective. Let $A''_{l,k''}$ lie between the graphs of two definable C^0 functions $\phi \le \psi$ on $\overline{A''}_{l+1,k''_0}$. Let $\sigma_\phi, \sigma_\psi \in K_l^{c-1}$ such that $p_l(\sigma_\phi) = p_l(\sigma_\psi) = p_l(\sigma)$, $\tau_l^{c-1}(\sigma_\phi) = \operatorname{graph}\phi$ and $\tau_l^{c-1}(\sigma_\psi) = \operatorname{graph}\psi$. It is natural to define τ_l^c on σ to be an extension of $\tau_l^{c-1}|_{\sigma_\phi \cup \sigma_\psi}$ and linear on each segment $\sigma \cap p_l^{-1}(a)$, $a \in |K_{l-1}|$, to be precise,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_l^c\big(sx_l + (1-s)x'_l, x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t\big) = \\
&\qquad\qquad s\tau_l^{c-1}(x_l, x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t) + (1-s)\tau_l^{c-1}(x'_l, x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t) \\
&\quad \text{for } (x_l, x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t) \in \sigma_\phi,\ (x'_l, x_{l+1}, ..., x_n, t) \in \sigma_\psi \quad \text{and } s \in [0,\, 1].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we construct $K_1, ..., K_{n+1}, \tau_1, ..., \tau_{n+1}$. Let $q : R^n \times R \to R^n$ denote the projection. Then $q \circ \tau_1$ is a definable homeomorphism from $|K_1|$ to X since $\tau(|K_1|)$ is the graph of f,

$$f \circ q \circ \tau_1(x_1, ..., x_n, t) = t \quad \text{for } (x_1, ..., x_n, t) \in |K_1|$$

by the form of τ_1, and, hence, $f \circ q \circ \tau_1 : |K_1| \to R$ is PL. Hence $q \circ \tau_1 : |K_1| \to X$ is a definable triangulation of f in the case of $C = \emptyset$.

Note 2. Later we will perturb $p_1, ..., p_{n-1}$ so that C vanishes. Then the above arguments work. To be precise, let $R^n \times R \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_1} R^{n-1} \times R \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_{n-1}} R^2$ be definable C^1 maps of the form $\tilde{p}_j(x_j, ..., x_n, t) = (\tilde{p}'_j(x_j, ..., x_n, t), t)$ which are close to the projections $p_1, ..., p_n$ in the compact-open C^1 topology and keep all the properties except being linear projections. (We adopt the compact-open C^1 topology for approximations in this proof.) Set

$$\hat{p}_j(x_1, ..., x_n, t) = (x_1, ..., x_j, \tilde{p}_j(x_j, ..., x_n, t)) \text{ for } (x_1, ..., x_n, t) \in R^n \times R,\ j = 1, ..., n-1,$$

and $\eta = \hat{p}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \hat{p}_1$, whose restriction to A is a definable C^1 imbedding into $R^n \times R$. Here a definable C^1 imbedding is, by definition, a definable C^0 map extensible to a definable C^1 imbedding of an open definable neighborhood of A in $R^n \times R$ into $R^n \times R$. If A is a compact polyhedron then a definable C^1 imbedding of A into $R^n \times R$ means a definable C^1 imbedding of some simplicial decomposition of A. See p. 72 and 73 of [S_3] for the definition of a definable C^1 imbedding of a simplicial complex and its fundamental properties. Especially Lemma I.3.14 says that a definable C^1 approximation of a definable C^1 imbedding of a finite simplicial complex into a Euclidean space is an imbedding, whose R-case is proved in the same way. Now come back to general A and translate A by η, proceed the same arguments for $\eta(A)$, assume $C = \emptyset$ for $\eta(A)$, and let $\tau_1 : |K_1| \to \eta(A)$ be the triangulation. Then $q \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \tau_1 : |K_1| \to X$ is a definable triangulation of f.

Continue to assume $C = \emptyset$, and set $\pi(x) = q \circ \tau_1^{-1}(x, f(x))$ for $x \in X$. Then π is a map onto X and injective if and only if $q|_{|K_1|}$ is a map onto X and injective respectively. We wish to see $q|_{|K_1|}$ is a homeomorphism onto X. Indeed, if so, $\pi^{-1} : X \to X$ is the required definable triangulation of f. For a while we assume $q|_A$ is injective.

Surjectivity of $q|_{|K_1|}$. First $\{q(A''_{1,k''})\}_{k''}$ is a stratification of X into definable C^1 manifolds compatible with P and with the frontier condition. Next for each $\sigma \in K_1$, $q(\sigma)$ is the cell spanned by the images under q of the vertices of $\overline{A''}_{1,k''}$ $(=\tau_1(\sigma))$. Hence $\pi(\sigma_0)\subset\sigma_0$ for each $\sigma_0\in P$. Therefore $\operatorname{Im}\pi\subset X$. We will prove $\operatorname{Im}\pi=X$. By induction on dimension, let $\sigma_0\in P$ and assume $\pi(\sigma_1)=\sigma_1$ for each proper face σ_1 of σ_0 and $\pi|_{\partial\sigma_0}:\partial\sigma_0\to\partial\sigma_0$ is definably homotopic to id preserving the faces. Then it suffices to see $\pi(\sigma_0)=\sigma_0$ and $\pi|_{\sigma_0}:\sigma_0\to\sigma_0$ is definably homotopic to id so that the homotopy is an extension of the given homotopy of $\pi|_{\partial\sigma_0}$.

We prove $\pi(\sigma_0)=\sigma_0$ by reduction to absurdity. Assume $\pi(\sigma_0)\neq\sigma_0,\ 0\in\operatorname{Int}\sigma_0$ and $0\notin\pi(\sigma_0)$. Let ∂L denote the simplicial complex consisting of proper faces of σ_0, and set

$$L=\{0,\,0*\sigma,\,\sigma:\sigma\in\partial L\},\quad L/2=\{\sigma/2:\sigma\in L\}.$$

Let $\pi_0:\sigma_0\to\partial\sigma_0$ be a definable C^0 map such that $\pi_0|_{\partial\sigma_0}:\partial\sigma_0\to\partial\sigma_0$ is definably homotopic to id preserving ∂L, e.g., the composite of $\pi|_{\sigma_0}$ with the retraction $\pi(\sigma_0)\ni tx\to x\in\partial\sigma_0$ for $(x,t)\in\partial\sigma_0\times(0,\,1]$ with $tx\in\pi(\sigma_0)$. By this homotopy property we can modify π_0 so that

$$\pi_0(tx)=x\quad\text{for }(x,t)\in\partial\sigma_0\times[1/2,\,1].$$

Then $$\pi_0=\mathrm{id}\quad\text{on }\partial\sigma_0,\quad 0*\sigma-\operatorname{Int}\sigma_0/2=\pi_0^{-1}(\sigma)-\operatorname{Int}\sigma_0/2\quad\text{for }\sigma\in\partial L.$$

Moreover we can assume each $\pi_0^{-1}(\sigma)$ for $\sigma\in\partial L$ is a polyhedron for the following reason.

Apply the triangulation theorem of definable sets to $\{\sigma_0/2,\sigma_0/2\cap\pi^{-1}(\sigma_1),\sigma_2:\sigma_1\in\partial L,\,\sigma_2\in L/2\}$. Then there exists a definable homeomorphism ξ_1 of $\sigma_0/2$ preserving $L/2$ such that $\xi_1^{-1}(\sigma_0/2\cap\pi_0^{-1}(\sigma_1))$ are polyhedra for $\sigma_1\in\partial L$. Note $\xi_1(\sigma)=\sigma$ for $\sigma\in L/2$. Set

$$\xi_t(x)=(1-t)x+t\xi_1(x)\quad\text{for }(x,t)\in\partial\sigma_0\times[1/2,\,1].$$

Then $\xi_t:\sigma_0/2\to\sigma_0/2,\ 0\le t\le 1$, is a definable homotopy of id to ξ_1 preserving $L/2$. Extend ξ_1 to a definable map ξ between σ_0 by

$$\xi(tx)=2t\xi_{2-2t}(x/2)\quad\text{for }(x,t)\in\partial\sigma_0\times[1/2,\,1].$$

Then $\xi=\mathrm{id}$ on $\partial\sigma_0$, ξ is preserving L and $\xi^{-1}(\pi_0^{-1}(\sigma_1))$ is a polyhedron for each $\sigma_1\in\partial L$. Consider $\pi_0\circ\xi:\sigma_0\to\partial\sigma_0$ in place of π_0. Then we can assume from the beginning $\pi_0^{-1}(\sigma_1)$ is a polyhedron for each $\sigma_1\in\partial L$.

Let L' be a simplicial subdivision of L such that each $\pi_0^{-1}(\sigma_1)$ is the union of some simplexes in L'. Then for each $\sigma\in L'$ there exists uniquely $\delta_\sigma\in\partial L$ such that $\pi_0(\sigma)\subset\delta_\sigma$ and $\pi_0(\operatorname{Int}\sigma)\subset\operatorname{Int}\delta_\sigma$. Define a map $\pi'_0:L'^0\to\partial\sigma_0$ by $\pi'_0(v)=v$ for $v\in L'^0\cap\partial\sigma_0$ and so that $\pi'_0(v)$ is the barycenter of δ_σ for $v\in L'^0-\partial\sigma_0$. Then π'_0 carries the vertices of each $\sigma\in L'$ into δ_σ, and, hence, π'_0 is extended to a PL map $\pi'_0:\sigma_0\to\partial\sigma_0$ so that π'_0 is linear on each simplex in L'. Note $\pi'_0=\mathrm{id}$ on $\partial\sigma_0$. Regard σ as an $\mathbf{R}$-simplex and apply lemma 1.2 to $\pi'_0:\sigma_R\to\partial\sigma_R$. Then π'_0 is PL homotopic to the R-extension of some $\mathbf{R}$-PL map $\pi''_0:\sigma\to\partial\sigma$. Here the R-extension of $\pi''_0|_{\partial\sigma}:\partial\sigma\to\partial\sigma$ is PL homotopic to the identity map of $\partial\sigma_R$. Once more, apply lemma 1.2 to these two maps. Then $\pi''_0|_{\partial\sigma}$ is PL homotopic to id. That contradicts the fact $H_{\dim\sigma_0}(\sigma_0,\partial\sigma_0)=\mathbf{Z}$ in the real case. Hence $\pi(\sigma_0)=\sigma_0$.

We extend the given homotopy of $\pi|_{\partial\sigma_0}$ by the method of cone extension (II.1.16) called the Alexander trick. Let $\operatorname{Int}\sigma_0$ contain 0. Let $g_\partial : \partial\sigma_0 \times [-1,\, 1] \to \partial\sigma_0$ be a definable C^0 map such that $g_\partial(\cdot, -1) = \pi|_{\partial\sigma_0}$ and $g_\partial(\cdot, 1) = \mathrm{id}$. Extend $g_\partial(\cdot,-1)$ to $\pi|_{\sigma_0}$ on σ_0 and $g_\partial(\cdot, 1)$ to id on σ_0, and set

$$g(s(x,t)) = sg_\partial(x,t) \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in \partial(\sigma_0 \times [-1,\, 1]),\ s \in [0,\, 1].$$

Then g is a well-defined definable C^0 map from $\sigma_0 \times [-1,\, 1]$ to σ_0 and fulfills the requirements. Thus we have shown surjectivity and also that π is preserving P.

Injectivity of $q|_{|K_1|}$. We will modify the above linear changing of the coordinate systems of $R^n, \dots, R^2$ so that $q|_{|K_1|}$ is injective. For each $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, let E_j denote the union of $A_{j,k}$ with $\dim A_{j,kt} < n+1-j$ for each $t \in R$, where $A_{j,kt} = \{x \in R^{n+1-j} : (x,t) \in A_{j,k}\}$ as above. Let $q_j : R^{n+1-j} \times R \to R^{n+1-j}$ denote the projection. Remember E_1 and q_1 equal A' and q, respectively, and $q_1|_{E_1}$ is injective. We, however, do not know whether the other $q_j|_{E_j}$ are finite-to-one maps. Assume they are so and, moreover, using (II.1.17) choose $\{A_{j,k}\}_k$ and $\{A''_{j,k''}\}_{k''}$ so that $q_j|_{E_j} : \{A_{j,k} : A_{j,k} \subset E_j\}_k \to \{q_j(A_{j,k}) : A_{j,k} \subset E_j\}_k$ and $q_j|_{E_j} : \{A''_{j,k''} : A''_{j,k''} \subset E_j\}_{k''} \to \{q_j(A''_{j,k''}) : A''_{j,k''} \subset E_j\}_{k''}$ are definable C^1 stratifications of $q_j|_{E_j}$. Then by induction on $n-j$ and by the method of construction of τ_1 we see

$$q_j \circ \tau_j^{-1}(\overline{A''}_{j,k_1''}) = q_j \circ \tau_j^{-1}(\overline{A''}_{j,k_2''}) \quad \text{if} \quad q_j(A''_{j,k_1''}) = q_j(A''_{j,k_2''}),$$

the vertices of each $q_j(\overline{A''}_{j,k''})$ span a simplex of the same dimension as $A''_{j,k''}$, say $\sigma_{j,k''}$, which equals $q_j \circ \tau_j^{-1}(\overline{A''}_{j,k''})$, $\sigma_{j,k_1''}$ is a proper face of $\sigma_{j,k_2''}$ if $A''_{j,k_1''} \subset \overline{A''}_{j,k_2''} - A''_{j,k_2''}$, and then $\{\sigma_{j,k''}\}_{k''}$ is a simplicial complex. In the case of $j=1$ there are no $k_1'' \neq k_2''$ such that $q_1(A''_{1,k_1''}) = q_1(A''_{1,k_2''})$ since $q_1|_A$ is injective. Hence $q_1|_{|K_1|} : K_1 \to \{\sigma_{1,k''}\}_{k''}$ is a simplicial isomorphism. Therefore $q|_{|K_1|}$ is injective.

We changed linearly the coordinate systems of $R^n, \dots, R^2$ in sequence. We need to choose the linear transformations so that the assumption—$q_j|_{E_j}$ are finite-to-one maps—is satisfied. It suffices to consider only A $(\subset R^n \times R)$ assuming $q|_A$ is a finite-to-one map in place of injectivity and to find a direction $\lambda \in S^{n-1}$ not singular for A_t for each $t \in R$ except a finite number of points and such that $q_2|_{E_2}$ is a finite-to-one map. Go back to the stratification $\{A_j\}_j$ of A such that $p|_A : \{A_j\}_j \to \{p(A_j)\}_j$ is a definable C^1 stratification of $p|_A$. From the beginning we assume $q|_{A_j}$ is a C^1 imbedding for each j. Since we can ignore A_j with $\dim A_{jt} = n$ for some $t \in R$ we assume $\dim A_{jt} < n$ for any j and t. Note then $p|_{A_j}$ is regular for each A_j of dimension n. By definition $p_1 : R^n \times R \to R^{n-1} \times R$ is the projection to the direction $\lambda \in S^{n-1}$. Then we have the **canonical** method of substratification of $\{A_j\}_j$ to $\{A_{1,j}\}_j$ so that $p_1|_A : \{A_{1,j}\}_j \to \{A_{2,j}\}_j$ is a definable C^1 stratification of $p_1|_A$ (see II.1.17). It follows from the definition of the canonical substratification that

$$\begin{aligned}&\cup\{A_{2,j} : \dim A_{2,j} < n\}_j = \\ &p_1\big(\cup\{A_j : \dim A_j < n\}_j \cup \cup\{\operatorname{Sing} p_1|_{A_j} : \dim A_j = n\}_j\big),\end{aligned}$$

where Sing denotes the singular point set of a C^1 map. Clearly E_2 is included in $\cup\{A_{2,j} : \dim A_{2,j} < n\}_j$ and we can ignore $A_{2,j}$ outside of E_2. Hence it suffices to choose λ not singular for A_t for each $t \in R$ except a finite number of points such that the restriction of q_2 to the right side of the above equality is a finite-to-one map. Therefore what we prove is that for each A_j, the set of $\lambda \in S^{n-1}$ such that the restriction of q_2 to $p_1(A_j)$ if $\dim A_j < n$, or to $p_1(\operatorname{Sing} p_1|_{A_j})$ otherwise, is not a finite-to-one map is included in a definable set of dimension $< n-1$. (By the above method of construction of $\{A_{j,k}\}_k$, $j = 1, ..., n+1$, we substratify the canonical stratification $\{A_{2,j}\}_k$ and ignore $A_{2,j}$ of dimension n in the canonical one. Hence $q_2|_{E_2}$ is a finite-to-one map for any substratification if $q_2|_{E_2}$ is a finite-to-one map for the canonical one.)

Assume $\dim A_j < n$ and consider $q_2|_{p_1(A_j)}$. Let $p'_1 : R^n \to R^{n-1}$ denote the projection to the direction λ. Then $\dim q_1(A_j) < n$ and, hence, $p'_1 \circ q_1$ $(= q_2 \circ p_1)$ on A_j is a finite-to-one map for $\lambda \in S^{n-1}$ except a definable subset of S^{n-1} of dimension $< n-1$. We choose λ outside of this definable subset. If $q_2 \circ p_1|_{A_j}$ is a finite-to-one map, so is $q_2|_{p_1(A_j)}$. Thus the problem is solved.

Note 3. We will approximate p_1 by a definable C^1 map $\tilde{p}_1 : R^n \times R \to R^{n-1} \times R$ and define $\hat{p}_1$ as in note 2 so that p'_1 on $q_1 \circ \hat{p}_1(A_j)$ is a finite-to-one map. Then $p|_{\hat{p}_1(A)} : \{\hat{p}_1(A_k)\}_k \to \{p(A_k)\}_k$ is a definable C^1 stratification of $p|_{\hat{p}_1(A)}$, and we can replace A with $\hat{p}_1(A)$ keeping the property that $q_2|_{p_1(A_j)}$ is a finite-to-one map.

Assume $\dim A_j = n$. Let $T_{(x,t)}A_j$ denote the tangent space of A_j at a point $(x,t) \in A_j$. By definition $p_1|_{A_j}$ is singular at (x,t) if and only if $(\lambda, 0) \in T_{(x,t)}A_j$. Hence $q_2|_{p_1(\operatorname{Sing} p_1|_{A_j})}$ is not a finite-to-one map if and only if there exist an open interval I in R and a definable C^1 curve $\xi : I \to A_j$ of the form $\xi(t) = (\xi'(t), t)$ such that $(\lambda, 0) \in T_{\xi(t)}A_j$ for $t \in I$ and $p'_1 \circ \xi'$ is constant. By the last property $\xi'(t)$ is of the form $\rho(t)\lambda + a$ for some definable C^1 function ρ on I and $a \in R^n$. Hence $\operatorname{Im} d\xi_t$ is a line $R(\frac{d\rho}{dt}(t)\lambda, 1)$ for each $t \in I$. It follows $(\frac{d\rho}{dt}(t)\lambda, 1) \in T_{\xi(t)}A_j$. Therefore $(0,1) \in T_{\xi(t)}A_j$, which contradicts the property that $q|_{A_j}$ is a C^1 finite-to-one map. Thus $q_2|_{p_1(\operatorname{Sing} p_1|_{A_j})}$ is always a finite-to-one map, which completes the proof in the case of $C = \emptyset$.

In place of the assumption $C = \emptyset$ we forget $\{X_i\}$ and assume X is a PL manifold with boundary of the same dimension n as the dimension of the ambient space of X, $f \geq 0$, $f^{-1}(0) = \partial X$ and f is PL on a neighborhood of ∂X in X. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $A \cap R^n \times [0, 3\epsilon]$ is a polyhedron. Note that X is the closure of the union of some connected components of $R^n - \partial X$ and X is uniquely determined by ∂X, to be precise, if X' is another compact PL manifold with the same boundary as X then $X' = X$, which is clear for $R = \mathbf{R}$ and for general R by remark 1.3. We wish to delete C. Go back to the definable C^1 stratification $p_1|_A : \{A_j\}_j \to \{p_1(A_j)\}_j$ such that $p_1|_{A' - R^n \times B}$ is a finite-to-one map and p' on $q_1(A_j)$ is a finite-to-one map for A_j of dimension $< n$. Approximate p_1 by $\tilde{p}_1$, in the compact-open C^1 topology as mentioned, and define $\hat{p}_1$ as in note 2. Assume that (i) $p_1|_{\hat{p}_1(A')}$ is a finite-to-one map, i.e., B vanishes for $\hat{p}_1(A')$, (ii) $\tilde{p}_1 = p_1$ on $A \cap R^n \times [0, \epsilon]$, (iii) the value of $\tilde{p}_1 - p_1$ depends on only x_1 on $A - R^n \times [0, 2\epsilon]$ and (iv) p'_1 on $q \circ \hat{p}_1(A_j - R^n \times [0, 2\epsilon])$ is a finite-to-one map for A_j of dimension $< n$. Then $q|_{\hat{p}_1(A)}$ is injective for the following reason. If we fix x_1, $\hat{p}_1$ is a parallel translation of $A \cap \{x_1\} \times R^{n-1} \times [2\epsilon, \infty)$ by (iii). Hence $q|_{\hat{p}_1(A) \cap R^n \times [2\epsilon, \infty)}$ is injective. On the other hand, $A \cap R^n \times [0,\, 3\epsilon]$ is a compact polyhedron and $\hat{p}_1$ is close to the identity map in the compact-open C^1 topology. Hence $q \circ \hat{p}_1|_{A \cap R^n \times [0,\, 3\epsilon]}$ is a C^1 imbedding since $q|_{A \cap R^n \times [0,\, 3\epsilon]}$ is a C^1 imbedding (Lemma I.3.14). Therefore $q|_{\hat{p}_1(A)}$ is injective. By the same reason it follows from (iv) that p'_1 on $q \circ \hat{p}_1(A_j)$ is a finite-to-one map for A_j of dimension $< n$. By (ii) we see $q(\hat{p}_1(A \cap R^n \times \{0\})) = f^{-1}(0) = \partial X$. Hence $q(\hat{p}_1(A))$ is a compact PL manifold in R^n with the same boundary as X and equals X as noted above. Consequently $q|_{\hat{p}_1(A)}$ is a homeomorphism onto X.

Substratify $\{\hat{p}_1(A_j)\}_j$ so that $p_1|_{\hat{p}_1(A)} : \{\hat{p}_1(A_j)\}_j \to \{p_1 \circ \hat{p}_1(A_j)\}_j$ is a definable C^1 stratification and keep the same notation. In the same way we define $p_2, \tilde{p}_2, \hat{p}_2$ for $\cup\{p_1 \circ \hat{p}_1(A_j) : \dim p_1 \circ \hat{p}_1(A_j)_t < n-1, t \in R\}_j$ with the properties corresponding to (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv). Repeat the same arguments and obtain $p_3, \tilde{p}_3, \hat{p}_3, ..., p_{n-1}, \tilde{p}_{n-1}, \hat{p}_{n-1}$ and $\eta = \hat{p}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \hat{p}_1$. Then $q|_{\eta(A)}$ is a homeomorphism onto X by the same reason as for $q|_{\hat{p}_1(A)}$, and the arguments of triangulation of $\eta(A)$ via $R^n \times R \xrightarrow{p_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{p_n} R$ proceed with empty C so that the condition in the above proof of injectivity of $q|_{|K_1|}$ is satisfied. Hence there exist a simplicial complex K in $R^n \times R$ and a triangulation $\tau : |K| \to \eta(A)$ such that $q|_{|K|}$ is a homeomorphism onto X and τ is of the form $\tau(x,t) = (\tau_1(x,t), t)$. Then $q \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \tau \circ (q|_{|K_1|})^{-1} : X \to X$ is the required definable triangulation of f. Thus what we need to prove is the following statement.

Statement. Given A, A', p_1 and $\{A_j\}_j$ as above, then p_1 is approximated by $\tilde{p}_1$ so that (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) are satisfied.

Proof of the statement. We can forget (ii) and replace (iii) and (iv) with the conditions that (iii)$'$ the value of $\tilde{p}_1 - p_1$ depends on only x_1 and (iv)$'$ p'_1 on $q \circ \hat{p}_1(A_j)$ is a finite-to-one map for A_j of dimension $< n$, respectively, for the following reason. Let ζ be a definable C^1 function on R such that $\zeta = 0$ on $(-\infty,\, \epsilon]$ and $\zeta = 1$ on $[2\epsilon,\, \infty)$. Assume we have $\tilde{p}_1$ sufficiently close to p_1 with (i), (iii)$'$ and (iv)$'$. Set

$$\tilde{\tilde{p}}_1(x,t) = (1-\zeta(t))p_1(x) + \zeta(t)\tilde{p}_1(x) \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in R^n \times R,$$

and define $\hat{\hat{p}}_1$ by $\tilde{\tilde{p}}_1$ as in note 2. Then $\tilde{\tilde{p}}_1$ is close to p_1, p_1 is a finite-to-one map on $\hat{\hat{p}}_1(A') - R^n \times [0,\, 2\epsilon]$ by (i) and on $\hat{\hat{p}}_1(A') \cap R^n \times [0,\, 2\epsilon]$ by Lemma I.3.14 because we can choose $\{A_j\}_j$ so that $A' \cap R^n \times [0,\, 2\epsilon]$ is a polyhedron, hence, (i) holds for $\hat{\hat{p}}_1$, and (ii), (iii) and (iv) are clear for $\hat{\hat{p}}_1$ by the definition of $\tilde{\tilde{p}}_1$. Thus (i),..,(iv) are replaced with (i), (iii)$'$ and (iv)$'$.

Moreover if (iii)$'$ is satisfied then (iv)$'$ is equivalent to that $p_1|_{\hat{p}_1(q^{-1}(q(A_j)))}$ is a finite-to-one map for A_j of dimension $< n$. Hence, adding such $q^{-1}(q(A_j))$ to A' and assuming only that A' is a compact definable set in $R^n \times R$ with $\dim A'_t < n$ for each $t \in R$ we will find $\tilde{p}_1$ so that (i) and (iii)$'$ are satisfied.

For each $s = (s_1, ..., s_{n+1}) \in (\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^{n+1} \subset (R^n)^{n+1}$, define a definable C^∞ submersion $r_s : R^n \times R \to R^{n-1} \times R$ by

$$r_s(x,t) = p_1(x,t) - (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_1^i s_i, 0) \quad \text{for } (x,t) = (x_1, ..., x_n, t) \in R^n \times R.$$

Then $r_0 = p_1$, if s is close to 0 in $(R^n)^{n+1}$ then r_s is close to p_1 as a C^1 map, and if we choose r_s as $\tilde{p}_1$ then (iii)$'$ is satisfied. Hence we will find s close to 0 so that $\tilde{p}_1 = r_s$ satisfies (i). For each $t \in R$, let Y_t denote the points $s \in (\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^{n+1}$ such that $A' \cap r_s^{-1}(x_2, ..., x_n, t)$ $(= A'_t \times \{t\} \cap r_s^{-1}(x_2, ..., x_n, t))$ is of dimension 1 for some $(x_2, ..., x_n, t)$. Then Y_t and the union Y of all Y_t, $t \in R$, are definable subsets of $(\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^{n+1}$ and r_s satisfies (i) if and only if $s \notin Y$. Hence it suffices to see Y_t is of codimension > 1 in $(\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^{n+1}$ for each t because if so then Y is of positive codimension and there exists a point outside of Y and arbitrarily close to 0. Therefore we consider only $A'_0 \subset R^n$ and Y_0. Moreover we restrict the problem at each point of A'_0 by the same reason. To be precise, let $x \in A'_0$ and let $Y_{x,0}$ denote the points s of Y_0 such that the germ of $A'_0 \cap r_s^{-1}(x'_2, ..., x'_n, 0)$ at x is of dimension 1 for some $(x'_2, ..., x'_n)$. Then we only need to prove $\operatorname{codim} Y_{x,0} > n$.

For simplicity of notation we restate the problem. Let A be a definable set in R^n of dimension $< n$ containing 0, for each $s = (s_1, ..., s_{n+1}) \in (\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^{n+1}$, define $r_s : R^n \to R^{n-1}$ by

$$r_s(x) = (x_2, ..., x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_1^i s_i \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in R^n,$$

and let Y denote the points $s \in (\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^{n+1}$ such that the germ of $A \cap r_s^{-1}(0)$ at 0 is of dimension 1. Then what we prove is that Y is of codimension $> n$ in $(\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^{n+1}$. We write Y as $Y(A)$ when we need to clarify that Y is defined from A.

We can assume A is C^{n+1} smooth because if A is the union of definable sets Z_1 and Z_2 then $Y(A) = Y(Z_1) \cup Y(Z_2)$ and A admits a finite stratification into definable C^{n+1} manifolds (II.1.8, whose R-case is proved in the same way). For each integer m with $1 \le m \le n+1$, let $\theta_m : (\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^{n+1} \to \{0\} \times R^{n-1}$ denote the projection to the m-th factor. Then it suffices to see that $\theta_m\big(Y \cap (\theta_1 \times \cdots \times \theta_{m-1})^{-1}(s_1, ..., s_{m-1})\big)$ is of positive codimension in $\{0\} \times R^{n-1}$ for each $(s_1, ..., s_{m-1}) \in \theta_1 \times \cdots \times \theta_{m-1}(Y)$ $(\subset (\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^{m-1})$.

Case of $m = 1$. Let $s_1 = (0, a_2, ..., a_n) \in \theta_1(Y) \subset \{0\} \times R^{n-1}$. Then the germ of $r_s^{-1}(0)$ at 0 is included in A for some $s = (s_1, ..., s_{n+1}) \in (\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^{n+1}$,

$$r_s^{-1}(0) = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in R^n : (x_2, ..., x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_1^i s_i = 0\},$$

$r_s^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth curve and, hence, the tangent line of the curve at 0—$\{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in R^n : (x_2, ..., x_n) - x_1 s_1 = 0\}$ $(= \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in R^n : x_i = a_i x_1,\ i = 2, ..., n\})$—is tangent to the tangent space T_0A of A at 0, namely, $(1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ is a tangent vector of A at 0. Therefore $\theta_1(Y)$ is of positive codimension.

Case of $m = 2$. Let $s_1 \in \theta_1(Y)$. Translate linearly R^{n-1} so that $s_1 = 0$. Then the x_1-axis is tangent to T_0A. Let $h : R^n \ni (x_1, ..., x_n) \to (x_1, x_1x_2, ..., x_1x_n) \in R^n$ be the blowing-up with center 0. Then $h^{-1}(A)$ is a definable C^n manifold, and $Y \cap \theta_1^{-1}(0)$ consists of points $(0, s_2, ..., s_{n+1}) \in \{0\} \times (\{0\} \times R^{n-1})^n$ such that the germ of $h^{-1}(A) \cap r^{-1}_{(s_2, ..., s_{n+1})}(0)$ at 0 is of dimension 1, where $r_{(s_2, ..., s_{n+1})} : R^n \to R^{n-1}$ is defined by

$$r_{(s_2, ..., s_{n+1})}(x) = (x_2, ..., x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_1^i s_{i+1} \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in R^n.$$

Hence we see $\operatorname{codim}\theta_2(Y\cap\theta_1^{-1}(0))>0$ in the same way as in the case of $m=1$.

Repeat the same arguments. Then we see $\theta_m\big(Y\cap(\theta_1\times\cdots\times\theta_{m-1})^{-1}(s_1,...,s_{m-1})\big)$ is of positive codimension in $\{0\}\times R^{n-1}$. Thus we prove the statement and, hence, theorem 2.2,(2) in the case where $\{X_i\}=\emptyset$, X is a PL manifold with boundary of dimension n, $f\ge 0$, $f^{-1}(0)=\partial X$ and f is PL on a neighborhood of ∂X in X. $\square$

Remark 2.3. *By note 1 in the above proof we have already shown locally a definable triangulation of f. To be precise, let f be a definable C^0 function on a compact polyhedron X, X_i a finite number of definable subsets of X and C a finite subset of R. Then there exist a closed definable neighborhood J of C in R and a definable triangulation $\pi_J: Y_J\to f^{-1}(J)$ of $f|_{f^{-1}(J)}$ such that Y_J is a neighborhood of $f^{-1}(C)$ in X and $\pi_J^{-1}(X_i)$ are polyhedra. If X_i are compact polyhedra, we can choose π_J so that $\pi_J^{-1}(X_i)\subset X_i$.*

It has been also shown that f admits a definable triangulation outside of $f^{-1}(C)$ for some finite set $C\subset\operatorname{Im}f$. To be precise, for any compact definable subset I of $\operatorname{Im}f-C$ there exists a definable triangulation $\pi_I: Y_I\to f^{-1}(I)$ of $f|_{f^{-1}(I)}$ such that $Y_I\subset R^n$, $\pi_I^{-1}(X_i)$ are polyhedra and if X is a polyhedron then $Y_I\subset X$.

By theorem 2.2,(2) in the above special case and remark 2.3 we will prove theorem 2.1. We proceed by induction on $\dim X_1$ together with the following complement.

Complement of theorem 2.1. *A definable homeomorphism $(X_1,X_{1,i})_{i=1,\dots,k}\to(X_2,X_{2,i})_{i=1,\dots,k}$ is definably isotopic to a PL homeomorphism $(X_1,X_{1,i})_i\to(X_2,X_{2,i})_i$ through homeomorphisms.*

Let theorem 2.1_m denote theorem 2.1 for X_1 of dimension $\le m$, and define complement$_m$ in the same way.

Proof that theorem 2.1_m implies complement$_m$. Assume $\dim X_1\le m$ and theorem 2.1_m holds. Let $\pi:(X_1,X_{1,i})_i\to(X_2,X_{2,i})_i$ be a definable homeomorphism, and let K_1 and K_2 be simplicial decompositions of X_1 and X_2 compatible with $\{X_{1,i}\}$ and $\{X_{2,i}\}$ respectively. First we reduce the problem to the case of $\{X_{1,i}\}=K_1$. By the triangulation theorem of definable sets we have a simplicial subdivision K_2' of K_2 and a definable homeomorphism τ of X_2 preserving K_2 such that $\{\tau(\sigma'):\sigma'\in K_2'\}$ is compatible with $\{\pi(\sigma_1):\sigma_1\in K_1\}$. Then $\tau^{-1}(\sigma_2)$ and $\tau^{-1}\circ\pi(\sigma_1)$ are polyhedra for $\sigma_2\in K_2$ and $\sigma_1\in K_1$. Consider two definable homeomorphisms $\tau^{-1}:(X_2,\sigma_2)_{\sigma_2\in K_2}\to(X_2,\tau^{-1}(\sigma_2))_{\sigma_2\in K_2}$ and $\tau^{-1}\circ\pi:(X_1,\sigma_1)_{\sigma_1\in K_1}\to(X_2,\tau^{-1}\circ\pi(\sigma_1))_{\sigma_1\in K_1}$. Then it suffices to see they are definably isotopic to PL homeomorphisms through homeomorphisms. Hence we can assume from the beginning $\{X_{1,i}\}_i=K_1$.

Let $r\in\mathbf{N}$. We will construct a definable isotopy $\Pi_r:|K_1^r|\times[0,1]\to\pi(|K_1^r|)$ of $\pi|_{|K_1^r|}$ through homeomorphisms by induction on r so that $\Pi_r|_{|K_1^r|\times\{1\}}$ is PL and $\Pi_r(\sigma_1\times[0,1])=\pi(\sigma_1)$ for $\sigma_1\in K_1^r$. We define naturally Π_0 by $\Pi_0(x,t)=x$ for $(x,t)\in|K_1^0|\times[0,1]$. Assume we have Π_r and let $\sigma_1\in K_1^{r+1}-K_1^r$. Then it suffices to extend $\Pi_r|_{\partial\sigma_1\times[0,1]}$ to $\sigma_1\times[0,1]$. Assume $0\in\operatorname{Int}\sigma_1$. By theorem 2.1_m there exists a PL homeomorphism $\theta:\pi(\sigma_1)\to\sigma_1$. Then $\theta\circ\Pi_r|_{\partial\sigma_1\times[0,1]}$ is a definable isotopy of $\theta\circ\pi|_{\partial\sigma_1}$ through homeomorphisms and

$\theta \circ \Pi_r|_{\partial\sigma_1 \times \{1\}}$ is PL. Set

$$\Theta(x,t) = \begin{cases} \theta \circ \Pi_r(x,t) & \text{for } (x,t) \in \partial\sigma_1 \times [0,\, 1] \\ \theta \circ \pi(x) & \text{for } (x,t) \in \sigma_1 \times \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

and extend it to $\sigma_1 \times [0,\, 1]$ by the Alexander trick, i.e., so that $\Theta(0,1) = 0$ and Θ is linear on the segment joining $(0,1)$ to each point of $\partial\sigma_1 \times [0,\, 1] \cup \sigma_1 \times \{0\}$. Then Θ is an extension of $\theta \circ \Pi_r|_{\partial\sigma_1 \times [0,\, 1]}$, a definable isotopy of $\theta \circ \pi|_{\sigma_1}$ through homeomorphisms and of class PL on $\sigma_1 \times \{1\}$. Hence, if we define Π_{r+1} on $\sigma_1 \times [0,\, 1]$ to be $\theta^{-1} \circ \Theta$ then Π_{r+1} fulfills the requirements. Thus induction process works and complement$_m$ is proved. □

Proof of theorem 2.1. We prove theorem 2.1 by induction on $m = \dim X_1$. It is trivial if $m = 0$. Hence assume $m > 0$ and theorem 2.1 and the complement hold for smaller dimensional X_1. Let $\pi : (X_1, X_{1,i})_i \to (X_2, X_{2,i})_i$ be a definable homeomorphism.

First we prove that X_1 is a PL manifold with boundary if so is X_2. Assume X_2 is a PL manifold with boundary. Note then X_1 is a polyhedral definable C^0 manifold with boundary. Let $x \in X_1$ and f a non-negative PL function on X_1 with zero set $\{x\}$. Then it suffices to see $f^{-1}(\epsilon)$ is a PL ball or sphere of dimension $m-1$ for small $\epsilon > 0 \in R$ by invariance of links (Lemma 2.19 in [R-S]). Apply the former half of remark 2.3 to a definable C^0 function $f \circ \pi^{-1}$ on X_2, a finite subset $\{0\}$ of R and compact polyhedra $(X_2, \pi(x))$. Then there exists a compact polyhedral neighborhood U of $\pi(x)$ in X_2 and a definable C^0 imbedding $\tau : U \to X_2$ such that $\tau \circ \pi(x) = \pi(x)$, $\tau(U)$ is a neighborhood of $\pi(x)$ in X_2 and $f \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \tau$ is PL. Hence $(f \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \tau)^{-1}(\epsilon)$ is a PL ball or sphere of dimension $m-1$ for small $\epsilon > 0 \in R$ since a neighborhood of $\pi(x)$ in U is a PL manifold and $f \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \tau$ is a non-negative PL function with zero set $\{\pi(x)\}$. Choose ϵ so small that $\tau(U)$ includes $(f \circ \pi^{-1})^{-1}(\epsilon)$. Then $f^{-1}(\epsilon)$ and $(f \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \tau)^{-1}(\epsilon)$ are definably homeomorphic and, hence, PL homeomorphic by induction hypothesis. Therefore $f^{-1}(\epsilon)$ is a PL ball or sphere of dimension $m-1$.

Next we reduce the problem to the case where X_1 is included in R^m and X_2 is a simplex of dimension m. Let K_1 be a simplicial decomposition of $(X_1, X_{1,i})_i$, i.e., a simplicial decomposition of X_1 such that each $X_{1,i}$ is the union of some simplexes in K_1. Note each $X_{2,i}$ is the union of some $\pi(\sigma_1)$, $\sigma_1 \in K_1$. Apply the triangulation theorem of definable sets to $(X_2, \pi(\sigma_1))_{\sigma_1 \in K_1}$. Then we have a simplicial decomposition K_2 of $(X_2, X_{2,i})_i$ and a definable homeomorphism τ of X_2 such that for each $\sigma_1 \in K_1$, $\pi(\sigma_1)$ is the union of some $\tau(\sigma_2)$, $\sigma_2 \in K_2$. Replace π with $\tau^{-1} \circ \pi$. Then we can assume from the beginning there is a simplicial decomposition K_2 of $(X_2, X_{2,i})_i$ such that for each $\sigma_1 \in K_1$, $\pi(\sigma_1)$ is the union of some $\sigma_2 \in K_2$. Moreover repeating the same arguments on K_1 we assume there exists a simplicial subdivision K_1' of K_1 such that for each $\sigma_2 \in K_2$, $\pi^{-1}(\sigma_2)$ is the union of some $\sigma_1' \in K_1'$. Then it suffices to see each $\pi^{-1}(\sigma_2)$ is PL homeomorphic to σ_2 by the following reason.

Assume we have proved it and let $\alpha_{\sigma_2} : \sigma_2 \to \pi^{-1}(\sigma_2)$ be PL homeomorphisms. We will construct a definable isotopy $\Pi : X_1 \times [0,\, 1] \to X_2$ through homeomorphisms so that $\Pi(\pi^{-1}(\sigma_2) \times [0,\, 1]) = \sigma_2$ for $\sigma_2 \in K_2$ and $\Pi|_{X_1 \times \{1\}}$ is PL. Let $r \in \mathbf{N}$ and suppose by induction on r we have defined Π on $\pi^{-1}(|K_2^r|) \times [0,\, 1]$. Let $\sigma_2 \in K_2^{r+1} - K_2^r$ and fix a point a in $\operatorname{Int}\sigma_2$. Set

$$\Pi_{\sigma_2}(x,t)=\begin{cases}\Pi(\alpha_{\sigma_2}(x),t) & \text{for } (x,t)\in\partial\sigma_2\times[0,\,1],\\ (\pi\circ\alpha_{\sigma_2}(x),0) & \text{for } (x,t)\in\sigma_2\times\{0\}.\end{cases}$$

Then Π_{σ_2} is a definable homeomorphism of $\partial\sigma_2\times[0,\,1]\cup\sigma_2\times\{0\}$ and Π_{σ_2} is PL on $\partial\sigma_2\times\{1\}$. Extend it to a definable homeomorphism of $\sigma_2\times[0,\,1]$ by the Alexander trick, i.e., so that $\Pi_{\sigma_2}(a,1)=(a,1)$ and Π_{σ_2} is linear on the segment joining $(a,1)$ to each point of $\partial\sigma_2\times[0,\,1]\cup\sigma_2\times\{0\}$. Then Π_{σ_2} is PL on $\sigma_2\times\{1\}$. Hence if we define Π on $\pi^{-1}(\sigma_2)\times[0,\,1]$ by $(\Pi(x,t),t)=\Pi_{\sigma_2}(\alpha_{\sigma_2}^{-1}(x),t)$ then π fulfills the requirements.

By the same reason it also suffices to prove $\pi(\sigma_1)$ is PL homeomorphic to σ_1 for each $\sigma_1\in K_1$ and by induction hypothesis we consider only σ_1 of dimension m and $\pi|_{\sigma_1}:\sigma_1\to\pi(\sigma_1)$. Namely we assume $X_1=\sigma_1$. Then we can replace the ambient space R^n of X_1 with the linear space spanned by σ_1, which is of dimension m, and assume $X_1\subset R^m$. Under this assumption we will prove $\pi^{-1}(\sigma_2)$ is PL homeomorphic to σ_2 for each $\sigma_2\in K_2$. By induction hypothesis $\pi^{-1}(\sigma_2)$ is PL homeomorphic to σ_2 if $\dim\sigma_2<m$. Hence we consider the case of $\dim\sigma_2=m$. Then since $\pi^{-1}(\sigma_2)$ is included in R^m, what we prove is the following statement.

Statement. Let X be a compact polyhedron in R^m which is definably homeomorphic to a simplex σ of dimension m. Then X is PL homeomorphic to σ.

Proof of the statement. As shown already X is a PL manifold with boundary and, hence, ∂X is a PL manifold. Let $c_X:\partial X\times[0,\,1]\to X$ and $c_\sigma:\partial\sigma\times[0,\,1]\to\sigma$ be PL collars on ∂X in X and on $\partial\sigma$ in σ, respectively (p. 24, 25 and 26 in [R-S]), i.e., PL imbeddings such that $c_X(\cdot,0)=c_\sigma(\cdot,0)=\mathrm{id}$ and $\operatorname{Im}c_X$ and $\operatorname{Im}c_\sigma$ are neighborhoods of ∂X in X and of $\partial\sigma$ in σ respectively. Then X and σ are PL homeomorphic to $X-c_X(\partial X\times[0,\,1/2))$ and $\sigma-c_\sigma(\partial\sigma\times[0,\,1/2))$ respectively. Hence we have a definable homeomorphism from $X-c_X(\partial X\times[0,\,1/2))$ to $\sigma-c_\sigma(\partial\sigma\times[0,\,1/2))$. The homeomorphism is extensible to a definable homeomorphism π from X to σ which carries each $c_X(\partial X\times\{t\})$, $t\in[0,\,1/2]$, to $c_\sigma(\partial\sigma\times\{t\})$ and such that $\pi|_{c_X(\partial X\times[0,\,1/4])}$ is of class PL because a definable homeomorphism from ∂X to $\partial\sigma$ is definably isotopic to a PL homeomorphism from ∂X to $\partial\sigma$ through homeomorphisms by induction hypothesis (complement$_m$).

Let $0\in\operatorname{Int}\sigma$, and define a non-negative PL function g on σ and a non-negative definable C^0 function f on X by

$$g(tx)=1-t\quad\text{for } (x,t)\in\partial\sigma\times[0,\,1],$$

and $f=g\circ\pi$. Then $g^{-1}(0)=\partial\sigma$, $g^{-1}(1)=\{0\}$, $f^{-1}(0)=\partial X$, $g^{-1}([t,\,1])$ is PL homeomorphic to σ for each $t\in[0,\,1)$, and f is PL on a compact polyhedral neighborhood of ∂X in X.

Apply theorem 2.2,(2) in the special case to X and f. Then there exist a definable homeomorphism ξ of X such that $f\circ\xi$ is PL. Let K be a simplicial decomposition of X such that $f\circ\xi$ is linear on each simplex in K. Since $\dim X=m>0$, $f\circ\xi(K^0)$ consists of at least two numbers. Set $l=\#f\circ\xi(K^0)$. We will prove that X is PL homeomorphic to σ by induction on l.

Assume $l = 2$. Then $K^0 - \partial X$ consists of one point $\pi^{-1}(0)$. Hence X is the cone with base ∂X and vertex $\pi^{-1}(0)$. Therefore X is PL homeomorphic to σ. Assume $l > 2$ and set $t_0 = \min(f \circ \xi(K^0) - \{0\})$. Then $t_0 < 1$, and $(f \circ \xi)^{-1}([0,\, t_0/2])$ is a regular neighborhood of ∂X in X and, hence, a PL collar (Corollary 3.9 in [R-S]). (Here we give the definition of a regular neighborhood. Let Y be a compact subpolyhedron of a compact polyhedron X. A *regular neighborhood* U of Y in X is a compact polyhedral neighborhood of Y in X such that there exist compact polyhedra $X_1 \supset U_1 \supset Y_1$, a PL homeomorphism $h : (X, U, Y) \to (X_1, U_1, Y_1)$ and a simplicial decomposition K_1 of X_1 such that $K_1|_{Y_1}$ is a full subcomplex of K_1 and $U_1 = \cup\{|\operatorname{st}(\sigma, K_1')| : \sigma \in K_1'|_{Y_1}\}$, where K_1' denotes the barycentric subdivision of K_1.) Hence $(f \circ \xi)^{-1}([0,\, t_0/2])$ is PL homeomorphic to $\partial X \times [0,\, 1]$. On the other hand, since $g^{-1}([0,\, t_0])$ is a PL manifold with boundary, $(f \circ \xi)^{-1}([0,\, t_0])$ is a polyhedral definable C^0 manifold with boundary. Hence $(f \circ \xi)^{-1}([0,\, t_0])$ is a PL manifold with boundary $(f \circ \xi)^{-1}(t_0) \cup \partial X$. Therefore $(f \circ \xi)^{-1}([t_0/2,\, t_0])$ is PL homeomorphic to $(f \circ \xi)^{-1}(t_0) \times [0,\, 1]$ by the same reason as above. Here $(f \circ \xi)^{-1}(t_0)$ is PL homeomorphic to $\partial\sigma$. Hence $(f \circ \xi)^{-1}([0,\, t_0])$ is PL homeomorphic to $\partial X \times [0,\, 1]$ by elementary arguments of PL topology. Remember that $g^{-1}([t_0,\, 1])$ is PL homeomorphic to σ. Then by induction hypothesis on l, $(f \circ \xi)^{-1}([t_0,\, 1])$ is PL homeomorphic to σ. Consequently X is PL homeomorphic to σ, which proves the statement, theorem 2.1 and, hence, its complement. □

Continued proof of theorem 2.2. (1) By the latter half of remark 2.3 f admits a definable triangulation outside of $f^{-1}(C)$ for some finite set $C \subset \operatorname{Im} f$. On the other hand, by the former half of remark 2.3 we have a closed definable neighborhood J of C in $\operatorname{Im} f$ and a definable triangulation $\pi_J : Y_J \to f^{-1}(J)$ of $f|_{f^{-1}(J)}$ such that $\pi_J^{-1}(X_j)$ are polyhedra. Set $I = \overline{\operatorname{Im} f - J}$. Note $I \cap J$ is a finite set. Apply the latter half to $f|_{f^{-1}(I)}$. Then there exists a definable triangulation $\pi_I : Y_I \to f^{-1}(I)$ of $f|_{f^{-1}(I)}$ such that $\pi_I^{-1}(X_i)$ are polyhedra.

We will paste Y_I and Y_J at $(f \circ \pi_I)^{-1}(I \cap J)$ and $(f \circ \pi_J)^{-1}(I \cap J)$ respectively. Set $Y_{\partial I} = (f \circ \pi_I)^{-1}(I \cap J)$, $\pi_{\partial I} = \pi_I|_{Y_{\partial I}}$, $Y_{\partial J} = (f \circ \pi_J)^{-1}(I \cap J)$ and $\pi_{\partial J} = \pi_J|_{Y_{\partial J}}$. Then $\pi_{\partial J}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial I} : Y_{\partial I} \to Y_{\partial J}$ is a definable homeomorphism. If it is of class PL, pasting Y_I and Y_J by the PL homeomorphism we obtain a polyhedron Y. Define a definable homeomorphism $\pi : Y \to X$ to be π_I on Y_I and π_J on Y_J. Then $\pi : Y \to X$ is a definable triangulation of f and $\pi^{-1}(X_i)$ are polyhedra. Hence it suffices to modify $\pi_I : Y_I \to X$ and $\pi_J : Y_J \to X$ so that $\pi_{\partial J}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial I}$ is PL.

Let K_I and K_J be simplicial decompositions of Y_I and Y_J, respectively, such that $f \circ \pi_I$ and $f \circ \pi_J$ are linear on each simplex in K_I and K_J, respectively, and each $\pi_I^{-1}(X_i)$ and $\pi_J^{-1}(X_i)$ are the unions of some simplexes in K_I and K_J respectively. Set $K_{\partial I} = K_I|_{Y_{\partial I}}$ and $K_{\partial J} = K_J|_{Y_{\partial J}}$. First we modify $\pi_J : Y_J \to X$. By the triangulation theorem of definable sets there exists a definable homeomorphism θ of $Y_{\partial J}$ preserving $K_{\partial J}$ such that $\theta \circ \pi_{\partial J}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial I}(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in K_{\partial I}$, are polyhedra. Extend θ to a definable homeomorphism Θ of Y_J by the Alexander trick. Then $f \circ \pi_J \circ \Theta = f \circ \pi_J$ and Θ is preserving K_J and, hence, $\{\pi_J^{-1}(X_i)\}_i$. Hence we can replace π_J with $\pi_J \circ \Theta^{-1}$. If we replace, we can assume from the beginning that $\pi_{\partial J}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial I}(\sigma)$ are polyhedra for $\sigma \in K_{\partial I}$.

Secondly, we modify $\pi_I : Y_I \to X$. Apply theorem 2.1 to the definable homeomorphism $\pi_{\partial J}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial I} : (Y_{\partial I}, K_{\partial I}) \to (Y_{\partial J}, \pi_{\partial J}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial I}(\sigma))_{\sigma \in K_{\partial I}}$. Then we have a PL homeomorphism $\xi : (Y_{\partial I}, K_{\partial I}) \to (Y_{\partial J}, \pi_{\partial J}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial I}(\sigma))_{\sigma \in K_{\partial I}}$ such that $\xi(\sigma) = \pi_{\partial J}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial I}(\sigma)$ for each $\sigma \in K_{\partial I}$. Repeat the same arguments as the above first modification to the definable homeomorphism $\pi_{\partial I}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial J} \circ \xi : Y_{\partial I} \to Y_{\partial I}$, which is preserving $K_{\partial I}$. Then we have its definable homeomorphism extension $\Xi : Y_I \to Y_I$ preserving K_I such that $f \circ \pi_I \circ \Xi = f \circ \pi_I$. Hence we can replace π_I with $\pi_I \circ \Xi$. Carry out the replacement. Then, since $\pi_J^{-1} \circ (\pi_I \circ \Xi) = \pi_J^{-1} \circ \pi_I \circ \pi_{\partial I}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial J} \circ \xi = \xi$ on $Y_{\partial I}$, $\pi_{\partial J}^{-1} \circ \pi_{\partial I}$ becomes of class PL. Thus theorem 2.2,(1) is proved.

(2) Let X be the underlying polyhedron to a finite simplicial complex P, f a definable C^0 function on X, and X_i a finite number of compact definable subsets of X. As above we have a definable triangulation $\pi : Y \to X$ of f such that $\pi^{-1}(X_i)$ and $\pi^{-1}(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in P$, are polyhedra. Let $\theta : (X, \sigma)_{\sigma \in P} \to (Y, \pi^{-1}(\sigma))_{\sigma \in P}$ be a PL homeomorphism such that $\theta(\sigma) = \pi^{-1}(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in P$, which exists by theorem 2.1. Then $\pi \circ \theta : X \to X$ is a definable triangulation of f such that $\pi \circ \theta(\sigma) = \sigma$ for $\sigma \in P$ and $(\pi \circ \theta)^{-1}(X_i)$ are polyhedra. By the complement of theorem 2.1 we can choose θ so that there exists a definable isotopy from id to π through homeomorphisms and preserving P, which proves theorem 2.2,(2). □

Proof of the complement of theorem 2.2. Lemma II.3.10 states that there exists a PL homeomorphism $\omega : Y' \to Y$ such that $f \circ \pi \circ \omega = f \circ \pi'$ and $\omega(\pi'^{-1}(X_i)) = \pi^{-1}(X_i)$ for each i in the real number case. Its proof uses only theorem 2.1, its complement and theorems 2.2,(1) and 2.2,(2), and works for general R. In the proof we obtain ω as the finishing homeomorphism of some definable isotopy $\omega_t : Y' \to Y$, $0 \le t \le 1$, through homeomorphisms such that $\omega_0 = \pi^{-1} \circ \pi'$, $f \circ \pi \circ \omega_t = f \circ \pi'$ for $t \in [0,\, 1]$ and $\omega_t(\pi'^{-1}(X_i)) = \pi^{-1}(X_i)$ for each i and t. Thus the complement holds. We do not repeat the proof. □

Proof of theorem 2.2,(3). Assume X is the underlying polyhedron to a finite simplicial complex P and f is simplicial on P. Let $\tau : X \to X$ be a definable triangulation of f preserving P such that $\tau^{-1}(X_i)$ are polyhedra. Regard id $: X \to X$ as a definable triangulation of f preserving P, and apply the complement of theorem 2.2 to τ, id and P. Then there exists a definable isotopy ω_t, $0 \le t \le 1$, of τ^{-1} through homeomorphisms such that ω_1 is PL, $f \circ \tau \circ \omega_t = f$ for $t \in [0,\, 1]$ and $\omega_t(\sigma) = \tau^{-1}(\sigma) = \sigma$ for each $\sigma \in P$ and t. Set $\pi_t = \tau \circ \omega_t$ for $t \in [0,\, 1]$. Then $\pi_0 = \mathrm{id}$, $\pi_t^{-1}(\sigma) = \omega_t^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(\sigma)) = \sigma$ for $\sigma \in P$, hence, π_t, $0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable isotopy of X preserving P, $\pi_1^{-1}(X_i) = \omega_1^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(X_i))$ are polyhedra, and $f \circ \pi_t = f \circ \tau \circ \omega_t = f$ for $t \in [0,\, 1]$. Therefore it suffices to modify π_t so that $\pi_t = \mathrm{id}$ on $f^{-1}(R - (s_1',\, s_2'))$.

Let P' be a simplicial subdivision of P such that $\pi_1^{-1}(X_i)$ are the unions of some simplexes of P'. Choose $s_1'' < s_1''' < s_2''' < s_2''$ in R so that $s_1' < s_1'' < s_1''' < s_2''' < s_2'' < s_2'$ and $f(P'^0) \cap ([s_1'',\, s_1'''] \cup [s_2''',\, s_2'']) = \emptyset$, and set

$$Q = \{\sigma_P \cap f^{-1}(\sigma) : \sigma_P \in P',\ \sigma \in \{s_1'', s_1''', s_2'', s_2''', [s_1'',\, s_1'''], [s_2''',\, s_2'']\}\}.$$

Then Q is a cell complex and $f|_{|Q|} : Q \to \{s_1'', s_1''', s_2'', s_2''', [s_1'',\, s_1'''], [s_2''',\, s_2'']\}$ is a trivial cellular map. Hence by the Alexander trick there exists a definable isotopy π_t', $0 \le t \le 1$, of X such that for any $t \in [0,\, 1]$, $\pi'_t = \pi_t$ on $f^{-1}([s'''_1,\, s'''_2])$, $\pi'_t = \mathrm{id}$ on $f^{-1}(R - (s''_1,\, s''_2))$, $f \circ \pi'_t = f$ on $f^{-1}(R - (s'''_1,\, s'''_2))$ and $\pi_t|_{|Q|}$ is preserving Q. Then π'_t, $0 \le t \le 1$, clearly fulfills requirements except that $\pi'^{-1}_1(X_i)$ are polyhedra. Divide each $\pi'^{-1}_1(X_i)$ to three $\pi'^{-1}_1(X_i) \cap f^{-1}([s'''_1,\, s'''_2])$, $\pi'^{-1}_1(X_i) \cap |Q|$ and $\pi'^{-1}_1(X_i) \cap f^{-1}(R - (s''_1,\, s''_2))$. The first set is $\pi^{-1}_1(X_i) \cap f^{-1}([s'''_1,\, s'''_2])$, the second the union of some cells of Q, and the third $X_i \cap f^{-1}(R - (s''_1,\, s''_2))$. These are all polyhedra. Hence $\pi'^{-1}_1(X_i)$ is a polyhedron. Thus theorem 2.2,(3) is proved. $\square$

Consider the non-compact case of theorems 2.1 and 2.2. We use the notion **semi-linear**. A *semi-linear* set or map is a definable one in the o-minimal structure $(R, <, 0, +, c\cdot : c \in R)$, where $c\cdot$ denote the function $R \ni x \to cx \in R$. (For simplicity of notation in the following proofs we use this o-minimal structure for the definition of a semi-linear set or map. The results below, however, hold clearly in the o-minimal structure $(R, <, 0, +)$.) Let $(X, X_i)_i$ be a definable set and a finite number of definable subsets. Assume X is bounded in R^n and consider a definable triangulation of $(\overline{X}, X, X_i)_i$. Then it follows that there exist semi-linear sets $Y_i \subset Y$ in R^n and a definable homeomorphism $\pi : (Y, Y_i)_i \to (X, X_i)_i$. We call $\pi : (Y, Y_i)_i \to (X, X_i)_i$ a *definable semi-linearization* of $(X, X_i)_i$. We wish to find conditions under which uniqueness of a definable semi-linearization holds. First, since $(0,\, 1)$ and R are definably homeomorphic but not semi-linearly homeomorphic, we treat only bounded semi-linear subsets of R^n. Boundedness condition is, however, not sufficient. For example, let σ be a 2-simplex in R^2 with $0 \in \operatorname{Int}\sigma$. Then $\sigma - \{0\}$ and $\sigma - \sigma/2$ are definably homeomorphic but not semi-linearly homeomorphic. We treat semi-linear sets of the latter form. To be precise, a semi-linear subset Y of R^n with a finite number of semi-linear subsets Y_i is called *standard* if Y is bounded and each point of $\overline{Y} - Y$ has a semi-linear neighborhood U in $\overline{Y}$ such that $(U, U \cap Y, U \cap Y_i)_i$ is semi-linearly homeomorphic to $(V \times [0,\, 1), V \times (0,\, 1), V_i \times (0,\, 1))_i$ for some semi-linear sets $(V, V_i)_i$. We call U, or the semi-linear homeomorphism, a *local collar* on $\overline{Y} - Y$ in $(\overline{Y}, Y, Y_i)_i$ (see p. 24 in [R-S]). As Theorem 2.25 in [R-S], which states the existence of local collars implies that of a global collar, we see the semi-linear case.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(Y, Y_i)_i$ be a standard family of semi-linear sets. Then there exist a family of bounded semi-linear sets $(V, V_i)_i$ and a semi-linear homeomorphism of $(V \times [0,\, 1), V \times (0,\, 1), V_i \times (0,\, 1))_i$ to $(U, U \cap Y, U \cap Y_i)_i$ for some semi-linear neighborhood U of $\overline{Y} - Y$ in $\overline{Y}$.*

We call U, or the homeomorphism, a *collar* on $\overline{Y} - Y$ in $(\overline{Y}, Y, Y_i)_i$.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.25 in [R-S]. Let K be a simplicial decomposition of $\overline{Y}$ such that Y and Y_i are the unions of some open simplexes in K, K' and K'' the barycentric subdivisions of K and K', respectively, and v_σ the barycenter of each $\sigma \in K'$, set $V = \overline{Y} - Y$, and let $Y \subset R^n$. Identify Y with $Y \times \{0\} \subset Y \times R$, set $W = \overline{Y} \times \{0\} \cup \overline{V} \times [0,\, 2]$. For each $\sigma \in K'$ with $\operatorname{Int}\sigma \subset V$, let U_σ be a local collar at v_σ on V in $(\overline{Y}, Y, Y_i)_i$, and $\phi_\sigma : K'' \to \{0, 1, [0,\, 1]\}$ the simplicial map such that $\phi_\sigma = 1$ at v_σ and $\phi_\sigma = 0$ at the other vertices. For simplicity of notation, assume $v_\sigma = 0$, and choose $\epsilon > 0 \in R$ so that $\epsilon|\operatorname{st}(v_\sigma, K'')| \subset \operatorname{Int} U_\sigma$. Then by properties of a local collar, there exists a semi-linear homeomorphism $h_{\epsilon\sigma}$ of W such that

$$h_{\epsilon\sigma}(h_{\epsilon\sigma}^{-1}(Y_i\times\{0\}))\subset Y_i\times\{0\},\quad h_{\epsilon\sigma}(v_\sigma,1)=(v_\sigma,0),$$
$$h_{\epsilon\sigma}=\mathrm{id}\quad \text{on } W-(V\cap\mathrm{Int}\,\epsilon|\,\mathrm{st}(v_\sigma,K'')|)\times[0,\,2)-(\mathrm{Int}\,\epsilon|\,\mathrm{st}(v_\sigma,K'')|)\times\{0\},$$

and $h_{\epsilon\sigma}$ carries linearly each segment joining $(v_\sigma,1)$ and a point of $\partial(V\cap\epsilon|\,\mathrm{st}(v_\sigma,K'')|)\times[0,\,2]\cup(V\cap\epsilon|\,\mathrm{st}(v_\sigma,K'')|)\times\{2\}$. Note

$$h_{\epsilon\sigma}^{-1}(\overline{V}\times[0,\,2])-\{(v_\sigma,0)\}=\overline{V}\times[0,\,2]-(v_\sigma,1)*(V\cap\mathrm{Int}\,\epsilon|\,\mathrm{st}(v_\sigma,K'')|).$$

Hence by the star property of K'' at v_σ we have a semi-linear homeomorphism h_σ of W such that

$$h_\sigma(h_\sigma^{-1}(Y_i\times\{0\}))\subset Y_i\times\{0\},\quad h_\sigma(v_\sigma,1)=(v_\sigma,0),$$
$$h_\sigma=\mathrm{id}\quad \text{on } W-(V\cap\mathrm{Int}\,|\,\mathrm{st}(v_\sigma,K'')|)\times[0,\,2)-(\mathrm{Int}\,|\,\mathrm{st}(v_\sigma,K'')|)\times\{0\},$$

and h_σ carries linearly each segment joining $(v_\sigma,1)$ and a point of $\partial(V\cap|\,\mathrm{st}(v_\sigma,K'')|)\times[0,\,2]\cup(V\cap|\,\mathrm{st}(v_\sigma,K'')|)\times\{2\}$. Note

$$h_\sigma(\{(y,t)\in\overline{V}\times R:t=\phi_\sigma(y)\})=\overline{V}\times\{0\}.$$

Set
$$\{\sigma\in K':\mathrm{Int}\,\sigma\in V\}=\{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_k\},\quad \phi=\sum_{i=1}^k\phi_{\sigma_i},$$
$$h=h_{\sigma_1}\circ\cdots\circ h_{\sigma_k},\quad Z=\overline{Y}\times\{0\}\cup\{(y,t)\in\overline{V}\times R:0\le t\le\phi(y)\}.$$

Then ϕ is a simplicial map from K'' to $\{0,1,[0,\,1]\}$ with $\phi^{-1}(0)\cap K''^0=\{v_{\sigma_1},...,v_{\sigma_k}\}$, $h|_Z$ is a semi-linear homeomorphism to $\overline{Y}\times\{0\}$ such that

$$h(h^{-1}(Y\times\{0\}))=Y\times\{0\}\quad\text{and } h(h^{-1}(Y_i\times\{0\}))=Y_i\times\{0\},$$

and there exists a semi-linear homeomorphism $\tau:Z\to Y\times\{0\}\cup V\times[0,\,1]$ of the form $\tau(y,t)=(\tau'(y,t),t)$ for $(y,t)\in Z$ such that $\tau=\mathrm{id}$ on $Y\times\{0\}$ and $\tau(\sigma\times R\cap Z)\subset\sigma$ for $\sigma\in K'$. Therefore $\big(Z-\overline{Y}\times\{0\},h^{-1}(Y\times\{0\})-\overline{Y}\times\{0\},h^{-1}(Y_i\times\{0\})-\overline{Y}\times\{0\}\big)_i$ and, hence, $\big(Z,h^{-1}(Y\times\{0\}),h^{-1}(Y_i\times\{0\})\big)_i$ admit a collar on $Z-h^{-1}(Y\times\{0\})$. Thus the lemma is proved. □

A typical example of a standard semi-linear set is the interior of a compact PL manifold with boundary. We see that a definable C^0 manifold is definably homeomorphic to the interior of some compact PL manifold possibly with boundary and the compact manifold possibly with boundary is unique up to PL homeomorphisms in the same way as Theorem V.2.1 in [S_1]. More generally,

Theorem 2.5. *A definable set with a finite number of definable subsets admits uniquely a standard semi-linearization. Moreover a definable homeomorphism between standard semi-linear set families is definably isotopic to a semi-linear homeomorphism through homeomorphisms.*

Proof. Let $(X, X_i)_i$ be the given definable sets. As shown above we can assume X and X_i are the unions of some open simplexes in some finite simplicial complex K in R^n with $|K|=\overline{X}$. Let K' and K'' denote the barycentric subdivisions of K and K', respectively, and v_σ the barycenter of $\sigma\in K$. (We require K' to be only a simplicial subdivision of K such that $K'|_{|K^k|}$, $k=1,...$, are full subcomplexes of K'.) Define a definable C^0 imbedding $\tau_\sigma:\overline{X}-\operatorname{Int}\sigma\to\overline{X}-\operatorname{Int}\sigma$ by $\tau_\sigma=\mathrm{id}$ outside of $\operatorname{Int}|\operatorname{st}(v_\sigma,K')|-\operatorname{Int}\sigma$ and

$$\tau_\sigma(t_0v_\sigma+\sum_{j=1}^{l}t_jv_j)=t_0v_\sigma/2+\sum_{j=1}^{k}t_jv_j+(\sum_{j=k+1}^{l}t_j+t_0/2)\sum_{j=k+1}^{l}t_jv_j/\sum_{j=k+1}^{l}t_j$$
$$\text{for } t_0,...,t_l\in[0,\,1] \text{ with } \sum_{j=0}^{k}t_j<1 \text{ and } \sum_{j=0}^{l}t_j=1,$$

where $v_1,...,v_k,v_\sigma,v_{k+1},...,v_l$ are both the vertices of a simplex in $\operatorname{st}(v_\sigma,K')$ and the respective barycenters of increasing simplexes $\sigma_1\subset\cdots\subset\sigma_k\subset\sigma\subset\sigma_{k+1}\subset\cdots\subset\sigma_l$ in K. Then τ_σ is preserving $K-\{\sigma\}$,

$$\overline{X}-\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau_\sigma}=\cup\{\operatorname{Int}|\operatorname{st}(\sigma'',K'')|:\sigma''\in K'',\operatorname{Int}\sigma''\subset\operatorname{Int}\sigma\},$$
$$\operatorname{Im}\tau_{\sigma_1}\circ\tau_{\sigma_2}=\operatorname{Im}\tau_{\sigma_1}\cap\operatorname{Im}\tau_{\sigma_2}\quad\text{for } \sigma_1\neq\sigma_2\in K.$$

Set $\{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_k\}=\{\sigma\in K: X\cap\operatorname{Int}\sigma=\emptyset\}$ and $\tau=\tau_{\sigma_1}\circ\cdots\circ\tau_{\sigma_k}$. Then τ is a semialgebraic C^0 imbedding of $(X,X_i)_i$ into $(X,X_i)_i$, $\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau}\subset X$,

$$\overline{X}-\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau}=\cup\{\operatorname{Int}|\operatorname{st}(\sigma'',K'')|:\sigma''\in K'',X\cap\operatorname{Int}\sigma''=\emptyset\},$$

$(\operatorname{Im}\tau,\tau(X_i))_i$ is a family of semi-linear sets, and it it standard for the following reason.

Set $V=\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau}-\operatorname{Im}\tau$. Note V is the union of some open simplexes in K''. Let the above τ_σ be rewritten as $\tau_{\sigma 1/2}$ and define C^0 imbeddings $\tau_{\sigma t}:\overline{X}-\operatorname{Int}\sigma\to\overline{X}-\operatorname{Int}\sigma$ for $t\in(0,\,1]$ by replacing $t_0/2$ in the definition of $\tau_{\sigma 1/2}$ with t_0t. Define τ_t to be $\tau_{\sigma_1 t}\circ\cdots\circ\tau_{\sigma_k t}$ for $t\in(0,\,1]$. Then τ_t are semialgebraic C^0 imbeddings of $(X,X_i)_i$ into $(X,X_i)_i$, $\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau_t}\subset\operatorname{Im}\tau_{t'}$ for $t<t'$, $\tau_1=\mathrm{id}$, and the map $\pi:V\times[1/2,\,1]\ni(x,t)\to\tau_t(x)\in\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau_{1/2}}$ is a definable C^0 imbedding whose image—$\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau_{1/2}}-\operatorname{Im}\tau_{1/4}$—is a semi-linear neighborhood of V in $\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau_{1/2}}$ and such that

$$\pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}\tau_{1/2})=V\times[1/2,\,1),$$
$$\pi^{-1}(\tau_{1/2}(X_i))=(V\cap X_i)\times[1/2,\,1),$$
$$\pi^{-1}(\sigma)=(V\cap\sigma)\times[1/2,\,1]\quad\text{for } \sigma\in K'.$$

It also follows that $\pi(\cdot,t)$ is extended to a cellular map $\{\sigma\cap\overline{V}:\sigma\in K''\}\to\{\sigma\cap(\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau_{t/2}}-\operatorname{Im}\tau_{t/2})^{-}:\sigma\in K''\}$ for each $t\in[1/2,\,1]$, where $(\ \ )^{-}$ denotes the closure of a set $(\ \ )$. Let $\sigma\in K''$ with $\sigma\cap\overline{V}\neq\emptyset$. If $\sigma\cap(\overline{V}-V)=\emptyset$, then $\sigma\cap\operatorname{Im}\pi$ is both a cell and the disjoint union of the cells $\sigma\cap\pi(V\times\{t\}),\ t\in[1/2,1]$. If $\sigma\cap(\overline{V}-V)\neq\emptyset$, then σ is described as $\sigma_1*\sigma_2$ for some $\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in K''$ with $\sigma_1\subset\overline{V}-V$ and $\sigma_2\cap(\overline{V}-V)=\emptyset$, $\sigma\cap\overline{\pi(V\times\{t\})}$ is a cell and equal to $\sigma_1*(\sigma_2\cap\pi(V\times\{t\}))$ for each $t\in[1/2,\,1]$, and

$$\sigma\cap\overline{\pi(V\times\{t_1\})}\cap\overline{\pi(V\times\{t_2\})}=\sigma_1\quad\text{for } t_1\neq t_2\in[1/2,\,1],$$

where $\sigma_1*\sigma_2$ denotes the join of σ_1 and σ_2—the smallest cell including σ_1 and σ_2 under the condition that any two distinct segments with ends in σ_1 and σ_2 do not meet except in $\sigma_1\cup\sigma_2$. In particular, $\sigma\cap\overline{V}=\sigma_1*(\sigma_2\cap\overline{V})$ in the latter case. Hence there exists a cellular map $\theta:\{\sigma\cap\overline{V}:\sigma\in K''\}\to\{0,1,[0,\,1]\}$ such that $\theta=0$ on $K''^0\cap(\overline{V}-V)$ and $\theta=1$ at the other vertices. Set

$$\Xi=\{(x,t)\in\overline{V}\times[0,\,1]:0\le t\le\theta(x)\}.$$

Then we can construct a PL homeomorphism $\xi:\Xi\to\overline{\operatorname{Im}\pi}$ inductively on $\Xi\cap|K''^l|\times[0,\,1],\ l=0,1,...,$ by the Alexander trick so that $\xi(\cdot,0)=\mathrm{id}$ on $\overline{V}$, $\pi^{-1}\circ\xi(x,t)$ is of the form $(\pi'(x,t),1-t/(2\theta(x)))$ for $(x,t)\in\Xi\cap V\times[0,\,1]$ and

$$\xi(\Xi\cap\sigma\times[0,\,1])=\overline{\pi((V\cap\sigma)\times[1/2,\,1])}\quad\text{for } \sigma\in K' \text{ (not } K''\text{) with } V\cap\operatorname{Int}\sigma\neq\emptyset.$$

Clearly there exists a semi-linear homeomorphism $\xi':\overline{V}\times[0,\,1]\to\Xi$ of the form $\xi'(x,t)=(\xi''(x,t),t)$ for $(x,t)\in\overline{V}\times[0,\,1]$ such that

$$\xi'((\overline{V}\cap\sigma)\times[0,\,1])=\Xi\cap(\overline{V}\cap\sigma)\times[0,\,1]\quad\text{for } \sigma\in K' \text{ (not } K''\text{)}.$$

Hence $\xi\circ(\xi'|_{V\times[0,1]}):V\times[0,\,1]\to\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau}$ is a collar on V in $(\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau},\operatorname{Im}\tau,Y_i\cap\operatorname{Im}\tau)_i$.

We have shown a standard semi-linearization of $(X,X_i)_i$. We prepare for proving uniqueness.

Set $W=\overline{X}-X$ and $U_K=\cup\{\operatorname{Int}|\operatorname{st}(\sigma,K'')|:\sigma\in K'',\sigma\subset W\}$, let L be another simplicial decomposition of $\overline{X}$ with the same properties as K and such that $K|_{(\overline{W}-W)^{-}}=L|_{(\overline{W}-W)^{-}}$, and define L',L'' and U_L in the same way. Then $(U_K,U_K\cap X,U_K\cap X_i)_i$ and $(U_L,U_L\cap X,U_L\cap X_i)_i$ are semi-linearly homeomorphic for the following reason. This is called Regular Neighborhood Theorem in the case of compact W (Theorem 3.24 in [R-S]). As the proof in our case is the same, we rapidly repeat the proof in [R-S]. We can assume L is a subdivision of K by replacing L with a simplicial subdivision of the cell complex $\{\sigma_K\cap\sigma_L:\sigma_K\in K,\sigma_L\in L\}$ without new vertices. Let K_1' and K_1'' be any derived subdivisions of K and K_1', respectively, (see p. 20 in [R-S]) and define U_{K_1} by K_1'' and $L_1',\ L_1''$ and U_{L_1} in the same way. Then by 3.6 in [R-S], $(U_K,U_K\cap X,U_K\cap X_i)_i$ and $(U_L,U_L\cap X,U_L\cap X_i)_i$ are semi-linearly homeomorphic to $(U_{K_1},U_{K_1}\cap X,U_{K_1}\cap X_i)_i$ and

$(U_{L_1}, U_{L_1} \cap X, U_{L_1} \cap X_i)_i$, respectively, and by Lemma 3.7 in [R-S] there exist K_1 and L_1 such that

$$(U_{K_1}, U_{K_1} \cap X, U_{K_1} \cap X_i)_i = (U_{L_1}, U_{L_1} \cap X, U_{L_1} \cap X_i)_i.$$

Hence $(U_K, U_K \cap X, U_K \cap X_i)_i$ and $(U_L, U_L \cap X, U_L \cap X_i)_i$ are semi-linearly homeomorphic. Note the homeomorphism is extensible to a semi-linear homeomorphism of $(\overline{X}, X, X_i)_i$.

We use this invariance property to show that if $(X, X_i)_i$ is standard then U_K is a collar on W in $(\overline{X}, X, X_i)_i$ as follows. We are interested in only a semi-linear neighborhood of W in $\overline{X}$ and by the invariance property we can modify X by a simplicial map fixing $(\overline{W} - W\bar{)}$. Hence we can replace $(X, X_i, W)_i$ with $\big(X \times (0,\,1] \cup W \times [0,\,1], X_i \times (0,\,1] \cup W \times [0,\,1], X \times \{0\}\big)_i$. Fix a simplicial decomposition K of $\overline{X}$. Let $K'_\times$ be a simplicial subdivision of the cell complex $\{\sigma \times \{0\}, \sigma \times \{1\}, \sigma \times [0,\,1] : \sigma \in K'\}$ without new vertices such that for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in K'$ with $\sigma_1 * \sigma_2 \in K'$, $\sigma_1 \subset W$ and $\sigma_2 \subset X$, $(\sigma_1 \times \{0\}) * (\sigma_2 \times [0,\,1])$ is the union of some simplexes in $K'_\times$. (Proposition 2.9 in [R-S] states a simplicial subdivision without new vertices only. Its proof, however, shows the above last additional condition may be satisfied.) Define $U_{K_\times}$ by $K'_\times$ as U_K by K'. Then by the invariance property it suffices to see $U_{K_\times}$ is a collar on $X \times \{0\}$ in $\big(\overline{X} \times [0,\,1], X \times (0,\,1] \cup W \times [0,\,1], X_i \times (0,\,1] \cup W \times [0,\,1]\big)_i$. That was already shown. Indeed, $\big(U_{K_\times}, U_{K_\times} \cap X \times (0,\,1], U_{K_\times} \cap X_i \times (0,\,1]\big)_i$ is semi-linearly homeomorphic to $\big(\Phi, \Phi \cap X \times (0,\,1], \Phi \cap X_i \times (0,\,1]\big)_i$, where $\Phi = \{(x,t) \in X \times [0,\,1) : 0 \le t \le \psi(x)\}$ and ψ is the simplicial map from K' to $\{0, 1, [0,\,1]\}$ such that $\psi = 0$ on $K'^0 \cap W$ and $\psi = 1$ at the other vertices.

We wish to replace U_K with a set according to the following proof of uniqueness. Define a definable C^0 function f_K on $\overline{X} - \cup\{\sigma \in K' : \sigma \subset \overline{W} - W\}$ by

$$f_K = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } K'^0 \cap W \\ 1 & \text{on } K'^0 - \overline{W}, \end{cases}$$

$$f_K(\sum_{j=1}^{l_3} t_j v_j) = \sum_{j=l_1+1}^{l_2} t_j / \sum_{j=1}^{l_2} t_j$$

for $t_1, ..., t_{l_3} \in [0,\,1]$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{l_3} t_j = 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{l_2} t_j > 0$ and for a simplex in K' with vertices $v_1, ..., v_{l_1}$ in W, $v_{l_1+1}, ..., v_{l_2}$ outside of $\overline{W}$ and $v_{l_2+1}, ..., v_{l_3}$ in $\overline{W} - W$. Then by the above arguments $(\overline{X}, U_K, X, X_i)_i$ is semi-linearly homeomorphic to $(\overline{X}, f_K^{-1}([0, 1/2)), X, X_i)_i$ and, hence, we can replace U_K with $f_K^{-1}([0,\,1/2))$. We keep the notation U_K.

Let K''_1 and K''_2 be any simplicial subdivisions of the barycentric subdivision of K', and set $U'_i = \cup\{\mathrm{Int}\,|\,\mathrm{st}(\sigma, K''_i)| : \sigma \in K''_i, \sigma \subset W\}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then U'_i are regular neighborhoods of $\cup\{\sigma \in K' : \sigma \subset W\}$ in $\overline{X}$. Hence by Regular Neighborhood Theorem there exists a semi-linear isotopy β_t, $0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{X}$ preserving K' such that $\beta_1(U'_1) = U'_2$. Therefore, if we define f'_1 and f'_2 from K''_1 and K''_2, respectively, as f_K from K' then the following note holds.

Note 1. There exists a semi-linear isotopy γ_t, $0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{X}$ preserving K' such that $\gamma_1(\mathrm{Dom}\, f'_1) = \mathrm{Dom}\, f'_2$, where Dom denotes the domain of a map.

Uniqueness. Now we begin to prove uniqueness. Let $\eta : (Y, Y_i)_i \to (Z, Z_i)_i$ be a definable homeomorphism between standard semi-linear set families in R^n. Note η is not necessarily extensible to a definable C^0 map from $\overline{Y}$ to $\overline{Z}$, but if η is semi-linear then η is extensible to a semi-linear homeomorphism from $\overline{Y}$ to $\overline{Z}$ because a semi-linear C^0 imbedding of an open simplex to R^n is extensible to a semi-linear C^0 immersion of the closure. First we reduce the problem to the case where η is extensible to a definable C^0 map $\overline{\eta} : \overline{Y} \to \overline{Z}$. Set $X = \operatorname{graph}\eta$ and $X_i = \operatorname{graph}\eta|_{Y_i}$ and let $p_Y : (X, X_i)_i \to (Y, Y_i)_i$ and $p_Z : (X, X_i)_i \to (Z, Z_i)_i$ denote the projections. Then p_Y and p_Z are extensible to definable C^0 maps $\overline{p_Y} : \overline{X} \to \overline{Y}$ and $\overline{p_Z} : \overline{X} \to \overline{Z}$. By the definable triangulation theorem of definable sets we regard $\overline{X}$ as the underlying polyhedron to a finite simplicial complex K such that X and X_i are the unions of some open simplexes in K. Define K', K'' and $\tau : (X, X_i)_i \to (X, X_i)_i$ as above. Then $(\operatorname{Im}\tau, \tau(X_i))_i$ is a standard family of semi-linear sets, and $\tau^{-1}|_{\operatorname{Im}\tau} : \operatorname{Im}\tau \to X$ is extensible to a semi-algebraic C^0 map from $\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau}$ to $\overline{X}$ by the definition of τ. Hence $p_Y \circ (\tau^{-1}|_{\operatorname{Im}\tau}) : (\operatorname{Im}\tau, \tau(X_i))_i \to (Y, Y_i)_i$ and $p_Z \circ (\tau^{-1}|_{\operatorname{Im}\tau}) : (\operatorname{Im}\tau, \tau(X_i))_i \to (Z, Z_i)_i$ are definable homeomorphisms between standard semi-linear set families and extensible to definable C^0 maps $\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau} \to \overline{Y}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{Im}\tau} \to \overline{Z}$ respectively. Thus replacing η with $p_Y \circ (\tau^{-1}|_{\operatorname{Im}\tau})$ and $p_Z \circ (\tau^{-1}|_{\operatorname{Im}\tau})$ we assume η is extensible to $\overline{\eta}$.

Let K_Y be a simplicial decomposition of $\overline{Y}$ such that Y and Y_i are the unions of some open simplexes in K_Y, and K'_Y the barycentric subdivision of K_Y. Set $W_Y = \overline{Y} - Y$ and define a definable C^0 function f_Y and a definable set U_Y as f_K and U_K from K. Let K_Z, K'_Z, W_Z, f_Z and U_Z be given for $(Z, Z_i)_i$ in the same way. Then there exists a definable isotopy α_{Zt}, $0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{Z}$ preserving K'_Z such that $\alpha_{Z1} \circ \overline{\eta}(\sigma)$ is semi-linear for each $\sigma \in K'_Y$. Hence subdividing K'_Z we assume $\overline{\eta}(\sigma)$ is the union of some simplexes in K'_Z.

We modify K'_Y and K'_Z to some cell (not simplicial in general) complexes. Set

$$\tilde{Y} = (\overline{Y} - \operatorname{Dom} f_Y) \cup f_Y^{-1}((1/2,\, 1]),\ \tilde{Y}_i = \tilde{Y} \cap Y_i,$$
$$\tilde{K}'_Y = \{\sigma \cap \overline{(\tilde{Y})},\ \overline{\big(\sigma \cap f^{-1}(1/2)\big)} : \sigma \in K'_Y\} \text{ and } \tilde{f}_Y = 2f_Y - 1.$$

Then $\tilde{K}'_Y$ is a cell complex, $(\overline{(\tilde{Y})}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}_i)_i$ and $(\overline{Y}, Y, Y_i)_i$ are semi-linearly homeomorphic as shown already, each cell in $\tilde{K}'_Y$ is of the form $\sigma_1 * (\sigma_2 \cap f_Y^{-1}(1/2))$ or $\sigma_1 * (\sigma_2 \cap f_Y^{-1}([1/2,\, 1]))$ for some $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in K'_Y$ with $\sigma_1 \subset \overline{Y} - \operatorname{Dom} f_Y$ and $\sigma_2 \subset \operatorname{Dom} f_Y$, and there exists a definable homotopy $\alpha_{Yt} : \overline{(\tilde{Y})} \to \overline{Y}$, $0 \le t \le 1$, of the homeomorphism such that the following three conditions are satisfied. (1) α_{Yt} is a homeomorphism to $\overline{Y}$ for each $t \in [0,\, 1)$ but not for $t = 1$, (2) $\alpha_{Y1}|_{\tilde{Y}}$ is a homeomorphism to Y, and (3) $\alpha_{Y1}\big(\sigma \cap \overline{(\tilde{Y})}\big) = \sigma$ for $\sigma \in K'_Y$ with $\operatorname{Int}\sigma \not\subset W_Y$. It follows from (1), (2) and (3) that $\alpha_{Y1}\big(\sigma \cap (\overline{(\tilde{Y})} - \tilde{Y})\big) = \sigma \cap \overline{W_Y}$ for the same σ as in (3), and $\sigma \cap (\overline{(\tilde{Y})} - \tilde{Y})$ and $\sigma \cap \overline{W_Y}$ may be of different dimension. Hence, by replacing $(Y, Y_i)_i$, K'_Y and f_Y with $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}_i)_i$, $\tilde{K}'_Y$ and $\tilde{f}_Y$, respectively, and keeping the notations, we assume K'_Y is a cell complex, for any $t \in [0,\, 1)$ there exists a cellular isomorphism from K'_Y to $\{\sigma \cap \overline{(f_Y^{-1}(t))}, \sigma \cap \overline{(f_Y^{-1}([t,\, 1]))} : \sigma \in K'_Y\}$, the restriction of f_Y to $\{\sigma \in K'_Y : \sigma \subset \operatorname{Dom} f_Y\}$ is a cellular map to $\{0, 1, [0,\, 1]\}$, each cell in K'_Y is uniquely described as of the form $\sigma_1 * \sigma_2$ for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in K'_Y$ with $\sigma_1 \subset \overline{Y} - \operatorname{Dom} f_Y$ and $\sigma_2 \subset \operatorname{Dom} f_Y$, and for such σ_1 and σ_2

$$f_Y(ty_1 + (1-t)y_2) = f_Y(y_2) \quad \text{for } (y_1, y_2, t) \in \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \times [0,\ 1).$$

Note $(Y, Y \cap \sigma)_{\sigma \in K'_Y}$ is standard. In the same way we modify K'_Z.

Under these assumptions we will find a definable isotopy $\alpha_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of Y preserving $\{\operatorname{Int}\sigma : \sigma \in K'_Y, \operatorname{Int}\sigma \subset Y\}$ by induction on $\dim Y$ such that $\eta \circ \alpha_1$ is semi-linear, α_t is extensible to a definable homeomorphism $\overline{\alpha}_t$ of $\overline{Y}$ for each $t \in [0,\ 1)$ but not for $t = 1$, and the map $\overline{Y} \times [0,\ 1) \ni (y, t) \to \overline{\alpha}_t(y) \in \overline{Y}$ is continuous. Since $W_Y \cap Y = \emptyset$ and $\overline{W_Y} - Y = W_Y$ we have

$$\overline{W_Y} - W_Y \subset Y,\ \overline{W_Z} - W_Z \subset Z \text{ and } \eta(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y) = \overline{W_Z} - W_Z.$$

First we reduce the problem to the case where $\overline{\eta}$ is semi-linear on $\overline{(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)}$. Clearly $(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y, Y_i \cap \overline{W_Y} - W_Y)_i$, $K'_Y|_{\overline{(\overline{W_Y}-W_Y)}}$, $\eta|_{\overline{W_Y}-W_Y}$, $(\overline{W_Z} - W_Z, Z_i \cap \overline{W_Z} - W_Z)_i$ and $K'_Z|_{\overline{(\overline{W_Z}-W_Z)}}$ satisfy the assumptions on $(Y, Y_i)_i$, K'_Y, η, $(Z, Z_i)_i$ and K'_Z. Hence by induction hypothesis there exists a definable isotopy $\alpha_{Wt},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{W_Y} - W_Y$ preserving $\{\operatorname{Int}\sigma : \sigma \in K'_Y, \operatorname{Int}\sigma \subset \overline{W_Y} - W_Y\}$ such that $\eta \circ \alpha_{W1}$ is semi-linear, α_{Wt} is extensible to a definable homeomorphism $\overline{\alpha_{Wt}}$ of $\overline{(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)}$ for each $t \in [0,\ 1)$, and the map $\overline{(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)} \times [0,\ 1) \ni (y, t) \to \overline{\alpha_{Wt}}(y) \in \overline{(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)}$ is continuous. Then we can extend α_{Wt} to a definable isotopy $\tilde{\alpha}_{Wt},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{Y} - \big(\overline{(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)} - (\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)\big)$ preserving $\{\operatorname{Int}\sigma : \sigma \in K'_Y, \operatorname{Int}\sigma \subset \overline{Y} - \big(\overline{(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)} - (\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)\big)\}$, for which it suffices to see the following statement. Given a cell σ in R^n, a union σ_1 of open faces of σ of codimension ≥ 2 and a definable isotopy $\alpha_{\partial\sigma t},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\partial\sigma - \sigma_1$ such that $\alpha_{\partial\sigma t}$ is extensible to a definable homeomorphism $\overline{\alpha_{\partial\sigma t}}$ of $\partial\sigma$ for each $t \in [0,\ 1)$ and the map $\partial\sigma \times [0,\ 1) \ni (y, t) \to \overline{\alpha_{\partial\sigma t}}(y) \in \partial\sigma$ is continuous, then $\alpha_{\partial\sigma t}$ is extensible to a definable isotopy $\alpha_{\sigma t},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\sigma - \sigma_1$ so that $\alpha_{\sigma t}$ is extensible to a definable homeomorphism $\overline{\alpha_{\sigma t}}$ of σ for each $t \in [0,\ 1)$ and the map $\sigma \times [0,\ 1] - \sigma_1 \times \{1\} \ni (y, t) \to \alpha_{\partial\sigma_t(y)}$, or $\overline{\alpha_{\sigma t}}(y), \in \sigma$ is continuous. We prove as usual by the Alexander trick. Assume $0 \in \operatorname{Int}\sigma$, and set

$$\alpha_{\sigma t}(sy) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{\partial\sigma 1}(y) & \text{for } (y, s, t) \in (\partial\sigma - \sigma_1) \times \{1\}^2, \\ s\overline{\alpha_{\partial\sigma st}}(y) & \text{for } (y, s, t) \in \sigma \times ([0,\ 1]^2 - \{1\}^2). \end{cases}$$

Then $\alpha_{\sigma t}$ fulfills the requirements. Hence, replacing η with $\eta \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{W1}|_Y$ we assume $\overline{\eta}$ is semi-linear on $\overline{(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)}$.

Secondly, we reduce to the case where $\overline{\eta}(\operatorname{Dom} f_Y) = \operatorname{Dom} f_Z$. In the above arguments of uniqueness we start with the barycentric subdivisions of K_Y and K_Z in place of K_Y and K_Z and use the same notation. Let K''_Y and K''_Z be simplicial subdivisions of the barycentric subdivisions K'_Y and K'_Z of the new K_Y and K_Z, respectively, such that $\overline{\eta}|_{\overline{(\overline{W_Y}-W_Y)}} : K''_Y|_{\overline{(\overline{W_Y}-W_Y)}} \to K''_Z|_{\overline{(\overline{W_Z}-W_Z)}}$ is an isomorphism, and define f'_Y and f'_Z from K''_Y and K''_Z as f_Y and f_Z from K'_Y and K'_Z. Then $\overline{\eta}(\operatorname{Dom} f'_Y) = \operatorname{Dom} f'_Z$, $\overline{\eta}^{-1}(\operatorname{Dom} f'_Z) = \operatorname{Dom} f'_Y$ and by note 1 there exist semi-linear isotopies γ_{Yt} and $\gamma_{Zt},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{Y}$ and $\overline{Z}$ preserving

K_Y and K_Z, respectively, such that $\gamma_{Y1}(\operatorname{Dom} f_Y) = \operatorname{Dom} f'_Y$ and $\gamma_{Z1}(\operatorname{Dom} f_Z) = \operatorname{Dom} f'_Z$. Define a semi-linear isotopy $\gamma'_{Yt},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)$ to be $\overline{\eta}^{-1} \circ \gamma_{Zt} \circ \overline{\eta}$ and that $\gamma''_{Yt},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)$ to be $\gamma'^{-1}_{Yt} \circ \gamma_{Yt}$, which are preserving $K_Y|_{(\overline{W_Y} - W_Y)}$, and extend $\gamma''_{Yt},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, to a semi-linear isotopy $\overline{\gamma}''_{Yt},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{Y}$ preserving K_Y by the Alexander trick. Then $\overline{\eta} \circ \overline{\gamma}''_{Y1}(\operatorname{Dom} f_Y) = \operatorname{Dom} f_Z$. Hence we assume $\overline{\eta}(\operatorname{Dom} f_Y) = \operatorname{Dom} f_Z$ from the beginning.

Define a cellular map $\phi_Y : K'_Y \to \{0, 1, [0,\ 1]\}$ by

$$\phi_Y = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } K'^0_Y \cap (\overline{W_Y} - W_Y) \\ 1 & \text{on } K'^0_Y - (\overline{W_Y} - W_Y), \end{cases}$$

which is well-defined because for non-empty $\sigma \in K'_Y$ there exist uniquely $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in K'_Y$ with $\sigma_1 * \sigma_2 = \sigma,\ \sigma_1 \subset \overline{Y} - \operatorname{Dom} f_Y$ and $\sigma_2 \subset \operatorname{Dom} f_Y$, hence, $\phi_Y(\sigma) = \{0\}$ if $\sigma_2 = \emptyset,\ \phi_Y(\sigma) = \{1\}$ if $\sigma_1 = \emptyset$ and $\phi_Y((1-t)y_1 + ty_2) = t$ for $(y_1, y_2, t) \in \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 \times [0,\ 1]$. Then $\phi_Y^{-1}(0) = \overline{Y} - \operatorname{Dom} f_Y,\ \phi_Y f_Y$ is extensible to a PL function $\overline{\phi_Y f_Y}$ on $\overline{Y}$, and $\overline{\phi_Y f_Y}^{-1}(0) = \overline{W_Y}$. We also define ϕ_Z for K'_Z in the same way. Note $\phi_Z \circ \overline{\eta}$ and $\overline{\phi_Z f_Z} \circ \overline{\eta}$ are definable C^0 functions on $\overline{Y}$ with zero sets $\phi_Y^{-1}(0)$ and $\overline{W_Y}$, respectively, and

$$\operatorname{Im}(\phi_Y, \overline{\phi_Y f_Y}) = \operatorname{Im}(\phi_Z, \overline{\phi_Z f_Z}) = \operatorname{Im}(\phi_Z \circ \overline{\eta}, \overline{\phi_Z f_Z} \circ \overline{\eta}) = \{(r, s) \in [0,\ 1]^2 : s \le r\}.$$

Set $F = (F_1, F_2) = (\phi_Z \circ \overline{\eta}, \overline{\phi_Z f_Z} \circ \overline{\eta})$. We will, finally, reduce to the case where there exists a definable neighborhood N of $(0,\ \epsilon] \times \{0\}$ in $(0,\ \epsilon] \times [0,\ \epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $F|_{F^{-1}(\overline{N})}$ is extensible to a PL map $\tilde{F} = (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2)$ on $\overline{Y}$ with $\tilde{F}_1 \ge 0,\ \tilde{F}_2 \ge 0,\ \tilde{F}_1^{-1}(0) = F_1^{-1}(0)$ and $\tilde{F}_2^{-1}(0) = F_2^{-1}(0)$. Before carrying out reduction we prove the uniqueness under this condition.

Compare $\tilde{F}$ with the PL map $G = (G_1, G_2) = (\phi_Y, \overline{\phi_Y f_Y})$ around $\phi_Y^{-1}(0)$. Then we can assume $\tilde{F} = G$ there for the following reason. There exists a semi-linear isotopy $\delta_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{Y}$ preserving K'_Y such that $\tilde{F}_1 \circ \delta_1 = \phi_Y$ on a neighborhood of $\phi_Y^{-1}(0)$ in $\overline{Y}$ because $\tilde{F}_1$ and ϕ_Y are non-negative PL functions on $\overline{Y}$ with the same zero set. (Existence of δ_1 under these conditions is stated in Lemma I.3.10 in the real case, and existence of δ_t in the general case is clear by its proof and remark 1.3.) Hence we assume $\tilde{F}_1 = \phi_Y$ from the beginning. Let K''_Y be a simplicial subdivision of K'_Y, where $\tilde{F}$ and G are simplicial, $0 < \epsilon \in R$ so small that $\phi_Y(K''^0_Y) \cap (0,\ \epsilon] = \emptyset$, and K'''_Y a simplicial subdivision of the cell complex $\{\sigma'' \cap \phi_Y^{-1}(\sigma_\epsilon) : \sigma'' \in K''_Y, \sigma_\epsilon \in \{0, \epsilon, 1, [0,\ \epsilon], [\epsilon,\ 1]\}\}$ without new vertices. Set $K'''_{Y\epsilon} = K'''_Y|_{\phi_Y^{-1}(\epsilon)}$ and compare $\tilde{F}_2$ and G_2 on $\phi_Y^{-1}(\epsilon)$. Since both are PL and non-negative and have the same zero set there exists a semi-linear isotopy $\delta'_{\epsilon t},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\phi_Y^{-1}(\epsilon)$ preserving $K'''_{Y\epsilon}$ such that $\tilde{F}_2 \circ \delta'_{\epsilon 1} = G_2$ on a neighborhood of $\phi_Y^{-1}(\epsilon) \cap G_2^{-1}(0)$ in $\phi_Y^{-1}(\epsilon)$, say, $\tilde{F}^{-1}(N')$ for a semi-linear neighborhood N' of the point $(\epsilon, 0)$ in $\{\epsilon\} \times [0,\ \epsilon]$. We need to extend $\delta'_{\epsilon t}$ to a semi-linear isotopy $\delta'_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{Y}$ preserving K''_Y. That is possible on $\phi_Y^{-1}([\epsilon,\ 1])$ because the restriction of ϕ_Y to $\{\sigma \cap \phi_Y^{-1}(\epsilon), \sigma \cap \phi_Y^{-1}(\epsilon'), \sigma \cap \phi_Y^{-1}([\epsilon,\ \epsilon']) : \sigma \in K''_Y\}$ is a cellular map to $\{\{\epsilon\}, \{\epsilon'\}, [\epsilon,\ \epsilon']\}$ and trivial for some $\epsilon'\ (> \epsilon) \in R$. We define δ'_t on

$\phi_Y^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon])$ by

$$\delta'_t(ry_1+(1-r)y_2)=ry_1+(1-r)\delta'_{\epsilon t}(y_2)$$
$$\text{for } (y_1,y_2,r)\in\sigma_1\times\sigma_2\times[0,\,1],\ \ \sigma_1\in K_Y^{\prime\prime\prime}|_{\phi_Y^{-1}(0)},\ \ \sigma_2\in K_{Y\epsilon}^{\prime\prime\prime}\text{ with }\sigma_1*\sigma_2\in K_Y^{\prime\prime\prime}.$$

Then $\tilde{F}_2\circ\delta'_1=G$ on $\tilde{F}^{-1}((0,0)*N')$, where the cone $(0,0)*N'$ is a semi-linear neighborhood of $(0,\,\epsilon]\times\{0\}$ in $(0,\,\epsilon]\times[0,\,\epsilon]$. Hence we can assume $\tilde{F}=G$ on a neighborhood of $\phi_Y^{-1}(0)$ in $\overline{Y}$ and $F=G$ on $F^{-1}(\overline{N})$.

Moreover we can assume $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}=f_Y$ on $F^{-1}(N'')$ for some neighborhood N'' of $[\epsilon,\,1]\times\{0\}$ in $[\epsilon,\,1]\times[0,\,1]$ for the following reason. Note $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}|_{F^{-1}([\epsilon,1]\times[0,1])}$ and $f_Y|_{F^{-1}([\epsilon,1]\times[0,1])}$ are definable C^0 and PL, respectively, functions with zero set $F^{-1}([\epsilon,\,1]\times\{0\})$, and $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}$ and f_Y are PL and coincide each other on $F^{-1}\big([\epsilon/2,\,\epsilon]\times[0,\,\epsilon'']\big)$ for some $\epsilon''>0\in R$. Choose ϵ'' so small that $K_Y^{\prime\prime\prime 0}\cap F^{-1}\big([\epsilon/2,\,\epsilon]\times[0,\,\epsilon'']\big)\subset F^{-1}(\epsilon,0)$, where $K_Y^{\prime\prime\prime}$ was defined above. By theorem 2.2,(2) there exists a definable homeomorphism π_Y of $F^{-1}\big([\epsilon,\,1]\times[0,\,1]\big)$ preserving $\{\sigma\cap F^{-1}(\{\epsilon\}\times[0,\,\epsilon'']),\sigma\cap F^{-1}(\{\epsilon\}\times[\epsilon'',\,\epsilon]),\sigma\cap F^{-1}\big([\epsilon,\,1]\times[0,\,1]\big):\sigma\in K_Y^{\prime\prime\prime}\}$ such that $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}\circ\pi_Y$ is PL. Apply the complement of theorem 2.2 to $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}$ and $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}\circ\pi_Y$ on $F^{-1}(\{\epsilon\}\times[0,\,\epsilon''])$. Then there is a PL homeomorphism π'_Y of $F^{-1}(\{\epsilon\}\times[0,\,\epsilon''])$ preserving $\{\sigma\cap F^{-1}(\{\epsilon\}\times[0,\,\epsilon'']):\sigma\in K_Y^{\prime\prime\prime}\}$ such that $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}=f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}\circ\pi_Y\circ\pi'_Y$ on $F^{-1}(\{\epsilon\}\times[0,\,\epsilon''])$. Extend π'_Y to a PL homeomorphism of $F^{-1}\big([\epsilon,\,1]\times[0,\,1]\big)$ preserving $\{\sigma\cap F^{-1}(\{\epsilon\}\times[0,\,\epsilon'']),\sigma\cap F^{-1}(\{\epsilon\}\times[\epsilon'',\,\epsilon]),\sigma\cap F^{-1}\big([\epsilon,\,1]\times[0,\,1]\big):\sigma\in K_Y^{\prime\prime\prime}\}$ by the Alexander trick, and replace π_Y with $\pi_Y\circ\pi'_Y$. Then we can assume $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}\circ\pi_Y=f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}=f_Y$ on $F^{-1}(\{\epsilon\}\times[0,\,\epsilon''])$ from the beginning. Next extend π_Y to a definable homeomorphism of $\overline{Y}$ preserving $K_Y^{\prime\prime\prime}$ by the Alexander trick so that $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}\circ\pi_Y=f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}$ on $F^{-1}\big([\epsilon/2,\,\epsilon]\times[0,\,\epsilon'']\big)$ and $\pi_Y=\mathrm{id}$ on $F^{-1}\big([0,\,\epsilon/2]\times[0,\,1]\big)$. Furthermore by the method of construction of π_Y and π'_Y there exists a definable isotopy of $\overline{Y}$ to π_Y preserving $K_Y^{\prime\prime\prime}$. Thus forgetting π_Y we assume $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}$ is PL on $F^{-1}\big([\epsilon,\,1]\times[0,\,1]\cup[\epsilon/2,\,\epsilon]\times[0,\,\epsilon'']\big)$. Then we have a PL homotopy $\pi'_{Yt},\ 0\le t\le 1$, of $\overline{Y}$ preserving $K_Y^{\prime\prime\prime}$ such that $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}\circ\pi'_{Y1}=f_Y$ on $F^{-1}(N'')$ for some neighborhood N'' of $[\epsilon/2,\,1]\times\{0\}$ in $[\epsilon/2,\,1]\times[0,\,1]$ (Lemma I.3.10). Moreover the proof of Lemma I.3.10 says that π'_{Yt} is chosen to be the identity map on $F^{-1}\big([0,\,\epsilon/2]\times[0,\,1]\big)$. Consequently $F\circ\pi'_{Yt}=G$ on $F^{-1}\big(N\cap[0,\,\epsilon/2]\times[0,\,1]\big)$ and we can assume $f_Z\circ\overline{\eta}=f_Y$ on $F^{-1}(N'')$.

Let K''_Y and L be a simplicial subdivision of K'_Y and a simplicial decomposition of $\{(r,s)\in[0,\,1]^2:s\le r\}$, respectively, such that $G:K''_Y\to L$ is simplicial. Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be definable non-negative C^0 functions on $[0,\,1]$ such that $\psi_1(r)<r$ and $\psi_2(r)<r$ for $r\in(0,\,1]$, $\psi_i^{-1}(0)=\{0\}$ and

$$\cup\{\mathrm{Int}\,|\,\mathrm{st}(\sigma,L)|:\sigma\in L,\mathrm{Int}\,\sigma\subset(0,\,1]\times\{0\}\}\supset\{(r,s)\in(0,\,1]^2:s\le\psi_i(r)\},\ i=1,2.$$

Set $$\Psi_i=\{(r,s)\in(0,\,1]^2:\psi_i(r)\le s\le r\},\ \ i=1,2.$$

Note 2. Then there exists a definable isotopy $\delta''_t,\ 0\le t\le 1$, of $\overline{Y}$ preserving K'_Y such that $\delta''_1(\overline{G^{-1}(\Psi_1)})=\overline{G^{-1}(\Psi_2)}$.

The reason is the following. Let ψ_0 and ψ_3 be functions with the same properties as ψ_1 and ψ_2 and such that $\psi_0 < \psi_i < \psi_3,\ i = 1, 2$, on $(0,\, 1]$. First define a definable isotopy $\delta''_{Lt},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $|L|$ preserving L so that for each $(r, s) \in |L|$,

$$\delta''_{Lt}(r,s) = \begin{cases} (r,s) & \text{for } s \in [0,\, \psi_0(r)] \cup [\psi_3(r),\, r] \\ \big(r, t\psi_2(r) + (1-t)\psi_1(r)\big) & \text{for } s = \psi_1(r), \end{cases}$$

and $\delta''_{Lt}(r, \cdot)$ is linear on the segments $[\psi_0(r),\, \psi_1(r)]$ and $[\psi_1(r),\, \psi_3(r)]$. Next lift δ''_{Lt} to a definable isotopy of $\overline{Y}$ by the following note. Then the isotopy is the required one.

Note 3. Let $h : K \to L$ be a cellular map between finite cell complexes and L_1 a subcomplex of L. Let δ_L be a definable homeomorphism of $|L|$ preserving L such that $\delta_L = \mathrm{id}$ on $|L_1|$. Then there exists a definable homeomorphism δ_K of $|K|$ preserving K such that $\delta_K = \mathrm{id}$ on $h^{-1}(|L_1|)$ and $\delta_L \circ h = h \circ \delta_K$.

Proof of note 3. By induction on $l \in \mathbf{N}$ we assume δ_K is already constructed on $|K^l|$ and let $\sigma \in K^{l+1} - K^l$. Then it suffices to extend $\delta_K|_{\partial\sigma}$ to σ so that $\delta_L \circ h = h \circ \delta_K$ on σ. Since the extension is trivial if $\dim \sigma = \dim h(\sigma)$ or $h(\sigma) \in L_1$, we suppose $\dim \sigma > \dim h(\sigma)$ and $h(\sigma) \notin L_1$. Let $c : h(\sigma) \to \sigma$ be a definable C^0 cross-section of $h|_\sigma$ such that $c(x) \in \mathrm{Int}(h|_\sigma)^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in h(\sigma)$. Set

$$\delta'_K\big(ty + (1-t)c \circ h(y)\big) = t\delta_K(y) + (1-t)c \circ \delta_L \circ h(y) \quad \text{for } (y,t) \in \partial\sigma \times [0,\, 1].$$

Then δ'_K is a definable homeomorphism of σ preserving $K|_\sigma$, $h \circ \delta'_K = \delta_L \circ h$ and $\delta'_K = \delta_K$ on $\partial(h|_\sigma)^{-1}(x)$ for each $x \in h(\sigma)$. The last equality, however, does not necessarily hold on $\cup\{\mathrm{Int}(h|_\sigma)^{-1}(x) : x \in \partial h(\sigma), \dim(h|_\sigma)^{-1}(x) > 0\}$ $(\subset \partial\sigma)$. We need to modify δ'_K. Consider $\delta'^{-1}_K \circ \delta_K$ on $\partial\sigma$ and the identity map on $h(\sigma)$ in place of δ_K on $\partial\sigma$ and δ_L on $h(\sigma)$. Then we only need to find a definable homeomorphism δ''_K of σ preserving $K|_\sigma$ such that $\delta''_K = \delta'^{-1}_K \circ \delta_K$ on $\partial\sigma$ and $h \circ \delta''_K = h$ because if such δ''_K exists then $\delta'_K \circ \delta''_K$ is what we want. Let $a \in \mathrm{Int}\,\sigma$ and set

$$\delta''_K\big(ty + (1-t)a\big) = t\delta'^{-1}_K \circ \delta_K(y) + (1-t)a \quad \text{for } (y,t) \in \partial\sigma \times [0,\, 1].$$

Then δ''_K fulfills the requirements. Thus notes 2 and 3 are proved.

Choose ψ_1 and ψ_2 in note 2 so that $\psi_1(r) = d_1 r$ for some small $d_1 > 0 \in R$, $\psi_2 = \psi_1$ on $[\epsilon,\, 1]$ and $\Psi_2 \cup N \cup N'' \subset \{(r,s) \in (0, \epsilon] \times [0,\, 1] : s \le r\}$, and set $G_Z = (\phi_Z, \overline{\phi_Z f_Z})$. Then $F = G_Z \circ \overline{\eta}$, $G^{-1}(\Psi_1) = f_Y([d_1,\, 1])$ by definition of G, $\overline{G^{-1}(\Psi_1)}$ is identified with $\overline{G^{-1}(\Psi_2)}$ through a definable homeomorphism of $\overline{Y}$ by note 2, and $\overline{\eta}|_{\overline{G^{-1}(\Psi_2)}}$ is a homeomorphism onto $\overline{G_Z^{-1}(\Psi_2)}$ for the following reason.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{G^{-1}(\Psi_2)} \cap (\overline{Y} - G^{-1}(\Psi_2))\overline{\ } = \{y \in \overline{Y} : \overline{\phi_Y f_Y}(y) = \psi_2 \circ \phi_Y(y)\} \subset \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad F^{-1}(0,0) \cup F^{-1}(N \cup N''),\\
&\{y \in F^{-1}(N) : \overline{\phi_Y f_Y}(y) = \psi_2 \circ \phi_Y(y)\}\\
&\quad = \{y \in F^{-1}(N) : \overline{\phi_Z f_Z} \circ \overline{\eta}(y) = \psi_2 \circ \phi_Z \circ \overline{\eta}(y)\} \quad \text{by } F = G \text{ on } F^{-1}(N),\\
&\{y \in F^{-1}(N'') : \overline{\phi_Y f_Y}(y) = \psi_2 \circ \phi_Y(y)\}\\
&\quad = \{y \in F^{-1}(N'') : f_Y(y) = d_1\} \quad \text{by } \psi_2(r) = d_1 r \text{ for } r \in [\epsilon,\, 1]\\
&\quad = \{y \in F^{-1}(N'') : f_Z \circ \overline{\eta}(y) = d_1\} \quad \text{by } f_Z \circ \overline{\eta} = f_Y \text{on } F^{-1}(N'')\\
&\quad = \{y \in F^{-1}(N'') : \overline{\phi_Z f_Z} \circ \overline{\eta}(y) = \psi_2 \circ \phi_Z \circ \overline{\eta}(y)\} \quad \text{by } \psi_2(r) = d_1 r \text{ for } r \in [\epsilon,\, 1],
\end{aligned}$$

and, hence,

$$\{y \in \overline{Y} : \overline{\phi_Y f_Y}(y) = \psi_2 \circ \phi_Y(y)\} = \{y \in \overline{Y} : \overline{\phi_Z f_Z} \circ \overline{\eta}(y) = \psi_2 \circ \phi_Z \circ \overline{\eta}(y)\}.$$

Define ψ_{it} and Ψ_{it} to be $t\psi_i$ and $\{(r,s) \in (0,\, 1]^2 : \psi_{it}(r) \le s \le r\}$, respectively, for each $t \in (0,\, 1]$, and repeat the above arguments for ψ_{it} and Ψ_{it}. Then by the above proof we have a definable isotopy $\omega_{Yt},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{Y}$ preserving K''_Y such that $\omega_{Yt}(\overline{G^{-1}(\Psi_{1t})}) = \overline{G^{-1}(\Psi_{2t})}$ for $t \in (0,\, 1]$ and $\overline{\eta}|_{\overline{G^{-1}(\Psi_{2t})}}$ is a homeomorphism onto $\overline{G_Z^{-1}(\Psi_{2t})}$. Consider Z. Then $G_Z^{-1}(\Psi_{1t}) = f_Z^{-1}([td_1,\, 1])$ for each $t \in (0,\, 1]$ and there exists a definable isotopy $\omega_{Zt},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $\overline{Z}$ preserving K''_Z such that $\omega_{Zt}(\overline{G_Z^{-1}(\Psi_{1t})}) = \overline{G_Z^{-1}(\Psi_{2t})}$ for $t \in (0,\, 1]$. Remember that there are definable homeomorphisms $\kappa_{Yt} : \overline{Y} \to \overline{f_Y([td_1,\, 1])}$ and $\kappa_{Zt} : \overline{Z} \to \overline{f_Z([td_1,\, 1])}$ for each $t \in [0,\, 1]$ preserving $\{\operatorname{Int}\sigma : \sigma \in K'_Y, \operatorname{Int}\sigma \subset Y\}$ and $\{\operatorname{Int}\sigma : \sigma \in K'_Y, \operatorname{Int}\sigma \subset Y\}$, respectively, such that $\kappa_{Y0} = \kappa_{Z0} = \mathrm{id}$ and the maps $\overline{Y} \times [0,\, 1] \ni (y,t) \to \kappa_{Yt}(y) \in \overline{Y}$ and $\overline{Z} \times [0,\, 1] \ni (z,t) \to \kappa_{Zt}(z) \in \overline{Z}$ are continuous.

In conclusion, set $\kappa'_{Yt} = \omega_{Yt} \circ \kappa_{Yt}$ and $\kappa'_{Zt} = \omega_{Zt} \circ \kappa_{Zt}$. Then $\kappa'_{Yt} : \overline{Y} \to \overline{G^{-1}(\Psi_{2t})}$ and $\kappa'_{Zt} : \overline{Z} \to \overline{G_Z^{-1}(\Psi_{2t})},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, are definable homeomorphisms preserving $\{\operatorname{Int}\sigma : \sigma \in K'_Y, \operatorname{Int}\sigma \subset Y\}$ and $\{\operatorname{Int}\sigma : \sigma \in K'_Z, \operatorname{Int}\sigma \subset Z\}$, respectively, such that $\kappa'_{Y0} = \kappa'_{Z0} = \mathrm{id}$ and the maps $\overline{Y} \times [0,\, 1] \ni (y,t) \to \kappa'_{Yt}(y) \in \overline{Y}$ and $\overline{Z} \times [0,\, 1] \ni (z,t) \to \kappa'_{Zt}(z) \in \overline{Z}$ are continuous. Note $\operatorname{Im}\overline{\eta} \circ \kappa'_{Yt} = \operatorname{Im}\kappa'_{Zt}$ for each $t \in [0,\, 1]$. Here we can assume $\kappa'^{-1}_{Z1} \circ \eta \circ \kappa'_{Y1}$ is semi-linear by theorem 2.1 through some definable isotopy of $\overline{Y}$ because $\kappa'^{-1}_{Z1} \circ \eta \circ \kappa'_{Y1} : \overline{Y} \to \overline{Z}$ is a definable homeomorphism. Now we define α_t by κ'_{Yt} and κ'_{Zt} as follows

$$\alpha_t = \begin{cases} \eta^{-1} \circ \kappa'^{-1}_{Z1} \circ \eta \circ \kappa'_{Y1} & \text{for } t = 1 \\ \kappa'^{-1}_{Y1-t} \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \kappa'_{Z1-t} \circ \kappa'^{-1}_{Z1} \circ \eta \circ \kappa'_{Y1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then α_t fulfills the requirements that $\alpha_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable isotopy of Y preserving $\{\operatorname{Int}\sigma : \sigma \in K'_Y, \operatorname{Int}\sigma \subset Y\}$, $\eta \circ \alpha_1$ is semi-linear, α_t is extensible to a definable homeomorphism $\overline{\alpha}_t$ of $\overline{Y}$ for each $t \in [0,\, 1)$, and the map $\overline{Y} \times [0,\, 1) \ni (y,t) \to \overline{\alpha}_t(y) \in \overline{Y}$ is continuous. Thus the uniqueness is proved under the assumption that F is triangulable on $F^{-1}(\overline{N})$.

It remains to triangulate F on $F^{-1}(\overline{N})$. To be precise, what we prove is the following statement.

Let K be a finite simplicial complex in R^n with underlying polyhedron X and $H = (H_1, H_2) : X \to R^2$ a definable C^0 map with $H_1 \ge 0$ and $H_2 \ge 0$. Then there exist a definable isotopy $\zeta_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of X preserving K and a definable neighborhood N of $(0,\, \epsilon] \times \{0\}$ in $(0,\, \epsilon] \times [0,\, \epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon > 0 \in R$ such that $H \circ \zeta_1|_{(H\circ\zeta_1)^{-1}(\overline{N})}$ is extensible to a PL map $X \to R^2$.

Note a triangulation of H is impossible in general, e.g., the blowing-up $[0,\, 1]^2 \ni (x_1, x_2) \to (x_1, x_1x_2) \in R^2$.

Proof of the statement. We argue as in the proof of theorem 2.2. Let $p : R^n \times R^2 \to R^2$ denote the projection. Set $\{X_i\} = \{\operatorname{Int}\sigma : \sigma \in K\}$, $A = \operatorname{graph} H$ and $B_{(s,t)} = \{x \in R^n : (x, s, t) \in B\}$ for $(s, t) \in R^2$ and any subset B of $R^n \times R^2$. Let $\{A_j\}_j$ be a finite stratification of A into definable connected C^1 manifolds compatible with $\{X_i \times R^2\}_i$, with the frontier condition and such that $p|_A : \{A_j\}_j \to \{p(A_j)\}_j$ is a definable C^1 stratification of $p|_A$. Let A' denote the union of A_j with $\dim A_{j(s,t)} < n$ for each $(s, t) \in R^2$. Set $S^{n-1} = \{\lambda \in R^n : |\lambda| = 1\}$, let $T_{(s,t)} \subset S^{n-1}$ denote the closure of the set of singular directions λ for $A'_{(s,t)}$ and set $T = \{(\lambda, s, t) \in S^{n-1} \times R^2 : \lambda \in T_{(s,t)}\}$ and $\tilde{T} = \overline{(\overline{T} - T)}$. Then $T_{(s,t)}$ is a definable set of dimension $< n - 1$ for each (s, t), and $T, \overline{T}, \overline{T} - T, \tilde{T}$ are definable sets of dimension smaller than $n + 1, n + 1, n, n$ respectively.

We will find a definable subset of S^{n-1} of dimension $< n-1$ any definable neighborhood of which includes $\overline{(\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}}T_{(s,t)})}$ for some ϵ and N in the statement. Consider a definable C^1 stratification of $p|_{\overline{T}}$ such that the stratification of $\overline{T}$ is compatible with T, and choose ϵ and N so small that $\overline{N} - [0,\, \epsilon] \times \{0\}$ is included in a stratum of the stratification of $p(\overline{T})$. Then

$$\overline{(\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}}T_{(s,t)})} \subset \overline{(\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}-\{(0,0)\}}\tilde{T}_{(s,t)})} \cup \cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}}T_{(s,t)}.$$

First we consider $\overline{(\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}-\{(0,0)\}}\tilde{T}_{(s,t)})}$. Let $p|_{\tilde{T}} : \{\tilde{T}_j\}_j \to \{p(\tilde{T}_j)\}_j$ be a definable C^1 stratification of $p|_{\tilde{T}} : \tilde{T} \to p(\tilde{T})$. It suffices to treat only T_j such that $p(T_j)$ is of dimension 1 and includes $(0,\, \epsilon] \times \{0\}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ or $p(T_j) \cup (0,\, \epsilon] \times \{0\}$ is a neighborhood of $(0,\, \epsilon] \times \{0\}$ in $(0,\, \epsilon] \times [0,\, \epsilon]$. In the former case, $\tilde{T}_{j(s,t)}$ is of dimension $< n - 1$ for each $(s, t) \in (0,\, \epsilon] \times \{0\}$ and, hence, $\overline{(\tilde{T}_j)} - \tilde{T}_j$ is of dimension $< n - 1$. Let O_j be any definable neighborhood of $q\big(\overline{(\overline{(\tilde{T}_j)} - \tilde{T}_j)}\big)$ in S^{n-1}, where $q : R^n \times R^2 \to R^n$ denotes the projection. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{(\cup_{(s,t)\in(0,\,\epsilon]}\tilde{T}_{j(s,t)})} \subset O_j$. In the latter case, $\tilde{T}_{j(s,t)}$ is of dimension $< n-2$, $\cup_{s^2+t^2=c}\tilde{T}_{j(s,t)}$ is of dimension $< n-1$ for each $c > 0 \in R$, and $\overline{(\tilde{T}_j)} - \tilde{T}_j$ is of dimension $< n - 1$. Hence for any definable neighborhood O_j of $q\big(\overline{(\overline{(\tilde{T}_j)} - \tilde{T}_j)}\big)$ in S^{n-1} there exist ϵ and N such that $\overline{(\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}}T_{j(s,t)})} \subset O_j$. Let J denote the family of such j's. Then, for any definable neighborhood O of $q\big(\cup_{j\in J}\,\overline{(\overline{(\tilde{T})} - \tilde{T})}\big)$ in S^{n-1} there exist ϵ and N such that $\overline{(\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}-\{(0,0)\}}\tilde{T}_{(s,t)})} \subset O$.

Next we consider $\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}}T_{(s,t)}$. Set

$$S(s)=\overline{T\cap R^n\times\{s\}\times R}\cap R^n\times\{(s,0)\}\quad\text{for each } s\ge 0\in R,$$
$$S=\overline{\cup_{s\ge 0\in R}S(s)}\cap R^n\times\{(0,0)\}.$$

Then $S(s)$ and S are definable sets of dimension $< n-1$. Let O' be a definable neighborhood of $q(S)$ in S^{n-1}. Then $q\big(\overline{(\cup_{s\in[0,\,\epsilon]}S(s))}\big)\subset O'$ for some $\epsilon>0\in R$, and there exists a definable non-negative C^0 function δ on $[0,\,\epsilon]$ such that $\delta^{-1}(0)=\{0\}$ and $T_{(s,t)}\subset O'$ for $s\in[0,\,\epsilon]$ and $0\le t\le\delta(\epsilon)$. Hence $\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}}T_{(s,t)}\subset O'$ for $N=\{(s,t)\in(0,\,\epsilon]\times R: 0\le t\le\delta(\epsilon)\}$.

Thus we obtain the required definable subset of S^{n-1} of dimension $< n-1$. Choose k and N so that $\overline{\big(\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}}\,T_{(s,t)}\big)}$ is included in a small definable neighborhood of the set. Then $\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}}T_{(s,t)}\ne S^{n-1}$. Hence there exists a non-singular direction for $A_{(s,t)}$ for any $(s,t)\in\overline{N}$.

After changing linearly the coordinate system of R^n we can assume $(1,0,...,0)\in R^n$ is not a singular direction for $A'_{(s,t)}$ for any $(s,t)\in\overline{N}$. Repeat the same arguments for the image of $\cup_{(s,t)\in\overline{N}}A'_{(s,t)}$ under the projection $R^n\times R^2\to R^{n-1}\times R^2$ forgetting the first factor of R^n and so on. Then in the same way as in the proof of theorem 2.2 we obtain N and a definable isotopy $\zeta_t,\ 0\le t\le 1$, of X such that $H\circ\zeta_1|_{(H\circ\zeta_1)^{-1}(\overline{N})}$ is PL. Here we can choose ζ_t preserving K. Thus the statement is proved. $\square$

Standard semi-linearization of a bounded definable C^0 function is possible as follows.

Theorem 2.6. *(1) Let $(X,X_i)_i$ be a finite family of definable sets and $f:X\to R$ a bounded definable C^0 function. Then there exist a standard family of semi-linear sets $(Y,Y_i)_i$ and a definable homeomorphism $\pi:(Y,Y_i)_i\to(X,X_i)_i$ such that $f\circ\pi$ is semi-linear.*

(2) If X is a standard semi-linear set and P is a cell decomposition of $\overline{X}$ such that X is the union of some open cells in P and $(X,X\cap\sigma)_{\sigma\in P}$ is standard then we can choose Y and π in (1) so that $Y=X$ and there exists a definable isotopy $\pi_t,\ 0\le t\le 1$, of X from id to π preserving P.

Proof. (1). Let X be contained and bounded in R^n. Replacing X with the graph of f we assume f is extensible to a definable C^0 function $\overline{f}$ on $\overline{X}$. By theorem 2.5 we have a standard semi-linearization $\pi:(Y,Y_i)_i\to(X,X_i)_i$ of (X,X_i). In that proof π is constructed so as to be extensible to a definable C^0 map $\overline{\pi}:\overline{Y}\to\overline{X}$. Let K be a simplicial decomposition of $\overline{Y}$ such that Y and Y_i are the unions of some open simplexes in K. Apply theorem 2.2,(2) to $\overline{f}\circ\overline{\pi}$ and K. Then there exists a definable homeomorphism τ of $\overline{Y}$ preserving K and, hence, Y_i such that $\overline{f}\circ\overline{\pi}\circ\tau$ is PL. Hence $\pi\circ\tau|_Y:(Y,Y_i)_i\to(X,X_i)_i$ is the required semi-linearization of f.

(2). By (1) we have a standard family of semi-linear sets $(Y,Y_\sigma,Y_{i,\sigma})_{i,\sigma\in P}$ and a definable homeomorphism $\tau:(Y,Y_\sigma,Y_{i,\sigma})_{i,\sigma\in P}\to(X,X\cap\sigma,X_i\cap\sigma)_{i,\sigma\in P}$ such that $f\circ\tau$ is semi-linear. Apply theorem 2.5 to a definable homeomorphism $\tau:(Y,Y_\sigma)_{\sigma\in P}\to$

$(X, X \cap \sigma)_{\sigma \in P}$ between standard semi-linear set families. Then there exists a definable isotopy $\tau_t : (Y, Y_\sigma)_\sigma \to (X, X \cap \sigma)_\sigma,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, from τ to a semi-linear homeomorphism through homeomorphisms. Set $\pi_t = \tau \circ \tau_t^{-1}$. Then $\pi_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable isotopy of $(X, X \cap \sigma)_\sigma$ preserving P; $f \circ \pi_1$ is semi-linear because $f \circ \pi_1 = f \circ \tau \circ \tau_1^{-1}$; each $\pi_1^{-1}(X_i)$ is semi-linear because $\pi_1^{-1}(X_i) = \tau_1(\tau^{-1}(X_i)) = \tau_1(\cup_{\sigma \in P} Y_{i,\sigma})$; $(X, \pi_1^{-1}(X_i))$ is standard because $(Y, \cup_{\sigma \in P} Y_{i,\sigma})_i$ is standard and $\tau_1 : (Y, \cup_{\sigma \in P} Y_{i,\sigma})_i \to (X, \pi_1^{-1}(X_i))_i$ is a semi-linear homeomorphism. Hence $\pi_1 : (X, \pi_1^{-1}(X_i)) \to (X, X_i)$ is the required standard semi-linearization of f. □

Uniqueness of the semi-linearization in (1) does not necessarily hold. A counter-example can be constructed as the above-mentioned counter-example to uniqueness of a definable semi-linearization of a family of definable sets.

It seems very possible that any definable fiber bundle with compact polyhedral base and total space is definably isomorphic to some PL fiber bundle. We give a partial answer as follows. We define a *definable microbundle*, a *definable isomorphism* of two definable microbundles and a *definable s-isomorphism* as in the PL case over **R** in [M]. See [M] for properties of PL microbundles.

Remark 2.7. *A definable microbundle* $\xi : B \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{j} B$ *is definably s-isomorphic to some PL microbundle if* B *is a compact polyhedron.*

Proof of remark 2.7. Let $B \subset R^n$, B' a regular neighborhood of B in R^n, and $p : B' \to B$ a PL retraction. Let E' $(\subset B' \times E)$ denote the fiber product of $p : B' \to B$ and $j : E \to B$, and define $i' : B' \to E'$ to be $(\mathrm{id}, i \circ p)$ and $j' : E' \to B'$ to be the projection. Then $\xi' : B' \xrightarrow{i'} E' \xrightarrow{j'} B'$ is a definable microbundle and we regard ξ as the restriction of ξ' to B. Hence it suffices to see ξ' is definably s-isomorphic to some PL microbundle. Therefore we assume from the beginning B is a compact PL manifold with boundary of dimension n and E is a definable C^0 manifold with boundary. Let $\tilde{B}$ be a small definable open neighborhood of B in R^n and $\tilde{E}$ a definable C^0 manifold including E defined by some definable retraction $\tilde{B} \to B$ as above.

Let $E \subset R^m$. We can replace E with the graph of j. Hence we assume $E \subset B \times R^m$ and j is the restriction to E of the projection $B \times R^m \to B$. Set $E_b = \{x \in R^m : (b, x) \in E\}$ for each $b \in B$. Then $E = \cup_{b \in B} \{b\} \times E_b$, and moving parallel each E_b in R^m we suppose $\operatorname{Im} i = B \times \{0\}$.

Let us naturally extend the definition of the tangent microbundle of a C^0 manifold to that of a definable C^0 manifold with boundary. The tangent microbundle of E $\eta_1 : E \xrightarrow{i_1} E \times \tilde{E} \xrightarrow{j_1} E$ is defined by $i_1(b, x) = (b, x, b, x)$ for $(b, x) \in E$ and $j_1(b, x, b', x') = (b, x)$ for $(b, x, b', x') \in E \times \tilde{E}$. Set $V_2 = \cup_{b \in B} \{b\} \times E_b \times \tilde{B} \times E_b$ and $V_3 = \cup_{b \in B} \{b\} \times \{0\} \times \tilde{B} \times E_b$, and define definable microbundles $\eta_2 : E \xrightarrow{i_2} V_2 \xrightarrow{j_2} E$ and $\eta_3 : B \times \{0\} \xrightarrow{i_3} V_3 \xrightarrow{j_3} B \times \{0\}$ by $i_2(b, x) = (b, x, b, x)$ for $(b, x) \in E$, $j_2(b, x, b', x') = (b, x)$ for $(b, x, b', x') \in V_2$, $i_3 = i_2|_{B \times \{0\}}$ and $j_3 = j_2|_{V_3}$. Then η_1 is definably isomorphic to η_2, the restriction of η_2 to $B \times \{0\}$ is η_3, and η_3 is regarded as definably isomorphic to the definable microbundle $B \ni b \to (b, 0, b) \in E \times R^n \ni (b, x, b') \to b \in B$, which is the Whitney sum of ξ and the trivial microbundle $B \to B \times R^n \to B$. Thus ξ is regarded as definably s-isomorphic to $\eta_1|_{B\times\{0\}}$.

Let U be the closed ϵ-neighborhood of $B \times \{0\}$ in E for small $\epsilon > 0$. Then U is a compact definable C^0 manifold with boundary. Let $\pi : X \to U$ be a definable triangulation such that $\pi^{-1}(B \times \{0\})$ is a polyhedron (remember that a definable polyhedral C^0 manifold possibly with boundary is a PL manifold possibly with boundary), and τ_X denote the tangent microbundle of X. Then $\tau|_{\pi^{-1}(B\times\{0\})}$ is a PL microbundle and definably isomorphic to the induced microbundle $(\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(B\times\{0\})})^*\eta_1|_{B\times\{0\}}$ by invariance of tangent microbundles. Let $\Pi : \pi^{-1}(B \times \{0\}) \times [0, 1] \to B \times \{0\}$ be a definable isotopy of $\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(B\times\{0\})}$ through homeomorphisms such that $\Pi(\cdot, 1)$ is PL (the complement of theorem 2.1). Then by the covering homotopy property of topological microbundles, which is proved in the definable R-case in the same way, $(\pi|_{\pi^{-1}(B\times\{0\})})^*\eta_1|_{B\times\{0\}}$ and $\Pi(\cdot, 1)^*\eta_1|_{B\times\{0\}}$ are definably isomorphic. Hence $\Pi(\cdot, 1)^*\eta_1|_{B\times\{0\}}$ is definably isomorphic to the PL microbundle $\tau_X|_{\pi^{-1}(B\times\{0\})}$. Therefore $\eta_1|_{B\times\{0\}}$ is definably isomorphic to the PL microbundle $(\Pi(\cdot, 1)^{-1})^*\tau_X|_{\pi^{-1}(B\times\{0\})}$. □

§3. DIFFERENTIAL TOPOLOGY IN O-MINIMAL STRUCTURE

In this section we consider differential topology in o-minimal structure over R. We fix an o-minimal structure over R and one over $\mathbf{R}$, which expand the semialgebraic structure, such that for any definable set X in $\mathbf{R}^n$ there exists a definable set Y in R^n such that $Y \cap \mathbf{R}^n = X$. We call the smallest Y the *R-extension* of X and denote by X_R. Given a definable C^0 map $f : X \to Y$ between definable sets in $\mathbf{R}^n$, then its *R-extension* $f_R : X_R \to Y_R$ is naturally defined. An example of such an o-minimal structure over $\mathbf{R}$ is the semialgebraic structure.

Remark 3.1. *Let r be $0, \ldots$ or ∞. A semialgebraic C^r version of lemma 1.1 is that given an R-semialgebraic C^r map $f : X \to Y$ between R-semialgebraic C^r manifolds then there exist an $\mathbf{R}$-semialgebraic C^r map $g : U \to V$ between $\mathbf{R}$-semialgebraic C^r manifolds and R-semialgebraic C^r diffeomorphisms (homeomorphisms if $r = 0$) $\pi : X \to U_R$ and $\tau : Y \to V_R$ such that $\tau \circ f = g_R \circ \pi$. This is not correct. Indeed the cardinal number of the R-semialgebraic C^r right-left equivalence classes of all R-semialgebraic C^r maps between R^3 is $\#R$ by [T], which treated only the case of $\mathbf{R}$ but whose proof works for any R.*

If we treat only manifolds but not maps, a reduction to the $\mathbf{R}$-case is possible as follows, which was proved by [C-S$_1$] in the semialgebraic structure and by [E] in general. A *Nash manifold* is a semi-algebraic C^∞ manifold, and a *Nash map* between Nash manifolds is a semi-algebraic C^∞ map.

Lemma 3.2. *Given a definable C^r manifold M in R^n, $r > 0 \in \mathbf{N}$, then there exists uniquely a Nash manifold N in $\mathbf{R}^n$ such that M and N_R are definably C^r diffeomorphic. Here uniqueness means that if N' is another Nash manifold with the same properties then N and N' are Nash diffeomorphic.*

As in [S$_1$], [C-S$_{1,2}$] and [E] we see

Lemma 3.2′. *Assume the above M is non-compact. Then there exists uniquely a compact definable C^r manifold with boundary N in R^n such that M and* $\operatorname{Int} N$ *are definably C^r diffeomorphic.*

Let X and Y be definable sets in R^n, $X', X_i \subset X$ and $Y_i \subset Y$ a finite number of definable subsets and $\phi : X' \to Y$ a definable C^0 map. Let $\operatorname{Hom}_R(X, Y)$ denote the definable homotopy classes of definable C^0 maps from X to Y. We also define $\operatorname{Hom}_R(X, Y)_\phi, \operatorname{Iso}_R(X, Y)$, $\operatorname{Iso}_R(X, Y)_\phi$, $\operatorname{Aiso}_R(X, Y)$, $\operatorname{Aiso}_R(X, Y)_\phi$ and the relative classes $\operatorname{Hom}_R(X, X_i; Y, Y_i), ...$ in the same way as in the PL case. Then we have the following lemma, which generalizes the main theorems on homotopy in [D-K]. We apply theorem 2.1 and its complement many times in the proof in order to reduce the problem to the PL case.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $X, X', X_i, Y, Y_i \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. The following former four natural maps are bijective, and if Y is locally closed in R^n then the latter two ones are injective.*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(X_R, Y_R),\ \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y)_\phi \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(X_R, Y_R)_{\phi_R},$$
$$\operatorname{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \operatorname{Iso}_R(X_R, Y_R),\ \operatorname{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y)_\phi \to \operatorname{Iso}_R(X_R, Y_R)_{\phi_R},$$
$$\operatorname{Aiso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \operatorname{Aiso}_R(X_R, Y_R),\ \operatorname{Aiso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y)_\phi \to \operatorname{Aiso}_R(X_R, Y_R)_{\phi_R}.$$

The relative case also holds.

Proof. Surjectivity of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(X_R, Y_R)$. Let $f : X_R \to Y_R$ be a definable C^0 map. By the triangulation theorem of definable sets we can assume X and Y are the unions of some open simplexes in some finite simplicial complexes K and L in $\mathbf{R}^n$, respectively, such that $\overline{X} = |K|$ and $\overline{Y} = |L|$. First we reduce the problem to the case where X and Y are compact.

As in the proof of theorem 2.5, for each $\sigma \in K$ with $X \cap \operatorname{Int} \sigma = \emptyset$, let $\pi_{\sigma,t} : \overline{X} - \operatorname{Int} \sigma \to \overline{X} - \operatorname{Int} \sigma$, $0 \le t \le 1$, be a semialgebraic isotopy of the identity map of $\overline{X} - \operatorname{Int} \sigma$ such that $\pi_{\sigma,t} = \mathrm{id}$ outside of $\operatorname{Int} |\operatorname{st}(\sigma, K)|$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\overline{X} - \overline{\operatorname{Im} \pi_{\sigma,1}} = \operatorname{Int} |\operatorname{st}(v_\sigma, K')|$, where K' is the barycentric subdivision of K and v_σ is the barycenter of σ. Set $\pi_t = \pi_{\sigma_1,t} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{\sigma_k,t}$ on X for $\{\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_k\} = \{\sigma \in K : X \cap \operatorname{Int} \sigma = \emptyset\}$. Then $\pi_t : X \to X$ is a semialgebraic isotopy of the identity map of X, $\overline{\operatorname{Im} \pi_t} \subset X$ for $t \in (0, 1]$, and $f \circ \pi_{tR}$, $0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable homotopy of f. Hence we can consider $f|_{\overline{\operatorname{Im} \pi_{1R}}} : \overline{\operatorname{Im} \pi_{1R}} \to Y_R$ in place of $f : X_R \to Y_R$. Then f is extensible to a definable C^0 map $\overline{X}_R \to Y_R$ and we can assume X is compact. By the same reason we can suppose $\operatorname{Im} f$ does not intersect with some semialgebraic neighborhood of $\overline{Y_R} - Y_R$ in $\overline{Y_R}$ defined by polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbf{R}$ and, hence, Y is compact.

The simplicial approximation theorem does not holds over general R. Its proof, e.g., in [H], however, is available. We can prove by a similar method that f is definably homotopic to a PL map as follows, which implies surjectivity of the map $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(X_R, Y_R)$ by lemma 1.2.

Let $\tau : Z \to X_R$ be a definable triangulation of X_R such that $(f \circ \tau)^{-1}(\sigma)$ are polyhedra for $\sigma \in L_R$ and P a simplicial decomposition of Z such that each $(f \circ \tau)^{-1}(\sigma)$ is the union of some simplexes in P. Then we can replace X_R, K_R and f with Z, P and $f \circ \tau$ because

τ is definably isotopic to a PL homeomorphism through homeomorphisms (theorem 2.1 and its complement), P is simplicially isomorphic to K_{1R} for some simplicial complex K_1 over $\mathbf{R}$ and $|K_1|$ is PL homeomorphic to X. Hence we assume from the beginning for each $\sigma \in L_R$, $f^{-1}(\sigma)$ is the union of some simplexes in K_R. Then for each $\sigma \in L_R$, $f^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\sigma)$ is the union of some open simplexes in K_R. Hence for each $\sigma \in K_R$ there exists uniquely $\delta_\sigma \in L_R$ such that $f(\operatorname{Int}\sigma) \subset \operatorname{Int}\delta_\sigma$. For each $v \in K^0$, let v_L denote the barycenter of δ_v. Let K' denote the barycentric subdivision of K and define δ_σ and v_L for $\sigma \in K'_R$ and $v \in K'^0_R$ in the same way. Given a simplex $v_1 \cdots v_k$ in K'_R spanned by vertices $v_1, ..., v_k$, then $f(\operatorname{Int} v_1 \cdots v_k)$ is included in $\operatorname{Int}\delta_{v_1\cdots v_k}$ and, hence, the map carrying $v_1, ..., v_k$ to $v_{1L}, ..., v_{kL}$ in order is linearly extended to a map from $v_1 \cdots v_k$ to $\delta_{v_1\cdots v_k}$. Here the restriction of the extension to a face of $v_1 \cdots v_k$ coincides with the linear map defined by the face in the same way. Hence a PL map $f_1 : X_R \to Y_R$ is well-defined so that f_1 is linear on each simplex in K'_R and $f_1(v) = v_L$ for $v \in K'^0_R$, and, moreover, a definable homotopy $f_t : X_R \to Y_R,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of f is defined by

$$f_t(x) = tf_1(x) + (1-t)f(x) \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in X_R \times [0,\,1].$$

Thus f is definably homotopic to a PL map, and surjectivity is proved. Note that each vertex v of K'_R is the barycenter of some $\sigma \in K_R$, v_L is the barycenter of δ_σ and, hence, $f_t(\operatorname{Int}\sigma) \subset \operatorname{Int}\delta_\sigma$. In particular, if the restriction of f to a subcomplex K_1 of K_R is a simplicial map to some subcomplex of L_R then $f = f_t$ on $|K_1|$. (Here we need the assumption that for each $\sigma \in L_R$, $f^{-1}(\sigma)$ is the union of some simplexes in K_R.)

Moreover we generalize the last statement as follows for subsequence applications. If the restriction of f to a closed subpolyhedron Z of X_R is PL and if $f^{-1}(\sigma)$ is a polyhedron for each $\sigma \in L_R$ then we can choose f_t so that $f = f_t$ on Z. Indeed, subdivide K_R and assume the restriction of f to each simplex in a subcomplex K_1 of K_R with $|K_1| = Z$ is a linear map into some simplex in L_R and for each $\sigma \in L_R$, $f^{-1}(\sigma)$ is the union of some simplexes in K_R. Then we can define a PL map $f_1 : X_R \to Y_R$ so that f_1 is linear on each simplex in K'_R, $f_1(v) = v_L$ for $v \in K'^0_R - K'_1$ and $f_1(v) = f(v)$ for $v \in K'^0_R \cap K'_1$. For such f_1 we define $f_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, in the same way as above.

Surjectivity of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, X_i; Y, Y_i) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(X_R, X_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})$. Let f be a definable C^0 map from $(X_R, X_{iR})_i$ to $(Y_R, Y_{iR})_i$. We repeat the above proof. First we assume X, X_i, Y, Y_i are the unions of some open simplexes in finte simplicial complexes K and L. We reduce the problem, secondly, to the case where X and Y are compact and coincide with $|K|$ and $|L|$, respectively, and, thirdly, to the case where for each $\sigma \in L_R$, $f^{-1}(\sigma)$ is the union of some simplexes in K_R. Lastly, we define a definable homotopy $f_t : X_R \to Y_R,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of f to a PL map. Then by the above method of construction of f_t, $f_t(X_{iR}) \subset Y_{iR}$, i.e., $f_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable homotopy from $(X_R, X_{iR})_i$ to $(Y_R, Y_{iR})_i$. Thus we can assume f is PL from the beginning.

Let K_1 and L_1 be simplicial subdivisions of K_R and L_R, respectively, such that $f : K_1 \to L_1$ is simplicial. As in the proof of lemma 1.2 we find PL isotopies π_t of X_R and τ_t of $Y_R,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, through homeomorphisms preserving K_R and L_R, respectively, such that π_1 and τ_1 are isomorphisms from K_1 and L_1 to the R-extensions of some simplicial subdivisions of K and L respectively. Then $\tau_t \circ f \circ \pi_t^{-1},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is a PL homotopy of f

from $(X_R, X_{iR})_i$ to $(Y_R, Y_{iR})_i$, and $\tau_1 \circ f \circ \pi_1^{-1}$ is the R-extension of some PL map from $(X, X_i)_i$ to $(Y, Y_i)_i$.

Injectivity of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(X_R, Y_R)$. Let $f, g : X \to Y$ be definable C^0 maps such that $f_R, g_R : X_R \to Y_R$ are definably homotopic. Let $F : X_R \times [0, 1] \to Y_R$ be a definable C^0 map such that $F(\cdot, t) = f_R(\cdot)$ for $t \in [0, 1/4]$ and $F(\cdot, t) = g_R(\cdot)$ for $t \in [3/4, 1]$. We will see then f and g are definably homotopic. By the same reason as above we reduce the problem to the case where X and Y are compact polyhedra, and by the simplicial approximation theorem over $\mathbf{R}$ we assume f and g are PL. Then by lemma 1.2 it suffices to find a definable homotopy $F_s : X_R \times [0, 1] \to Y_R$, $0 \le s \le 1$, of F such that F_1 is PL and $F_s = F$ on $X_R \times \{0, 1\}$ for any s. Thus what we prove is the following statement.

$(*)$ Let $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U$ and V be compact polyhedra over R such that U_2 is a neighborhood of U_1 in U and $h : U \to V$ a definable C^0 map such that $h|_{U_2}$ is PL. Then there exists a definable homotopy $h_t : U \to V$, $0 \le t \le 1$, of h such that h_1 is PL and $h_t = h$ on U_1 for any t.

Existence of such a homotopy without the last condition is already shown. We need some additional arguments for this condition. Let K and L be simplicial decompositions of U and V, respectively, such that $U_1, U_2, h(U_1)$ and $h(U_2)$ are the unions of some simplexes in K and L, respectively, and $K|_{U_1}$ is full in K. Here we can choose K and L so that $h|_{U_2} : K|_{U_2} \to L|_{h(U_2)}$ is simplicial for the following reason. First subdivide K so that h is linear on each simplex in $K|_{U_2}$. Secondly, subdivide L so that for each $\sigma \in K|_{U_2}$, $h(\sigma)$ is the union of some simplexes in L. Then $\{\sigma \in K : \operatorname{Int} \sigma \cap U_2 = \emptyset\} \cup \{\sigma_K \cap h^{-1}(\sigma_L) : \sigma_K \in K|_{U_2}, \sigma_L \in L\}$ is a cell complex, whose underlying polyhedron is U. Lastly, subdivide the cell complex to a simplicial complex without introducing new vertices (Proposition 2.9 in [R-S]) and replace K with the subdivision. Then $h|_{U_2} : K|_{U_2} \to L|_{h(U_2)}$ is simplicial.

Next we shrink U_2. Let $\xi : K \to \{0, 1, [0, 1]\}$ be the simplicial map defined by $\xi(v) = 0$ for $v \in (K|_{U_1})^0$ and $\xi(v) = 1$ for $v \in K^0 - U_1$. Then $\xi^{-1}(0) = U_1$ by fullness of $K|_{U_1}$. (Note fullness continues to hold after subdivision.) Set $\epsilon = 1/2$. Then $\xi^{-1}([0, \epsilon]) \subset U_2$, and $(\xi^{-1}([\epsilon/2, \epsilon]), \xi^{-1}([\epsilon/2, \epsilon]) \cap \sigma)_{\sigma \in K}$ is PL homeomorphic to $[0, 1] \times (\xi^{-1}(\epsilon), \xi^{-1}(\epsilon) \cap \sigma)_{\sigma \in K}$ by the usual arguments of PL topology. We consider $\xi^{-1}([0, \epsilon])$ in place of U_2 and use the last triviality.

We will construct a definable triangulation $\tau : Z \to U$ and a simplicial decomposition P of Z such that for $\sigma_L \in L$ and $\sigma_K \in K$, $(h \circ \tau)^{-1}(\sigma_L)$ and $\tau^{-1}(\sigma_K \cap U_1)$ are the unions of some simplexes in P and $\tau|_{\tau^{-1}(U_1)} : P|_{\tau^{-1}(U_1)} \to K|_{U_1}$ is an isomorphism. Let $\tau_\epsilon : Z_\epsilon \to \xi^{-1}([\epsilon, 1])$ be a definable triangulation such that $\tau_\epsilon^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\epsilon) \cap \sigma_K)$ and $(h \circ \tau_\epsilon)^{-1}(\sigma_L)$ are polyhedra for $\sigma_K \in K$ and $\sigma_L \in L$. Apply theorem 2.1 and its complement to $\tau_\epsilon|_{(\xi \circ \tau_\epsilon)^{-1}(\epsilon)} : \big((\xi \circ \tau_\epsilon)^{-1}(\epsilon), \tau_\epsilon^{-1}(\xi^{-1}(\epsilon) \cap \sigma)\big)_{\sigma \in K} \to (\xi^{-1}(\epsilon), \xi^{-1}(\epsilon) \cap \sigma)_{\sigma \in K}$. Then τ_ϵ is extended to a definable triangulation $\tau_{\epsilon/2} : Z_{\epsilon/2} \to \xi^{-1}([\epsilon/2, 1])$ so that $\tau_{\epsilon/2}|_{(\xi \circ \tau_{\epsilon/2})^{-1}(\epsilon/2)} : (\xi \circ \tau_{\epsilon/2})^{-1}(\epsilon/2) \to \xi^{-1}(\epsilon/2)$ is PL and $\tau_{\epsilon/2}^{-1}(\xi^{-1}([\epsilon/2, \epsilon]) \cap \sigma)$ are polyhedra for $\sigma \in K$. Then $(h \circ \tau_{\epsilon/2})^{-1}(\sigma)$ are polyhedra for $\sigma \in L$ because for $\sigma_L \in L$, $\tau_{\epsilon/2}^{-1}(\xi^{-1}([\epsilon/2, \epsilon]) \cap h^{-1}(\sigma_L))$ are the unions of $\tau_{\epsilon/2}^{-1}(\xi^{-1}([\epsilon/2, \epsilon]) \cap \sigma_K)$ for some $\sigma_K \in K$. Let $P_{\epsilon/2}$ be a simplicial decomposition of $Z_{\epsilon/2}$ such that for $\sigma_L \in L$ and $\sigma_K \in K$, $(h \circ \tau_{\epsilon/2})^{-1}(\sigma_L)$ and

$\tau_{\epsilon/2}^{-1}(\sigma_K \cap \xi^{-1}(\epsilon/2))$ are the unions of some simplexes in $P_{\epsilon/2}$. Subdivide the cell complex $\{\sigma_K \cap \xi^{-1}(0), \sigma_K \cap \xi^{-1}([0, \epsilon/2]), \tau_{\epsilon/2}(\sigma_P) \cap \xi^{-1}(\epsilon/2) : \sigma_K \in K, \sigma_P \in P_{\epsilon/2}\}$ to a simplicial complex $\tilde{K}$ without introducing new vertices. Note $|\tilde{K}| = \xi^{-1}([0, \epsilon/2])$, $\tilde{K}|_{U_1} = K|_{U_1}$ and $\tilde{K}|_{\xi^{-1}(\epsilon/2)} = \{\tau_{\epsilon/2}(\sigma) \cap \xi^{-1}(\epsilon/2) : \sigma \in P_{\epsilon/2}\}$. Paste $P_{\epsilon/2}$ with $\tilde{K}$ through $\tau_{\epsilon/2}|_{(\xi\circ\tau_{\epsilon/2})^{-1}(\epsilon/2)}$. Then we have a finite simplicial complex P and a definable triangulation $\tau : Z = |P| \to U$ such that for $\sigma_L \in L$ and $\sigma_K \in K$, $(h \circ \tau)^{-1}(\sigma_L)$ and $\tau^{-1}(\sigma_K \cap U_1)$ are the unions of some simplexes in P and $\tau|_{\tau^{-1}(U_1)} : P|_{\tau^{-1}(U_1)} \to K|_{U_1}$ is an isomorphism.

The rest of proof is the same as in the proof of surjectivity. First replacing U and K with Z and P we reduce the problem to the case where for each $\sigma \in L$, $h^{-1}(\sigma)$ is the union of some simplexes in K and $h|_{U_1} : K|_{U_1} \to L|_{h(U_1)}$ is simplicial. Next define a definable homotopy $h_t : U \to V$, $0 \le t \le 1$, of h so that h_1 is PL in the same way. Then $h_t = h$ on U_1 by the simplicial map property of $h|_{U_1}$ and the definition of h_t. Thus injectivity is proved.

Injectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, X_i; Y, Y_i) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R, X_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})$. We only need to generalize $(*)$ to the relative case as follows. Given $W_i \subset U$ and $V_i \subset V$ a finite number of unions of a finite number of open simplexes such that $h(W_i) \subset V_i$, then we can choose h_t so that $h_t(W_i) \subset V_i$ for any t. That is clear as shown in the proof of surjectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, X_i; Y, Y_i) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R, X_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})$.

Bijectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, X_i; Y, Y_i)_\phi \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R, X_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})_{\phi_R}$. We can assume X and Y are bounded in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and, moreover, X' is closed in X and for the following reason. Since we are interested in the case of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R, X_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})_{\phi_R} \neq \emptyset$, we assume ϕ_R is extensible to a definable C^0 map $(X_R, X_{iR})_i \to (Y_R, Y_{iR})_i$. Then ϕ_R is uniquely extensible to the closure of X'_R in X_R. Let $\overline{\phi}_R$ denote the extension. Clearly graph $\overline{\phi}_R$ is closed in $X_R \times Y_R$ and the closure of X'_R in X_R includes the closure of X' in X. Therefore graph $\overline{\phi}_R$ includes the closure of graph ϕ in $X \times Y$. Namely ϕ is extensible to the closure of X' in X. Therefore we can assume X' is closed in X.

We reduce the problem to the compact PL case one by one. First we reduce to the case where ϕ is an imbedding as follows. Let $\tilde{\phi} : X \to \mathbf{R}^n$ be a bounded definable C^0 extension of ϕ. Replace X with graph $\tilde{\phi}$. Then we assume $\tilde{\phi}$ is extensible to a definable C^0 map $\hat{\phi} : \overline{X} \to \mathbf{R}^n$. Using Thom's transversality theorem (Theorem II.5.4), by usual arguments of singularity theory (see §II.5 and §II.6 in [S$_3$]) we find a definable C^1 map $\alpha' : \overline{X} \to \mathbf{R}^N$ (i.e., a map extensible to a definable C^1 map from a definable open neighborhood of $\overline{X}$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$ to $\mathbf{R}^N$) for some large integer N such that $\alpha' = 0$ on $\overline{X'}$ and $\alpha'|_{\overline{X}-\overline{X'}}$ is a C^0 imbedding into $\mathbf{R}^N - \{0\}$. Set $\alpha = (\hat{\phi}, \alpha')|_X$, $Z = \mathrm{Im}\,\alpha$, $Z' = \alpha(X')$ and $Z_i = \alpha(X_i)$, and define a definable C^0 map $\psi : Z' \to Y$ to be the restriction to Z' of the projection $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^N \to \mathbf{R}^n$. Then ψ is an imbedding and, moreover, extensible to a definable C^0 imbedding of $\overline{Z'}$ into $\overline{Y}$, and the map $\mathrm{Hom}_R(Z_R, Z_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})_{\psi_R} \ni G \to G \circ \alpha_R \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R, X_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})_{\phi_R}$ is bijective. Hence we can replace $(X, X', X_i)_i$ with $(Z, Z', Z_i)_i$ and assume ϕ and its C^0 extension to $\overline{X'}$ are imbeddings into Y and $\overline{Y}$ respectively.

Secondly, by the triangulation theorem of definable sets, theorem 2.1 and its complement we suppose X, X', X_i, Y and Y_i are the unions of some open simplexes in finite simplicial complexes K and L such that $|K| = \overline{X}$, $|L| = \overline{Y}$ and $K|_{\overline{X'}}$ is a full subcomplex of K, and the extension of ϕ to $\overline{X'}$ is a PL imbedding into $\overline{Y}$. (Note the original ϕ is not necessarily triangulable.)

Let $\pi_{\sigma,t}:\overline{X}-\operatorname{Int}\sigma\to\overline{X}-\operatorname{Int}\sigma,\ 0\le t\le 1$, be the semialgebraic isotopy defined in the proof of surjectivity of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y)\to\operatorname{Hom}_R(X_R,Y_R)$ and K' the barycentric subdivision of K. Set $\{\sigma_1,...,\sigma_k\}=\{\sigma\in K: X\cap\operatorname{Int}\sigma=\emptyset\}$ ordered so that $\dim\sigma_i\le\dim\sigma_{i+1}$. Then we can choose $\pi_{\sigma_i,t}$ by downward induction on i by fullness of $K|_{\overline{X'}}$ in K so that

$$\overline{X-\operatorname{Im}\pi_{\sigma_i,1}\circ\cdots\circ\pi_{\sigma_k,1}}=\cup_{j=i}^k|\operatorname{st}(v_{\sigma_j},K')|,$$

where v_σ denotes the barycenter of σ. Hence if we set $\pi_1=\pi_{\sigma_1,1}\circ\cdots\circ\pi_{\sigma_k,1}$ as before then π_1 is a semialgebraic C^0 imbedding of X into X,

$$\overline{X-\operatorname{Im}\pi_1}=\cup\{|\operatorname{st}(\sigma,K')|:\sigma\in K',\ \operatorname{Int}\sigma\cap X=\emptyset\}$$

and $\overline{\operatorname{Im}\pi_1}$ is the union of some simplexes in K'. It follows that $\cup\{|\operatorname{st}(\sigma',K')|\cap\overline{\operatorname{Im}\pi_1}:\sigma'\in K',\ \operatorname{Int}\sigma'\subset\sigma\cap\operatorname{Im}\pi_1\}$ is a neighborhood of $\sigma\cap\overline{\operatorname{Im}\pi_1}$ in $\overline{\operatorname{Im}\pi_1}$ for any $\sigma\in K$ with $\operatorname{Int}\sigma\subset X$. Therefore replacing X, ϕ and K with $\operatorname{Im}\pi_1$, $\phi\circ\pi_1^{-1}$ and $K'|_{\overline{\operatorname{Im}\pi_1}}$ and keeping the same notation we, thirdly, assume

$$\sigma\cap(\overline{X'}-X)\subset\overline{\sigma\cap X'}\quad\text{for } \sigma\in K \text{ with } \operatorname{Int}\sigma\subset X, \tag{$**$}$$

namely, there does not exist a simplex in K which touches $\overline{X'}$ but not X' and whose interior is included in X.

Though reduction to the compact PL case is not yet complete, we consider surjectivity.

Proof of surjectivity. Let $f:(X_R,X_{iR})_i\to(Y_R,Y_{iR})_i$ be a definable C^0 map with $f|_{X'_R}=\phi_R$. Then f is definably homotopic to a definable C^0 map extensible to a definable C^0 map $\overline{X}_R\to\overline{Y}_R$ through a homotopy fixing X'_R for the following reason. Let U be an open definable neighborhood of X'_R in X_R such that $f|_U$ is extensible to a definable C^0 map from $\overline{U}$ to $\overline{Y}$, define K' as above and let ξ be a positive definable C^0 function on X'_R with $\xi\le 1$.

We will define a definable homeomorphism $\tau_\xi:X_R\to X_R$ by which $\overline{U}$ becomes a neighborhood of $\overline{X'}$ in $\overline{X}$. Let $N(\overline{X'},K)$ denote the simplicial neighborhood of $\overline{X'}$ in K—the subcomplex of K generated by $\sigma\in K$ with $\sigma\cap\overline{X'}\ne\emptyset$—and $\partial N(\overline{X'},K)$ the subcomplex of $N(\overline{X'},K)$ consisting of σ with $\sigma\cap\overline{X'}=\emptyset$. Set $\tau_\xi=\mathrm{id}$ on $X'_R\cup(X_R\cap|\partial N(\overline{X'},K)|_R)\cup(X_R-|N(\overline{X'},K)|_R)$. By $(**)$ $X_R\cap|N(\overline{X'},K)|_R-X'_R-|\partial N(\overline{X'},K)|_R$ is the disjoint union of the interiors of joins $\sigma*\sigma'$ such that $\sigma\in\partial N(\overline{X'},K)_R$, $\sigma'\in K_R|_{\overline{X'_R}}$, $\sigma*\sigma'\in K_R$ and $\operatorname{Int}\sigma'\subset X'_R$. Hence it suffices to define τ_ξ on such $\operatorname{Int}\sigma*\sigma'$. Clearly $\operatorname{Int}\sigma*\sigma'$ is the disjoint union of open segments joining points in $\operatorname{Int}\sigma$ and points in $\operatorname{Int}\sigma'$. Let $l(t),\ 0\le t\le 1$, denote the points of the closed segment linearly parameterized by t so that $l(0)\in\operatorname{Int}\sigma'$ and $l(1)\in\operatorname{Int}\sigma$. Define τ_ξ to carry linearly the segments $\overline{l(0)l(1/2)}-\{l(0)\}$ and $\overline{l(1/2)l(1)}-\{l(1)\}$ to $\overline{l(0)l(\xi(l(0))/2)}-\{l(0)\}$ and $\overline{l(\xi(l(0))/2)l(1)}-\{l(1)\}$ respectively. Then τ_ξ is a well-defined definable homeomorphism of X_R and preserving $\{\operatorname{Int}\sigma:\sigma\in K_R,\ \operatorname{Int}\sigma\subset X_R\}$, and for sufficiently small ξ

$$\tau_\xi(X_R\cap|N(\overline{X'},K')|_R)\subset U.$$

Fix such a ξ and set $\tau'_t = \tau_{1-t+t\xi},\ 0 \le t \le 1$. Then $\tau'_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable isotopy of X_R fixing X'_R such that

$$\tau'_1(X_R \cap |N(\overline{X'}, K')|_R) \subset U.$$

Hence $f \circ \tau'_1$ is extensible to $|N(\overline{X'}, K')|_R$. After then we can see $f \circ \tau'_1$ is definably homotopic to a definable C^0 map extensible to a definable C^0 map $\overline{X}_R \to \overline{Y}_R$ through a homotopy fixing X'_R in the same way as in the proof of surjectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R, Y_R)$.

Thus we have reduced surjectivity problem to the case where X and Y are compact. It suffices then to show f is definably homotopic to a PL map through a homotopy fixing X'_R by lemma 1.2. Subdivide K and L so that $\phi : K|_{X'} \to L|_{\phi(X')}$ is simplicial. By the triangulation theorem of definable sets, theorem 2.1 and its complement there exists a definable isotopy $\eta_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of X_R preserving K_R such that $\eta_1(f^{-1}(\sigma)),\ \sigma \in L_R$, are polyhedra. Since $f \circ \eta_t \circ f^{-1}|_{f(X'_R)},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable isotopy of $f(X'_R)$ preserving $L_R|_{f(X'_R)}$ we can extend it to a definable isotopy $\rho_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of Y preserving L_R. Then $\rho_t \circ f \circ \eta_t^{-1},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable homotopy fixing X' such that $(\rho_1 \circ f \circ \eta_1^{-1})^{-1}(\sigma) = \eta_1(f^{-1}(\sigma))$ are polyhedra for $\sigma \in L_R$. Hence we assume $f^{-1}(\sigma)$ are polyhedra for $\sigma \in L_R$. Then as shown at the end of the proof of surjectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R, Y_R)$ there exists a definable homotopy $f_t : (X_R, X_{iR})_i \to (Y_R, Y_{iR})_i,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, from f to a PL map f_1 fixing X'_R.

Proof of injectivity. Let $f, g : (X, X_i)_i \to (Y, Y_i)_i$ be definable C^0 maps with $f = g = \phi$ on X' such that f_R and g_R are definably homotopic through a homotopy fixing X'_R. Let $F : (X_R, X_{iR})_i \times [0,\,1] \to (Y_R, Y_{iR})_i \times [0,\,1]$ be a definable C^0 map of the form $F(x, t) = (F'(x, t), t)$ such that $F'(\cdot, t) = f_R(\cdot)$ for $t \in [0,\,1/4]$, $F'(\cdot, t) = g_R(\cdot)$ for $t \in [3/4,\,1]$ and $F'(\cdot, t) = \phi_R(\cdot)$ on X'_R for any t. Then by the above proof we can assume X and Y are compact polyhedra and f and g are of class PL, and it suffices to find a definable homotopy $F_s : (X_R, X_{iR})_i \times [0,\,1] \to (Y_R, Y_{iR})_i \times [0,\,1],\ 0 \le s \le 1$, of F such that F_1 is PL and $F_s = F$ on $X_R \times \{0, 1\} \cup X'_R \times [0,\,1]$. Hence we need only to prove the following statement.

$(*)'$ Let U_1, U_2, U, W_i, V, V_i and h be the same as in $(*)$ in the proof of injectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R, Y_R)$ or its relative case in the proof of injectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, X_i; Y, Y_i) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R, X_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})$. Let U' be a closed subpolyhedron of U such that $h|_{U'}$ is a PL imbedding. Let K and L be simplicial decompositions of U and V, respectively, such that $U_1, U_2, U', h(U_1), h(U_2)$ and $h(U')$ are the unions of some simplexes in K and L and $h|_{U_2} : K|_{U_2} \to L|_{h(U_2)}$ and $h|_{U'} : K_{U'} \to L|_{h(U')}$ are simplicial. Let $\xi : K \to \{0, 1, [0,\,1]\}$ be the simplicial map with $\xi^{-1}(0) = U_1$. Then we can choose the homotopy $h_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of h in $(*)$ or its relative case so that $h_t = h$ on U'.

Proof of $(*)'$. We proceed to prove in a similar way. Shrink U_2 to $\xi^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon])$, where $\epsilon = 1/2$, and set $U_3 = \xi^{-1}([\epsilon,\,1])$. Let $\tau_\epsilon : Z_\epsilon \to U_3 \cup U'$ be a definable triangulation such that $(h \circ \tau_\epsilon)^{-1}(\sigma_L)$ and $\tau_\epsilon^{-1}(\sigma_K)$ are polyhedra for $\sigma_L \in L$ and $\sigma_K \in K$. Here by theorem 2.1 and its complement we can assume τ_ϵ is PL on $\tau_\epsilon^{-1}(U' \cap \xi^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon/2]))$ since for each $\sigma \in K$, $\sigma \cap U' \cap \xi^{-1}([\epsilon/2,\,\epsilon])$ is a PL ball to which the restriction of ξ is trivial. Moreover we suppose τ_ϵ is globally PL for the following reason.

By theorem 2.1 and its complement we have a definable isotopy $\tau_{\epsilon t},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of τ_ϵ through homeomorphisms such that $\tau_{\epsilon t}^{-1}(\sigma) = \tau_\epsilon^{-1}(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in K$, $\tau_{\epsilon 1}$ is PL and $\tau_{\epsilon t} = \tau_\epsilon$ on $\tau_\epsilon^{-1}(U' \cap \xi^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon/2]))$. (Here the last condition is not stated in the complement. It is, however, clearly satisfied by the proof of the complement.) Consider two definable isotopies $\tau_\epsilon \circ \tau_{\epsilon t}^{-1},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $U_3 \cap U'$ and $h \circ \tau_\epsilon \circ \tau_{\epsilon t}^{-1} \circ h^{-1}|_{h(U')},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of $h(U')$, which are preserving $K|_{U_3 \cap U'}$ and $L|_{h(U')}$ respectively. Extend them to definable isotopies $\eta_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of U preserving K and $\zeta_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of V preserving L so that $\eta_t = \mathrm{id}$ on $\xi^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon/2])$ and $\zeta_t = \mathrm{id}$ on $h(\xi^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon/2]))$. Define g_t to be $\zeta_t^{-1} \circ h \circ \eta_t$ for $t \in [0,\,1]$. Then g_t, $0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable homotopy of h,

$$g_t = (h \circ \tau_\epsilon \circ \tau_{\epsilon t}^{-1} \circ h^{-1})^{-1} \circ h \circ \tau_\epsilon \circ \tau_{\epsilon t}^{-1} = h \quad \text{on } U' \text{ and}$$
$$g_t = \mathrm{id} \circ h \circ \mathrm{id} = h \quad \text{on } \xi^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon/2]).$$

Hence we can replace h with g_1 though the equality $g^{-1}(g(\xi^{-1}(s))) = \xi^{-1}(s)$ holds for only $s \in [0,\,\epsilon]$. Replace τ_ϵ with the triangulation $\tau_{\epsilon 1} : Z_\epsilon \to U_3 \cup U'$. Then $(g_1 \circ \tau_{\epsilon 1})^{-1}(\sigma_L)$ and $\tau_{\epsilon 1}^{-1}(\sigma_K)$ are polyhedra for $\sigma_L \in L$ and $\sigma_K \in K$ because

$$(g_1 \circ \tau_{\epsilon 1})^{-1}(\sigma_L) = \tau_{\epsilon 1}^{-1} \circ \eta_1^{-1} \circ h^{-1} \circ \zeta_1(\sigma_L) = \tau_{\epsilon 1}^{-1} \circ \tau_{\epsilon 1} \circ \tau_\epsilon^{-1} \circ h^{-1}(\sigma_L) = (h \circ \tau_\epsilon)^{-1}(\sigma_L),$$
$$\tau_{\epsilon 1}^{-1}(\sigma_K) = \tau_\epsilon^{-1}(\sigma_K).$$

Hence, since $\tau_{\epsilon 1}$ is PL we can suppose τ_ϵ is PL from the beginning.

We have simplicial decompositions P_ϵ of Z_ϵ and $\tilde{K}$ of $\xi^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon])$ such that the following map is an isomorphism.

$$\tau_\epsilon|_{(\xi \circ \tau_\epsilon)^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon])} : P_\epsilon|_{(\xi \circ \tau_\epsilon)^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon])} \to \tilde{K}|_{\xi^{-1}(\epsilon) \cup \left(U' \cap \xi^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon])\right)}.$$

(Note $\tau_\epsilon\big((\xi \circ \tau_\epsilon)^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon])\big) = \xi^{-1}(\epsilon) \cup \big(U' \cap \xi^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon])\big)$.) Paste P_ϵ and $\tilde{K}$ through $\tau_\epsilon|_{(\xi \circ \tau_\epsilon)^{-1}([0,\,\epsilon])}$. Then we obtain a definable triangulation $\tau : Z \to U$ of class PL and a simplicial decomposition P of Z such that for $\sigma_L \in L$ and $\sigma_K \in K$, $(h \circ \tau)^{-1}(\sigma_L)$ and $\tau^{-1}(\sigma_K)$ are the unions of some simplexes in P.

In conclusion, replacing U with Z we assume from the beginning $h^{-1}(\sigma)$ is a polyhedron for each $\sigma \in L$. Then the required $h_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is constructed as stated at the end of proof of surjectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(X_R, Y_R)$.

Bijectivity of $\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Iso}_R(X_R, Y_R)$, $\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, Y)_\phi \to \mathrm{Iso}_R(X_R, Y_R)_{\phi_R}$ *and their relative maps.* Consider $\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X, X_i; Y, Y_i)_\phi \to \mathrm{Iso}_R(X_R, X_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})_{\phi_R}$ only because the map is the most general. As above we can reduce the problem to the case where X, X' and Y are compact polyhedra, X_i and Y_i are the unions of a finite number of some open simplexes and ϕ is PL.

Proof of surjectivity. Let $f : (X_R, X_{iR}) \to (Y_R, Y_{iR})$ be a definable C^0 imbedding such that $f = \phi_R$ on X'_R. By lemma 1.2 it suffices to see f is definably isotopic to some PL imbedding through an isotopy fixing X'_R. Let K and L be simplicial decompositions of X_R and Y_R, respectively, such that $X_{iR},\ X'_R,\ Y_{iR}$ and $\phi_R(X'_R)$ are the unions of some open simplexes in K or L and $\phi_R : K|_{X'_R} \to L|_{\phi_R(X'_R)}$ is simplicial. First we reduce the problem to the case where $\{f(\sigma) : \sigma \in K\}$ are polyhedra.

By the triangulation theorem of definable sets we have a definable isotopy $\pi_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of Y_R preserving L such that $\{\pi_1 \circ f(\sigma) : \sigma \in K\}$ are polyhedra. Then $\pi_t \circ f : (X_R, X_{iR}) \to$

$(Y_R, Y_{iR}),\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable isotopy from f to $\pi_1 \circ f$ but not necessarily fixing X'_{iR}. We need to modify $\pi_t \circ f$. Set $\tau_t = f^{-1} \circ \pi_t \circ f$ on X'_R for $t \in [0,\, 1]$, which is a well-defined definable isotopy of X'_R preserving $K|_{X'_R}$. By the Alexander trick τ_t is extended to a definable isotopy $\tilde\tau_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, of X_R preserving K such that $\tilde\tau_0 = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\pi_t \circ f \circ \tilde\tau_t^{-1},\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable isotopy from f through an isotopy fixing X'_R and such that $\{\pi_1 \circ f \circ \tilde\tau_t^{-1}(\sigma) : \sigma \in K\}$ are polyhedra by the following respective equalities.

$$\pi_0 \circ f \circ \tilde\tau_0^{-1} = \mathrm{id} \circ f \circ \mathrm{id} = f,$$
$$\pi_t \circ f \circ \tilde\tau_t^{-1} = \pi_t \circ f \circ f^{-1} \circ \pi_t^{-1} \circ f = f \quad \text{on } X'_R,$$
$$\pi_1 \circ f \circ \tilde\tau_1^{-1}(\sigma) = \pi_1 \circ f(\sigma) \quad \text{for } \sigma \in K.$$

Hence we can assume each $f(\sigma)$ is a polyhedron.

Apply theorem 2.1 and its complement to $f : (X_R, \sigma)_{\sigma \in K} \to (f(X_R), f(\sigma))_{\sigma \in K}$. Then there exists a definable isotopy $\omega_t : (X_R, \sigma)_{\sigma \in K} \to (f(X_R), f(\sigma))_{\sigma \in K}$ from f to some PL homeomorphism through homeomorphisms. Here also ω_t is not necessarily fixing X'_R. We modify ω_t by a method similar to the above. Set $\xi = \omega_1^{-1} \circ f$ on X'_R, which is a PL homeomorphism of X'_R preserving $K|_{X'_R}$. Extend ξ to a PL homeomorphism $\tilde\xi$ of X_R preserving K by the Alexander trick. Set

$$\Xi(x,t) = \begin{cases} (x, 0) & \text{for } (x,t) \in X_R \times \{0\} \\ (\omega_t^{-1} \circ f(x), t) & \text{for } (x,t) \in X'_R \times [0,\, 1] \\ (\tilde\xi(x), 1) & \text{for } (x,t) \in X_R \times \{1\}. \end{cases}$$

Then Ξ is a definable homeomorphism of $X_R \times \{0, 1\} \cup X'_R \times [0,\, 1]$ preserving $\{\sigma \times \{0\}, \sigma \times \{1\}, \sigma' \times [0,\, 1] : \sigma \in K, \sigma' \in K|_{X'_R}\}$. By the Alexander trick Ξ is extended to a definable homeomorphism $\tilde\Xi$ of $X_R \times [0,\, 1]$ preserving $K \times \{0, 1, [0,\, 1]\}$ and of the form $\tilde\Xi(x,t) = (\tilde\Xi'(x,t), t)$ for $(x,t) \in X_R \times [0,\, 1]$. Consider $\omega_t \circ \tilde\Xi'(\cdot, t)$ in place of $\omega_t(\cdot)$ and denote it by $\eta_t(\cdot)$. Clearly $\eta_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is a definable isotopy $(X_R, X_{iR}) \to (Y_R, Y_{iR})$ from f fixing X'_R and such that η_1 is PL. Indeed

$$\eta_t(x) = \omega_t \circ \omega_t^{-1} \circ f(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in X'_R \times [0,\, 1],$$
$$\eta_0 = \omega_0 \circ \mathrm{id} = f, \quad \eta_1(\cdot) = \omega_1 \circ \tilde\Xi'(\cdot, 1) = \omega_1 \circ \tilde\xi(\cdot).$$

Thus $\eta_t,\ 0 \le t \le 1$, is the required isotopy, and surjectivity is proved.

Proof of injectivity. Let $f, g : (X, X_i)_i \to (Y, Y_i)_i$ be definable C^0 imbeddings such that f_R and g_R are definably isotopic through an isotopy fixing X'_R. As above we can reduce the problem to the case where f and g are PL. Then by lemma 1.2 it suffices to see f_R and g_R are PL isotopic through an isotopy fixing X'_R. Let K be a simplicial decomposition of X_R such that X'_R and X_{iR} are the unions of open simplexes in K and ϕ_R is linear on each simplex in $K|_{X'_R}$, and L one of $Y_R \times [0,\, 1]$ such that $Y_{iR} \times [0,\, 1]$ and $\phi_R(\sigma) \times [0,\, 1]$ for each $\sigma \in K|_{X'_R}$ are the unions of some open simplexes in L. Let $F : (X_R, X_{iR}) \times [0,\, 1] \to (Y_R, Y_{iR}) \times [0,\, 1]$

be a definable C^0 imbedding of the form $F(\cdot,t)=(F'(\cdot,t),t)$ for $t\in[0,\,1]$ such that $F'(\cdot,0)=f_R(\cdot)$, $F'(\cdot,1)=g_R(\cdot)$ and $F'(x,t)=\phi_R(x)$ for $(x,t)\in X'\times[0,\,1]$. Here we can assume $F'(\cdot,t)=f_R(\cdot)$ for $t\in[0,\,1/3]$ and $F'(\cdot,t)=g_R(\cdot)$ for $t\in[2/3,\,1]$. Then F is PL on $X_R\times([0,\,1/3]\cup[2/3,\,1])$ and, hence, $F(\sigma\times([0,\,1/3]\cup[2/3,\,1]))$ are polyhedra for $\sigma\in K$.

We first modify F so that $F(\sigma\times[0,\,1])$ are polyhedra for all $\sigma\in K$. Let p denote the restriction to $Y_R\times[0,\,1]$ of the projection $Y_R\times R\to R$. Note

$$p^{-1}(R-(1/3,\,2/3))\cap F(\sigma\times[0,\,1])=F(\sigma\times([0,\,1/3]\cup[2/3,\,1]))\quad\text{for }\sigma\in K.$$

Apply theorem 2.2,(3) to $Y_R\times[0,\,1]$, L, $\{F(\sigma\times[0,\,1]):\sigma\in K\}$ and p. Then we have a definable homeomorphism π of $Y_R\times[0,\,1]$ preserving L such that $\pi=\mathrm{id}$ on $Y_R\times([0,\,1/4]\cup[3/4,\,1])$, $\pi(F(\sigma\times[0,\,1]))$ are polyhedra for $\sigma\in K$ and $p\circ\pi=p$, i.e., π is of the form $\pi(\cdot,t)=(\pi'(\cdot,t),t)$ for $t\in[0,\,1]$ (we call π level-preserving).

Consider $\pi\circ F$ in place of F. Then the equality $\pi'\circ F(x,t)=\phi_R(x)$ for $x\in X'_R$ does not necessarily hold though the other properties—$\pi'\circ F(\cdot,0)=f_R(\cdot)$ and $\pi'\circ F(\cdot,1)=g_R(\cdot)$—continue to be true. We can modify F' so that that equality also holds in the same way as in the above proof of surjectivity. We do not repeat. Hence we assume from the beginning that $F(\sigma\times[0,\,1])$ are polyhedra for $\sigma\in K$.

Next we modify F to a PL homeomorphism fixing on $X'_R\times[0,\,1]\cup X_R\times\{0,1\}$. Assume by induction that F is PL on $|K^k|\times[0,\,1]$ for some $k\in\mathbf{N}$, and let $\sigma\in K^{k+1}-K^k$ with $\sigma\not\subset X'_R$. Then it suffices to modify F so that $F|_{\sigma\times[0,\,1]}$ is PL. Consider two definable homeomorphisms $F|_{\sigma\times[0,\,1]}:\sigma\times[0,\,1]\to F(\sigma\times[0,\,1])$ and $\mathrm{id}:F(\sigma\times[0,\,1])\to F(\sigma\times[0,\,1])$ and compact polyhedra $F(\sigma'\times[0,\,1])$ for all proper faces σ' of σ. Both are definable triangulations of $p|_{F(\sigma\times[0,\,1])}$ with a family of polyhedra. Hence by the complement of theorem 2.2 there is a PL homeomorphism $\omega:\sigma\times[0,\,1]\to F(\sigma\times[0,\,1])$ such that $\omega(\sigma'\times[0,\,1])=F(\sigma'\times[0,\,1])$ for proper faces σ' of σ and $p\circ\omega=p\circ F$ on $\sigma\times[0,\,1]$, i.e., ω is level-preserving. Here we can assume $\omega=F$ on $\partial(\sigma\times[0,\,1])$ for the following reason.

Set $\xi=\omega^{-1}\circ F$ on $\sigma\times[0,\,1]$. Then ξ is a definable homeomorphism of $\sigma\times[0,\,1]$, PL on $\partial(\sigma\times[0,\,1])$, level-preserving and preserving $\{\sigma'\times[0,\,1]:\sigma'\in K,\sigma'\subset\sigma\}$. By the Alexander trick there exists a level-preserving PL homeomorphism η of $\sigma\times[0,\,1]$ such that $\eta=\xi$ on $\partial(\sigma\times[0,\,1])$. Replace ω with $\omega\circ\eta$. Then keeping the above properties of ω we can assume $\omega=F$ on $\partial(\sigma\times[0,\,1])$.

Define ξ as above. Now $\xi=\mathrm{id}$ on $\partial(\sigma\times[0,\,1])$. Apply the Alexander trick once more. Then we can extend ξ to a definable homeomorphism Ξ of $X\times[0,\,1]$ which is id outside of $\mathrm{Int}\,|\mathrm{st}(\sigma,K)|\times(0,\,1)$, preserving $\{\sigma'\times[0,\,1]:\sigma'\in K\}$ and level-preserving. Consider $F\circ\Xi^{-1}$ in place of F. It is a level-preserving definable C^0 imbedding of $(X_R,X_{iR})\times[0,\,1]$ to $(Y_R,Y_{iR})\times[0,\,1]$, $F'\circ\Xi^{-1}(\cdot,0)=f_R(\cdot)$, $F'\circ\Xi^{-1}(\cdot,1)=g_R(\cdot)$, $F'\circ\Xi^{-1}(x,t)=\phi_R(x)$ for $(x,t)\in X'_R\times[0,\,1]$, $F\circ\Xi^{-1}=F$ on $|K^k|\times[0,\,1]\cup(|K^{k+1}|-\sigma)\times[0,\,1])$ and $F\circ\Xi^{-1}$ is equal to ω on $\sigma\times[0,\,1]$ and, hence, PL. Thus $F\circ\Xi^{-1}$ is the required modification of F, which completes the proof of injectivity.

Injectivity of $\mathrm{Aiso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y)\to\mathrm{Aiso}_R(X_R,Y_R)$, $\mathrm{Aiso}_{\mathbf{R}}(X,Y)_\phi\to\mathrm{Aiso}_R(X_R,Y_R)_{\phi_R}$ *and their relative maps.* It suffices to prove the following statement.

Set $Y' = \phi(X')$. Let $\pi_t : (Y_R, Y_{iR}, Y'_R) \to (Y_R, Y_{iR}, Y'_R)$, $0 \le t \le 1$, be a definable isotopy of Y_R such that $\pi_t = \mathrm{id}$ on Y'_R for $t \in [0, 1]$ and π_1 is the R-extension of a definable homeomorphism τ of Y. Then there exists a definable isotopy $\tau_t : (Y, Y_i, Y') \to (Y, Y_i, Y')$, $0 \le t \le 1$, of Y such that $\tau_t = \mathrm{id}$ on Y' for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\tau_1 = \tau$.

We can assume here Y_i and Y' are closed in Y.

Case of compact Y. Assume Y, Y_i and Y' are polyhedra. Let L be a simplicial decomposition of Y compatible with $\{Y_i, Y'\}$. We have already seen in the proof of surjectivity of $\mathrm{Iso}(X, X_i; Y, Y_i)_\phi \to \mathrm{Iso}(X_R, X_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})_{\phi_R}$ that $\tau : (Y, Y_i, Y') \to (Y, Y_i, Y')$ is definably isotopic to some PL homeomorphism preserving L and fixing Y' through homeomorphisms. Hence we can assume τ is PL. Then what we prove is the following statement by lemma 1.2.

Let $\pi_t : (Y_R, Y_{iR}, Y'_R) \to (Y_R, Y_{iR}, Y'_R)$, $0 \le t \le 1$, be a definable isotopy of Y_R fixing Y'_R such that π_1 is PL. Then there exists a PL isotopy of Y_R to π_1 fixing Y'_R.

We proved this statement in the proof of injectivity of $\mathrm{Iso}(X, X_i; Y, Y_i)_\phi \to \mathrm{Iso}(X_R, X_{iR}; Y_R, Y_{iR})_{\phi_R}$ without the condition that the PL isotopy is through homeomorphisms. This condition is clearly satisfied in that proof. Thus the compact case is proved.

Case of non-compact Y. We can assume $\overline{Y}$ and $\overline{Y} - Y$ are polyhedra in R^n. Then there exist semialgebraic C^0 functions $\rho_1, ..., \rho_k$ on $\overline{Y}$ such that $\rho^{-1}(0) = \overline{Y} - Y$ for any i and the map $(\rho_1, ..., \rho_k)|_Y : Y \to R^n$ is injective. Consider $(\rho_1, ..., \rho_k)(Y)$ in place of Y. Then $\overline{Y} - Y = \{0\}$, and π_t and τ are extended to a definable isotopy of $\overline{Y}_R$ fixing $Y'_R \cup \{0\}$ and a definable homeomorphism of $\overline{Y}$ fixing $Y' \cup \{0\}$ respectively. Thus we reduce the problem to the compact case. ☐

Remark 3.4. *Consider always compact definable sets and definable maps between them. Then by lemma 3.3 singular (co)homology groups, homotopy groups, linking numbers, Whitehead groups, codordims groups, etc., do not depend on the choice of R. Moreover by lemmas 3.2 and 3.3* **most** *theorems and theories on differential topology hold over R, e.g., the Cairnes-Whitehead theorem on C^r triangulations of C^r manifolds, $r > 0$, the Poincaré duality theorem, cobordism theory, the h-cobordism theorem, surgery theory. It also follows that a compact* **R**-*PL manifold X admits a C^r manifold structure, $r > 0$, if and only if X_R admits a definable C^r manifold structure. Some theorems on differentiable maps between differentiable manifolds cannot be, however, extended as follows.*

Example. Set $S^1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ and give to $\mathbf{R}$ an o-minimal structure so that S^1 and any notation of S^1 are definable, e.g., the o-minimal structure of restricted analytic functions. Let R be a real closed field with an o-minimal structure which is a conservative extension of $\mathbf{R}$ and not equal to $\mathbf{R}$ (see [C_2] for existence). For $\epsilon \in \mathbf{R}$, let ρ_ϵ denote the rotation of S^1 with rotation number ϵ. Then ρ_ϵ is not structurally stable, i.e., there exists a definable C^∞ diffeomorphism ρ of S^1 arbitrarily close to ρ_ϵ in the C^∞ topology but not topologically conjugate to ρ_ϵ. However $\rho_{\epsilon R} : S^1_R \to S^1_R$ is structurally stable for irrational ϵ. (Here the homeomorphism of conjugation is not definable. If we admit only definable homeomorphisms, the theory of dynamical systems does not work because it requires infinite operations.)

Proof. Regard S^1 as $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$. Then $S^1_R = R/\mathbf{Z}$ and $\rho_{\epsilon R}(t) = t + \epsilon$ for $t \in R/\mathbf{Z}$. Let ϵ be irrational. Set $A = \{t \in R : nt < 1 \text{ for } n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Let ρ be a homeomorphism of S^1_R so close to $\rho_{\epsilon R}$ that $\rho - \rho_{\epsilon R}$ has values in A. Then there exists a homeomorphism π of S^1_R such that $\pi \circ \rho_{\epsilon R} = \rho \circ \pi$ for the following reason.

Let $p : R/\mathbf{Z} \to R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z})$ and $q : R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z}) \to R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z}+A)$ denote the projections, and let $\phi : R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z}) \to R/\mathbf{Z}$ and $\psi : R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z}+A) \to R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z})$ be maps such that $p\circ\phi = \mathrm{id}$ and $q\circ\psi = \mathrm{id}$. We modify ϕ so that $\phi(s+a) = \phi(s)+a$ for $(s,a) \in (R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z}))\times A$ as follows. Set

$$\tilde\phi(s) = \phi\circ\psi\circ q(s) + (s - \psi\circ q(s)) \quad \text{for } s \in R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z}).$$

Then $\tilde\phi$ is a map from $R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z})$ to $R/\mathbf{Z}$ with $p\circ\tilde\phi = \mathrm{id}$ because $s - \psi\circ q(s) \in A$, and

$$\tilde\phi(s+a) = \phi\circ\psi\circ q(s+a) + (s+a-\psi\circ q(s+a)) =$$
$$\phi\circ\psi\circ q(s) + (s+a-\psi\circ q(s)) = \tilde\phi(s) + a \quad \text{for } (s,a) \in (R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z}))\times A.$$

Hence

$$\tilde\phi\circ p(t+a) = \tilde\phi\circ p(t) + a \quad \text{for } (t,a) \in (R/(\mathbf{Z}+\epsilon\mathbf{Z}))\times A. \tag{1}$$

Using $\tilde\phi$ we will define π. For each $t \in R/\mathbf{Z}$ there exists uniquely $n(t) \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $t - \tilde\phi\circ p(t) = n(t)\epsilon$. Note

$$t + a - \tilde\phi\circ p(t+a) \overset{\text{by (1)}}{=} t + a - \tilde\phi\circ p(t) - a = t - \tilde\phi\circ p(t) = n(t)\epsilon \quad \text{for } (t,a) \in (R/\mathbf{Z})\times A, \tag{2}$$

$$\rho(t+A) = t+\epsilon+A \quad \text{for } t \in R/\mathbf{Z}. \tag{3}$$

Set $\pi(t) = \overbrace{\rho\circ\cdots\circ\rho}^{n(t)}\circ\tilde\phi\circ p(t)$. Then

$$\pi\circ\rho_{\epsilon R}(t) = \pi(t+\epsilon) = \overbrace{\rho\circ\cdots\circ\rho}^{n(t)+1}\circ\tilde\phi\circ p(t) = \rho\circ\pi(t),$$

$$\pi(t+a) \overset{\text{by (2)}}{=} \overbrace{\rho\circ\cdots\circ\rho}^{n(t)}\circ\tilde\phi\circ p(t+a) \overset{\text{by (1)}}{=} \overbrace{\rho\circ\cdots\circ\rho}^{n(t)}(\tilde\phi\circ p(t)+a),$$

$$\pi(t+A) = \overbrace{\rho\circ\cdots\circ\rho}^{n(t)}(\tilde\phi\circ p(t)+A) \overset{\text{by (3)}}{=} \tilde\phi\circ p(t) + n(t)\epsilon + A = t + A,$$

and, hence, $\pi|_{t+A}$ is a homeomorphism of $t+A$ for each $t \in R/\mathbf{Z}$. Thus π is the required homeomorphism of $R/\mathbf{Z}$. □

References

[C$_1$] M. Coste, *Unicité des triangulations semi-algébriques: validité sur un corps réel clos quelconque, et effectivité forte*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **312 no. 5** (1991), 395-398.

[C_2] ———, *An introduction to o-minimal geometry*, Dottorato di Ricerca in. Matematica, Dip. Mat. Univ. Pisa. Instituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazion, 2000.

[C-S_1] M. Coste and M. Shiota, *Nash triviality in families of Nash manifolds*, Invent. Math., **108** (1992), 349-368.

[C-S_2] ———, *Thom's first isotopy lemma: a semialgebraic version, with uniform bound*, Real analytic and algebraic geometry, Walter de Gruyter, (1995), 83–101.

[D-K] H. Delfs and M. Knebusch, *Locally semialgebraic spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1173** (1985), Springer.

[E] J. Escribano, *Approximation theorems in o-minimal structures*, Illinois J. Math. **46** (2002), 111-128.

[H] J. F. P. Hudson, *Piecewise linear topology*, Benjamin, 1969.

[M] J. Milnor, *Microbundles, Part I*, mimeographed note, Princeton Univ., 1963.

[R-S] C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, *Introduction to piecewise-linear topology*, Springer, 1972.

[S_1] M. Shiota, *Nash manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1269** (1987), Springer.

[S_2] ———, *Piecewise linearization of subanalytic functions II, Real analytic and algebraic geometry, Trento 1988*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1240, Springer, 1990, pp. 247-307.

[S_3] ———, *Geometry of subanalytic and semialgebraic sets*, Progress in Math., 150, Birkhäuser, 1997.

[S-Y] M. Shiota and M. Yokoi, *Triangulations of subanalytic sets and locally subanalytic manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **286** (1984), 727-750.

[T] R. Thom, *La stabilité topologique des applications polynomiales*, Enseignement math., **8** (1962), 24–33.

[v] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note, 248, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan